

# Spectral distribution of a star-shaped coupled network

XU GEN QI

Department of Mathematics  
Tianjin University  
Tianjin 300072  
CHINA

NIKOS E. MASTORAKIS

Military Institutes of University Education  
Hellenic Naval Academy  
Terma Hatzikyriakou, Piraeus, GREECE

*Abstract:* In this paper we study a star-shaped coupled network of strings and Euler-Bernoulli beams with damping. Suppose that the exterior vertices of the coupled network are clamped and at common node there is a damping. In the present note, our attention concentrate on the spectral distribution of an operator determined by the system. Under certain condition we show that the spectrum of the operator distributes in a strip parallel the imaginary axis.

*Key-Words:* Star shape network stabilization string beam

## 1 Introduction

The structure, control and stabilization of the networks are important problem in the real world. There are many papers studying various properties of the networks, for instance, the papers [1], [2],[3], [4] [5], [6] and the references therein. In [7], a model of star-shaped coupled network of strings and Euler-Bernoulli beams with damping is given, in which a significant feature is that the global rotation of the elastic structure does not equal to any one of each branch. In the present paper, we will discuss the spectral distribution of the operator determined by the model in[7].

Let us recall the model introduced in[7]. Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a graph, where  $V = \{a, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$  is the vertex set,  $E = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$  is the edge set. The graph has a common vertex  $a$ , the edge  $e_j$  connects  $a$  and  $a_j$ . There is a elastic structure on  $G$ , whose motion is governed by the partial equations

$$\begin{pmatrix} \rho_i & 0 \\ 0 & \rho_i \end{pmatrix} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \begin{pmatrix} u^i(s, t) \\ w^i(s, t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} h_i \partial_s^2 & 0 \\ 0 & -EI_i \partial_s^4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u^i(s, t) \\ w^i(s, t) \end{pmatrix}, \quad s \in (0, l_j)$$

The exterior nodes are clamped,i.e,

$$\begin{pmatrix} u^i(l_i, t) \\ w^i(l_i, t) \end{pmatrix} = 0, \quad w_{ss}^i(l_i, t) = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

At common node  $a$ , the structure satisfy the condition

$$\begin{aligned} A_i \begin{pmatrix} u^i(0, t) \\ w^i(0, t) \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} u(a, t) \\ w(a, t) \end{pmatrix}, \\ s_i w_s^i(0, t) &= w_x(a, t), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \\ - \sum_{j=1}^n (A_j^*)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} h_j u_s^j(0, t) \\ -EI_j w_{sss}^j(0, t) \end{pmatrix} \\ &+ \begin{pmatrix} u(a, t) \\ w(a, t) \end{pmatrix} &= -\alpha \begin{pmatrix} u_t(a, t) \\ w_t(a, t) \end{pmatrix} \\ - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{EI_j}{s_j} w_{ss}^j(0, t) + w_x(a, t) &= -\beta w_{xt}(a, t), \end{aligned}$$

The initial position and velocity of the network are

$$\begin{pmatrix} u(x, 0) \\ w(x, 0) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} f_0(x) \\ g_0(x) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} u_t(x, 0) \\ w_t(x, 0) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} f_1(x) \\ g_1(x) \end{pmatrix}, \quad x \in G,$$

where  $u(x, t)$  denotes the longitudinal displacement of elastic structure, and  $w(x, t)$  denotes the transverse displacement of elastic structure. The functions  $u^i(s, t)$  and  $w^i(s, t)$  are the realization of  $u(x, t)$  and  $w(x, t)$  on edge  $e_j$ , respectively, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} u^i(s, t) &= u(\gamma_i(s), t), \\ w^i(s, t) &= w(\gamma_i(s), t), \quad s \in (0, l_i), \end{aligned}$$

here  $\gamma_i(s) = x \in e_j$  is the parameterizations mapping; the set  $\{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n\}$  with  $s_j \neq 0$  represents geometrical structure set.

Set

$$H_E^k(G) = \left\{ f \in L^2(G) \mid f \in H^k(\gamma_i), i \in [1, n], \right. \\ \left. f(\ell_i) = 0, \right\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{W} = H_E^1(G) \times H_E^2(G) \times L^2(G) \times L^2(G).$$

Let  $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n$  be given as in equation (1) with  $s_j \neq 0$ , and  $A_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , be invertible  $2 \times 2$  real matrices. Let the state space be

$$\mathcal{H} = \left\{ Y = (u, w, v, z) \in \mathcal{W} \mid \begin{array}{l} w_x(a) = s_j w_s^j(0), \\ A_j \begin{pmatrix} u^j(0) \\ w^j(0) \end{pmatrix} \\ = \begin{pmatrix} u(a) \\ w(a) \end{pmatrix}, \\ j = 1, 2, \dots, n; \end{array} \right\}$$

endowed the norm

$$\|Y\|_H^2 = \sum_{j=1}^n \int_0^{\ell_j} \{h_j |u_s^j(s)|^2 + EI_j |w_{ss}^j(s)|^2\} ds \\ + \sum_{j=1}^n \int_0^{\ell_j} \{\rho_j [|v^j(s)|^2 + |z^j(s)|^2]\} ds \\ + |u(a)|^2 + |w(a)|^2 + |w_x(a)|^2. \quad (1)$$

It is easy to check that  $\mathcal{H}$  is a Hilbert space.

Define the operator in  $\mathcal{H}$  by

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (u, w, v, z) \in H_E^2 \times H_E^4 \times H_E^1 \times H_E^2 \mid \\ w_{ss}^j(\ell_j) = 0, \\ A_j \begin{pmatrix} v^j(0) \\ z^j(0) \end{pmatrix} = A_i \begin{pmatrix} v^i(0) \\ z^i(0) \end{pmatrix}, \\ z_x(a) = s_j z_s^j(0) = s_i z_s^i(0), \forall i, j \\ - \sum_{j=1}^n (A_j^*)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} h_j u_s^j(0) \\ -EI_j w_{sss}^j(0) \end{pmatrix} \\ + \begin{pmatrix} u(a) \\ w(a) \end{pmatrix} = -\alpha \begin{pmatrix} v(a) \\ z(a) \end{pmatrix} \\ - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{EI_j}{s_j} w_{ss}^j(0) + w_x(a) = -\beta z_x(a) \end{array} \right\}, \quad (2)$$

$$\mathcal{A}Y = \mathcal{A} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ w \\ v \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} v \\ z \\ \left\{ \frac{h_i}{\rho_i} u_s^i \right\} \\ \left\{ -\frac{EI_i}{\rho_i} w_{sss}^i \right\} \end{pmatrix}, Y \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}) \quad (3)$$

With the help of these notation we can write the network equation into an evolutionary equation in  $\mathcal{H}$

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dY(t)}{dt} = \mathcal{A}Y(t), & t > 0 \\ Y(0) = Y_0 \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

where  $Y(t) = [u(x, t), w(x, t), u_t(x, t), w_t(x, t)]^T$  and  $Y_0 = [f_0(x), g_0(x), f_1(x), g_1(x)]^T \in \mathcal{H}$ .

In [7], we discussed the stability of the system (4). In present paper, we will discuss spectral distribution of operator  $\mathcal{A}$ . By a detail analysis, we show that the spectrum of  $\mathcal{A}$  distributes in a strip parallel the imaginary axis.

## 2 Resolvent and spectral distribution

In this section we investigate the resolvent and spectral distribution of  $\mathcal{A}$ . From [7] we know that its spectrum composed of all eigenvalues of  $\mathcal{A}$ . So its resolvent has poles only. We are going to obtain the expression of the resolvent.

Let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . For very  $F \in \mathcal{H}$ , we consider the resolvent problem  $(\lambda I - \mathcal{A})Y = F$ , where

$$Y = [u, w, v, z] \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}), \quad F = [f_1, g_1, f_2, g_2] \in \mathcal{H}$$

i.e.,

$$\begin{cases} \lambda u(x) - v(x) = f_1(x), & x \in G, \\ \lambda w(x) - z(x) = g_1(x), & x \in G, \\ \lambda v^j(s) - \frac{h_j u_{ss}^j(s)}{\rho_j} = f_2^j(s), & s \in (0, \ell_j), \\ j = 1, 2, \dots, n, \\ \lambda z^j(s) + \frac{EI_j w_{ssss}^j(s)}{\rho_j} = g_2^j(s), & s \in (0, \ell_j), \\ j = 1, 2, \dots, n, \\ w^j(\ell_j) = w^j(\ell_j) = 0, & w_{ss}^j(\ell_j) = 0, \\ j = 1, 2, \dots, n, \\ \begin{pmatrix} u(a) \\ w(a) \end{pmatrix} = A_j \begin{pmatrix} u^j(0) \\ w^j(0) \end{pmatrix}, \\ w_x(a) = s_j w_s^j(0), & j = 1, 2, \dots, n, \\ - \sum_{j=1}^n (A_j^*)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} h_j u_s^j(0) \\ -EI_j w_{sss}^j(0) \end{pmatrix} \\ + \begin{pmatrix} u(a) \\ w(a) \end{pmatrix} = -\alpha \begin{pmatrix} v(a) \\ z(a) \end{pmatrix}, \\ - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{EI_j}{s_j} w_{ss}^j(0) + w_x(a) = -\beta z_x(a). \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

From the space condition we get  $v(a) = \lambda u(a) - f_1(a), z(a) = \lambda w(a) - g_1(a), z_x(a) = \lambda w_x(a) - g_{1,x}(a)$ . From the equation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} v^j(s) &= \lambda u^j(s) - f_1^j(s), \\ z^j(s) &= \lambda w^j(s) - g_1^j(s), s \in (0, \ell_j) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} v(a) \\ z(a) \end{pmatrix} = A_j \begin{pmatrix} v^j(0) \\ z^j(0) \end{pmatrix} = \lambda \begin{pmatrix} u(a) \\ w(a) \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} f_1(a) \\ g_1(a) \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$z_x(a) = s_j z_s^j(0) = \lambda w_x(a) - g_{1,x}(a).$$

Thus  $u^j$  and  $w^j$  satisfy the following equations:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \lambda^2 w^j(s) - \frac{h_j w_{sss}^j(s)}{\rho_j} = f_2^j(s) + \lambda f_1^j(s), \\ \lambda^2 w^j(s) + \frac{EI_j}{\rho_j} w_{sss}^j(s) = g_2^j(s) + \lambda g_1^j(s), \\ s \in (0, \ell_j), j = 1, 2, \dots, n, \\ w^j(\ell_j) = w^j(\ell_j) = 0, w_{ss}^j(\ell_j) = 0, \\ \begin{pmatrix} u(a) \\ w(a) \end{pmatrix} = A_j \begin{pmatrix} u^j(0) \\ w^j(0) \end{pmatrix}, \\ w_x(a) = s_j w_s^j(0), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n, \\ - \sum_{j=1}^n (A_j^*)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} h_j w_s^j(0) \\ -EI_j w_{sss}^j(0) \end{pmatrix} \\ + (1 + \alpha\lambda) \begin{pmatrix} u(a) \\ w(a) \end{pmatrix} = \alpha \begin{pmatrix} f_1(a) \\ g_1(a) \end{pmatrix}, \\ - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{EI_j}{s_j} w_{ss}^j(0) + (1 + \beta\lambda) w_x(a) = \beta g_{1,x}(a) \end{array} \right. \quad (6)$$

which is equivalent to

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} u_{ss}^j(s) - \frac{\rho_j}{h_j} \lambda^2 w^j(s) = -\frac{\rho_j}{h_j} [f_2^j(s) + \lambda f_1^j(s)], \\ w_{sss}^j(s) + \frac{\rho_j}{EI_j} \lambda^2 w^j(s) = \frac{\rho_j}{EI_j} [g_2^j(s) + \lambda g_1^j(s)], \\ w^j(\ell_j) = w^j(\ell_j) = 0, w_{ss}^j(\ell_j) = 0, \\ \begin{pmatrix} u(a) \\ w(a) \end{pmatrix} = A_j \begin{pmatrix} u^j(0) \\ w^j(0) \end{pmatrix}, \\ w_x(a) = s_j w_s^j(0), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n, \\ - \sum_{j=1}^n (A_j^*)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} h_j w_s^j(0) \\ -EI_j w_{sss}^j(0) \end{pmatrix} \\ + (1 + \alpha\lambda) \begin{pmatrix} u(a) \\ w(a) \end{pmatrix} = \alpha \begin{pmatrix} f_1(a) \\ g_1(a) \end{pmatrix}, \\ - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{EI_j}{s_j} w_{ss}^j(0) + (1 + \beta\lambda) w_x(a) = \beta g_{1,x}(a) \end{array} \right. \quad (7)$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_j &= \sqrt{\frac{\rho_j}{h_j}}, \quad \omega_j = \sqrt[4]{\frac{\rho_j}{EI_j}}, \\ \widehat{f}^j(s, \lambda) &= \tau_j^2 [f_2^j(s) + \lambda f_1^j(s)], \\ \widehat{g}^j(s, \lambda) &= \omega_j^4 [g_2^j(s) + \lambda g_1^j(s)]. \end{aligned}$$

Then the general solution of differential equation in (7) are given by

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} w^j(s) = b_1^j \sinh \lambda \tau_j (\ell_j - s) + b_2^j \cosh \lambda \tau_j (\ell_j - s) \\ + \frac{1}{\lambda \tau_j} \int_s^{\ell_j} \sinh \lambda \tau_j (s - r) \widehat{f}^j(r, \lambda) dr, \\ w^j(s) = c_1^j \sinh \sqrt{i\lambda} \omega_j (\ell_j - s) \\ + c_2^j \sin \sqrt{i\lambda} \omega_j (\ell_j - s) \\ + c_3^j \cosh \sqrt{i\lambda} \omega_j (\ell_j - s) + c_4^j \cos \sqrt{i\lambda} \omega_j (\ell_j - s) \\ - \frac{1}{2(\sqrt{i\lambda} \omega_j)^3} \int_s^{\ell_j} [\sinh \sqrt{i\lambda} \omega_j (s - r) \\ - \sin \sqrt{i\lambda} \omega_j (s - r)] \widehat{g}^j(r, \lambda) dr. \end{array} \right. \quad (8)$$

From the boundary conditions  $u^j(\ell_j) = w^j(\ell_j) = w_{ss}^j(\ell_j) = 0$ , we can deduce that  $b_2^j = 0, c_3^j = c_4^j = 0$ . Therefore, we have

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} w^j(s) = b_1^j \sinh \lambda \tau_j (\ell_j - s) \\ + \frac{1}{\lambda \tau_j} \int_s^{\ell_j} \sinh \lambda \tau_j (s - r) \widehat{f}^j(r, \lambda) dr, \\ w^j(s) = c_1^j \sinh \sqrt{i\lambda} \omega_j (\ell_j - s) \\ + c_2^j \sin \sqrt{i\lambda} \omega_j (\ell_j - s) \\ - \frac{1}{2(\sqrt{i\lambda} \omega_j)^3} \int_s^{\ell_j} [\sinh \sqrt{i\lambda} \omega_j (s - r) \\ - \sin \sqrt{i\lambda} \omega_j (s - r)] \widehat{g}^j(r, \lambda) dr. \end{array} \right. \quad (9)$$

Now we are going to determine the coefficients in the expression of  $u^j$  and  $w^j$ . Observing that

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} u_s^j(s) = -\lambda \tau_j b_1^j \cosh \lambda \tau_j (\ell_j - s) \\ + \int_s^{\ell_j} \cosh \lambda \tau_j (s - r) \widehat{f}^j(r, \lambda) dr, \\ w_s^j(s) = (-\sqrt{i\lambda} \omega_j) [c_1^j \cosh \sqrt{i\lambda} \omega_j (\ell_j - s) \\ + c_2^j \cos \sqrt{i\lambda} \omega_j (\ell_j - s)] \\ - \frac{1}{2(\sqrt{i\lambda} \omega_j)^2} \int_s^{\ell_j} [\cosh \sqrt{i\lambda} \omega_j (s - r) \\ - \cos \sqrt{i\lambda} \omega_j (s - r)] \widehat{g}^j(r, \lambda) dr, \\ w_{ss}^j(s) = (-\sqrt{i\lambda} \omega_j)^2 [c_1^j \sinh \sqrt{i\lambda} \omega_j (\ell_j - s) \\ - c_2^j \sin \sqrt{i\lambda} \omega_j (\ell_j - s)] \\ - \frac{1}{2(\sqrt{i\lambda} \omega_j)} \int_s^{\ell_j} [\sinh \sqrt{i\lambda} \omega_j (s - r) \\ + \sin \sqrt{i\lambda} \omega_j (s - r)] \widehat{g}^j(r, \lambda) dr, \\ w_{sss}^j(s) = (-\sqrt{i\lambda} \omega_j)^3 [c_1^j \cosh \sqrt{i\lambda} \omega_j (\ell_j - s) \\ - c_2^j \cos \sqrt{i\lambda} \omega_j (\ell_j - s)] \\ - \frac{1}{2} \int_s^{\ell_j} [\cosh \sqrt{i\lambda} \omega_j (s - r) \\ + \cos \sqrt{i\lambda} \omega_j (s - r)] \widehat{g}^j(r, \lambda) dr. \end{array} \right.$$

We have

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} w_s^j(0) = -\lambda \tau_j b_1^j \cosh \lambda \tau_j \ell_j + \int_0^{\ell_j} \cosh \lambda \tau_j r \widehat{f}^j(r, \lambda) dr, \\ w_s^j(0) = (-\sqrt{i\lambda} \omega_j) [c_1^j \cosh \sqrt{i\lambda} \omega_j \ell_j + c_2^j \cos \sqrt{i\lambda} \omega_j \ell_j] \\ - \frac{1}{2(\sqrt{i\lambda} \omega_j)^2} \int_0^{\ell_j} [\cosh \sqrt{i\lambda} \omega_j r - \cos \sqrt{i\lambda} \omega_j r] \widehat{g}^j(r, \lambda) dr, \\ w_{ss}^j(0) = (-\sqrt{i\lambda} \omega_j)^2 [c_1^j \sinh \sqrt{i\lambda} \omega_j \ell_j - c_2^j \sin \sqrt{i\lambda} \omega_j \ell_j] \\ + \frac{1}{2(\sqrt{i\lambda} \omega_j)} \int_0^{\ell_j} [\sinh \sqrt{i\lambda} \omega_j r + \sin \sqrt{i\lambda} \omega_j r] \widehat{g}^j(r, \lambda) dr, \\ w_{sss}^j(0) = (-\sqrt{i\lambda} \omega_j)^3 [c_1^j \cosh \sqrt{i\lambda} \omega_j \ell_j - c_2^j \cos \sqrt{i\lambda} \omega_j \ell_j] \\ - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\ell_j} [\cosh \sqrt{i\lambda} \omega_j (r + \cos \sqrt{i\lambda} \omega_j r)] \widehat{g}^j(r, \lambda) dr. \end{array} \right. \quad (10)$$

Set

$$\Phi(f)^j(s) = -\frac{1}{\lambda \tau_j} \int_s^{\ell_j} \sinh \lambda \tau_j (s - r) \widehat{f}^j(r, \lambda) dr, \quad (11)$$

$$\Psi(g)^j(s) = \frac{-1}{2(\sqrt{i\lambda} \omega_j)^3} \int_s^{\ell_j} [\sinh \sqrt{i\lambda} \omega_j (s - r) - \sin \sqrt{i\lambda} \omega_j (s - r)] \widehat{g}^j(r, \lambda) dr. \quad (12)$$

And set

$$M_j(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} A_j & 0 \\ 0 & s_j \end{pmatrix} \times \begin{pmatrix} \sinh \lambda \tau_j \ell_j & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sinh \sqrt{i\lambda\omega_j} \ell_j & \sin \sqrt{i\lambda\omega_j} \ell_j \\ 0 & (-\sqrt{i\lambda\omega_j}) \cosh \sqrt{i\lambda\omega_j} \ell_j & (-\sqrt{i\lambda\omega_j}) \cos \sqrt{i\lambda\omega_j} \ell_j \end{pmatrix} \quad (13)$$

and

$$N_j(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} (A_j^*)^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & s_j^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \times \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda \tau_j h_j \cosh \lambda \tau_j \ell_j & 0 \\ 0 & -EI_j (-\sqrt{i\lambda\omega_j})^3 \cosh \sqrt{i\lambda\omega_j} \ell_j \\ 0 & EI_j (-\sqrt{i\lambda\omega_j})^2 \sinh \sqrt{i\lambda\omega_j} \ell_j \\ 0 & EI_j (-\sqrt{i\lambda\omega_j})^3 \cos \sqrt{i\lambda\omega_j} \ell_j \\ 0 & -EI_j (-\sqrt{i\lambda\omega_j})^2 \sin \sqrt{i\lambda\omega_j} \ell_j \end{pmatrix} \quad (14)$$

and

$$Q(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \alpha\lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 + \alpha\lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 + \beta\lambda \end{pmatrix}. \quad (15)$$

Thus, we get equations

$$\begin{pmatrix} u(a) \\ w(a) \\ w_x(a) \end{pmatrix} = M_j(\lambda) \begin{pmatrix} b_1^j \\ c_1^j \\ c_2^j \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} A_j \begin{pmatrix} \Phi(f)^j(0) \\ \Psi(g)^j(0) \end{pmatrix} \\ s_j \Psi(g)_s^j(0) \end{pmatrix}, j = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad (16)$$

$$- \sum_{j=1}^n N_j(\lambda) \begin{pmatrix} b_1^j \\ c_1^j \\ c_2^j \end{pmatrix} + Q(\lambda) \begin{pmatrix} u(a) \\ w(a) \\ w_x(a) \end{pmatrix} = Q_0(F) \quad (17)$$

where

$$Q_0(F) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha f_1(a) \\ \alpha g_1(a) \\ \beta g_{1,x}(a) \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{j=1}^n \begin{pmatrix} (A_j^*)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} h_j \Phi(f)_s^j(0) \\ -EI_j \Psi(g)_{ss}^j(0) \end{pmatrix} \\ s_j^{-1} EI_j \Psi(g)_s^j(0) \end{pmatrix} \quad (18)$$

We rewrite (16–17) as follows

$$\begin{pmatrix} M_1(\lambda) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -I \\ 0 & M_2(\lambda) & 0 & 0 & 0 & -I \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & M_n(\lambda) & -I \\ -N_1(\lambda) & -N_2(\lambda) & \dots & 0 & -N_n(\lambda) & Q(\lambda) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} B_1 \\ B_2 \\ \vdots \\ B_n \\ W \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} Q_1 \\ Q_2 \\ \vdots \\ Q_n \\ Q_0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (19)$$

where

$$B_j = \begin{pmatrix} b_1^j \\ c_1^j \\ c_2^j \end{pmatrix}, \quad W = \begin{pmatrix} u(a) \\ w(a) \\ w_x(a) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$Q_j(F) = - \begin{pmatrix} A_j \begin{pmatrix} \Phi(f)^j(0) \\ \Psi(g)^j(0) \end{pmatrix} \\ s_j \Psi(g)_s^j(0) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore, (19) is solvable if and only if its coefficients matrix is nonsingular, i.e.,

$$\Delta(\lambda) = \det \begin{vmatrix} M_1(\lambda) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -I \\ 0 & M_2(\lambda) & 0 & 0 & 0 & -I \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & M_n(\lambda) & -I \\ -N_1(\lambda) & -N_2(\lambda) & \dots & 0 & -N_n(\lambda) & Q(\lambda) \end{vmatrix} \neq 0. \quad (20)$$

When  $\Delta(\lambda) \neq 0$ , in particular, when  $\det |M_j(\lambda)| \neq 0$ , we have

$$\begin{cases} W = \left[ Q(\lambda) - \sum_{j=1}^n N_j(\lambda) M_j^{-1}(\lambda) \right]^{-1} \left[ Q_0(F) + \sum_{j=1}^n N_j M_j^{-1} Q_j \right] \\ B_j = M_j^{-1} \left[ Q - \sum_{j=1}^n N_j M_j^{-1} \right]^{-1} \left[ Q_0(F) + \sum_{j=1}^n N_j M_j^{-1} Q_j \right] + M_j^{-1} Q_j. \end{cases} \quad (21)$$

By now we have gotten the expression of functions

$$\begin{pmatrix} u^j(s) \\ w^j(s) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sinh \lambda \tau_j (\ell_j - s) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sinh \sqrt{i\lambda\omega_j} (\ell_j - s) & \sin \sqrt{i\lambda\omega_j} (\ell_j - s) \end{pmatrix} B_j + \begin{pmatrix} \Phi(f)^j(s) \\ \Psi(g)^j(s) \end{pmatrix} \quad (22)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} u(a) \\ w(a) \\ w_x(a) \\ w_{xxx}(a) \\ w_{xx}(a) \end{pmatrix} = W, \quad \begin{pmatrix} u(a) \\ w(a) \\ w_x(a) \\ w_{xxx}(a) \\ w_{xx}(a) \end{pmatrix} = - \sum_{j=1}^n \begin{pmatrix} (A_j^*)^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & s_j^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} h_j u_s^j(0) \\ -EI_j w_{sss}^j(0) \\ EI_j w_{ss}^j(0) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (23)$$

Therefore, we have

$$Y = \begin{pmatrix} u(x) \\ w(x) \\ v(x) \\ z(x) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u(x) \\ w(x) \\ \lambda u(x) - f_1(x) \\ \lambda w(x) - g_1(x) \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}) \quad (24)$$

and  $Y = R(\lambda, \mathcal{A})F$ . So we attain the following result.

**Theorem 1** For  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ , when  $\Delta(\lambda) \neq 0$ ,  $\lambda \in \rho(\mathcal{A})$  and the resolvent is given by (24). In particular,  $R(\lambda, \mathcal{A})F$  is a meromorphic function of at most finite exponential type.

**Proof** When  $\Delta(\lambda) \neq 0$ ,  $\lambda \in \rho(\mathcal{A})$ , the resolvent of  $\mathcal{A}$  has been given by (24). Clearly,  $R(\lambda, \mathcal{A})F$  is a  $\mathbb{H}$ -valued meromorphic function. In particular,  $\Delta(\lambda)R(\lambda, \mathcal{A})F$  is an entire function on  $\mathbb{C}$ . Note that the functions  $\Phi(f)^j(s)$  and  $\Psi(g)^j(s)$  consist of the integral of functions

$$\sinh \lambda \tau_j (\ell_j - s), \quad \sinh \sqrt{i\lambda} \omega_j (\ell_j - s), \quad \sin \sqrt{i\lambda} \omega_j (\ell_j - s)$$

and  $\Delta(\lambda)$ ,  $M_j(\lambda)$  and  $N_j(\lambda)$  consist of the functions

$$\begin{aligned} &\sinh \lambda \tau_j \ell_j, \quad \sinh \sqrt{i\lambda} \omega_j \ell_j, \quad \sin \sqrt{i\lambda} \omega_j \ell_j, \\ &\cosh \lambda \tau_j \ell_j, \quad \cosh \sqrt{i\lambda} \omega_j \ell_j, \quad \cos \sqrt{i\lambda} \omega_j \ell_j \end{aligned}$$

as well as the polynomial of  $\lambda$  and  $\sqrt{i\lambda}$ . So they are at most of finite exponential type in  $\lambda$ . Hence  $B_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$  are at most of finite exponential type. Therefore,  $R(\lambda, \mathcal{A})F$  is a meromorphic function of at most finite exponential type.  $\square$

From the representation we can get the following result.

**Theorem 2** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be defined as before. Then we have

$$\sigma(\mathcal{A}) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid \Delta(\lambda) = 0\}. \quad (25)$$

In what following we shall discuss the distribution of spectrum by estimating  $\Delta(\lambda)$ .

Let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ , then we can write  $\lambda$  into the form

$$\lambda = r^2 e^{i\theta}, \quad \theta = \arg \lambda.$$

Since  $i = e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}}$ , we have

$$\rho = \sqrt{i\lambda} = \sqrt{r^2 e^{i(\theta + \frac{\pi}{2})}} = r e^{i\psi}, \quad \psi = \frac{1}{2}(\theta + \frac{\pi}{2}).$$

So the transform translate  $\lambda$ -plane into  $\rho$ -plane as follows:

$$\lambda\text{-plane} : \theta \in \left(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right) \rightarrow \rho\text{-plane} : \psi \in \left(0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right];$$

$$\lambda\text{-plane} : \theta = -\frac{\pi}{2} \rightarrow \rho\text{-plane} : \psi = 0;$$

$$\lambda\text{-plane} : \theta \in \left(\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{3\pi}{2}\right) \rightarrow \rho\text{-plane} : \psi \in \left(\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi\right]$$

$$\lambda\text{-plane} : \theta = \frac{3\pi}{2} \rightarrow \rho\text{-plane} : \psi = \pi.$$

Since  $\Delta(\lambda)$  is mainly determined by the functions

$$\sinh \lambda \tau_j \ell_j, \quad \cosh \lambda \tau_j \ell_j, \quad \sinh \sqrt{i\lambda} \omega_j \ell_j,$$

$$\cosh \sqrt{i\lambda} \omega_j \ell_j, \quad \sin \sqrt{i\lambda} \omega_j \ell_j, \quad \cos \sqrt{i\lambda} \omega_j \ell_j,$$

we are going to study the asymptotic estimates of these functions.

Let  $\lambda = r^2 e^{i\theta}$ . When  $\theta \in (-\frac{\pi}{2} + \varepsilon, \frac{\pi}{2} - \varepsilon)$ , we have

$$\Re \lambda > 0, \quad \Re \sqrt{i\lambda} > 0, \quad \Re(-i\sqrt{i\lambda}) > 0.$$

Therefore, for  $\theta \in (-\frac{\pi}{2} + \varepsilon, \frac{\pi}{2} - \varepsilon)$ , as  $r \rightarrow +\infty$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sinh \lambda \tau_j \ell_j &= \frac{1}{2} e^{\lambda \tau_j \ell_j} [1 + o(r^2)], \\ \cosh \lambda \tau_j \ell_j &= \frac{1}{2} e^{\lambda \tau_j \ell_j} [1 + o(r^2)]; \\ \sinh \sqrt{i\lambda} \omega_j \ell_j &= \frac{1}{2} e^{\sqrt{i\lambda} \omega_j \ell_j} [1 + o(r^2)], \\ \cosh \sqrt{i\lambda} \omega_j \ell_j &= \frac{1}{2} e^{\sqrt{i\lambda} \omega_j \ell_j} [1 + o(r^2)]; \\ \sin \sqrt{i\lambda} \omega_j \ell_j &= \frac{i}{2} e^{-i\sqrt{i\lambda} \omega_j \ell_j} [1 + o(r^2)], \\ \cos \sqrt{i\lambda} \omega_j \ell_j &= \frac{1}{2} e^{-i\sqrt{i\lambda} \omega_j \ell_j} [1 + o(r^2)]. \end{aligned}$$

For  $\theta \in (\frac{\pi}{2} + \varepsilon, \frac{3\pi}{2} - \varepsilon)$ , we have

$$\Re(-\lambda) > 0, \quad \Re(-\sqrt{i\lambda}) > 0, \quad \Re(-i\sqrt{i\lambda}) > 0.$$

Therefore, for  $\theta \in (\frac{\pi}{2} + \varepsilon, \frac{3\pi}{2} - \varepsilon)$ , as  $r \rightarrow +\infty$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sinh \lambda \tau_j \ell_j &= -\frac{1}{2} e^{-\lambda \tau_j \ell_j} [1 + o(r^2)], \\ \cosh \lambda \tau_j \ell_j &= \frac{1}{2} e^{-\lambda \tau_j \ell_j} [1 + o(r^2)]; \\ \sinh \sqrt{i\lambda} \omega_j \ell_j &= -\frac{1}{2} e^{-\sqrt{i\lambda} \omega_j \ell_j} [1 + o(r^2)], \\ \cosh \sqrt{i\lambda} \omega_j \ell_j &= \frac{1}{2} e^{-\sqrt{i\lambda} \omega_j \ell_j} [1 + o(r^2)]; \\ \sin \sqrt{i\lambda} \omega_j \ell_j &= \frac{i}{2} e^{-i\sqrt{i\lambda} \omega_j \ell_j} [1 + o(r^2)], \\ \cos \sqrt{i\lambda} \omega_j \ell_j &= \frac{1}{2} e^{-i\sqrt{i\lambda} \omega_j \ell_j} [1 + o(r^2)]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have the following estimates:

A). When  $\theta \in [-\frac{\pi}{2} + \varepsilon, \frac{\pi}{2} - \varepsilon]$ , we have

$$M_j(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} A_j & 0 \\ 0 & s_j \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} e^{\lambda \tau_j \ell_j} [1] & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} e^{\sqrt{i\lambda} \omega_j \ell_j} [1] & \frac{i}{2} e^{-i\sqrt{i\lambda} \omega_j \ell_j} [1] \\ 0 & \frac{(-\sqrt{i\lambda} \omega_j)}{2} e^{\sqrt{i\lambda} \omega_j \ell_j} [1] & \frac{(-\sqrt{i\lambda} \omega_j)}{2} e^{-i\sqrt{i\lambda} \omega_j \ell_j} [1] \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$N_j(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} (A_j^*)^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & s_j^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \times \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\lambda\tau_j h_j}{2} e^{\lambda\tau_j \ell_j [1]} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{EI_j(-\sqrt{i\lambda\omega_j})^3}{2} e^{\sqrt{i\lambda\omega_j} \ell_j [1]} \\ 0 & \frac{EI_j(-\sqrt{i\lambda\omega_j})^2}{2} e^{\sqrt{i\lambda\omega_j} \ell_j [1]} \\ \frac{EI_j(-\sqrt{i\lambda\omega_j})^3}{2} e^{-i\sqrt{i\lambda\omega_j} \ell_j [1]} \\ -i\frac{EI_j(-\sqrt{i\lambda\omega_j})^2}{2} e^{-i\sqrt{i\lambda\omega_j} \ell_j [1]} \end{pmatrix},$$

where [1] means that [1] = 1 + o(r<sup>2</sup>) as r large enough. They are equivalently written as

$$M_j(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} A_j & 0 \\ 0 & s_j \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & i \\ 0 & (-\sqrt{i\lambda\omega_j}) & (-\sqrt{i\lambda\omega_j}) \end{pmatrix} \times \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} e^{\lambda\tau_j \ell_j [1]} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} e^{\sqrt{i\lambda\omega_j} \ell_j [1]} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} e^{-i\sqrt{i\lambda\omega_j} \ell_j [1]} \end{pmatrix} \\ = \widehat{M}_j(\lambda) \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} e^{\lambda\tau_j \ell_j [1]} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} e^{\sqrt{i\lambda\omega_j} \ell_j [1]} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} e^{-i\sqrt{i\lambda\omega_j} \ell_j [1]} \end{pmatrix} \quad (26)$$

and

$$N_j(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} (A_j^*)^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & s_j^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \times \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda\tau_j h_j & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -EI_j(-\sqrt{i\lambda\omega_j})^3 & EI_j(-\sqrt{i\lambda\omega_j})^3 \\ 0 & EI_j(-\sqrt{i\lambda\omega_j})^2 & -iEI_j(-\sqrt{i\lambda\omega_j})^2 \end{pmatrix} \times \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} e^{\lambda\tau_j \ell_j [1]} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} e^{\sqrt{i\lambda\omega_j} \ell_j [1]} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} e^{-i\sqrt{i\lambda\omega_j} \ell_j [1]} \end{pmatrix} \\ = \widehat{N}_j(\lambda) \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} e^{\lambda\tau_j \ell_j [1]} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} e^{\sqrt{i\lambda\omega_j} \ell_j [1]} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} e^{-i\sqrt{i\lambda\omega_j} \ell_j [1]} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (27)$$

Thus we have

$$\Delta(\lambda) = \frac{(1-i)^n}{2^{3n}} e^{\sum_{j=1}^n [\lambda\tau_j \ell_j + (1-i)\sqrt{i\lambda\omega_j} \ell_j]} (-\sqrt{i\lambda})^n [1] \prod_{j=1}^n |A_j| s_j \omega_j \det \left| Q(\lambda) - \sum_{j=1}^n \widehat{N}_j(\lambda) \widehat{M}_j^{-1}(\lambda) \right| \quad (28)$$

and

$$\widehat{N}_j(\lambda) \widehat{M}_j^{-1}(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} (A_j^*)^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & s_j^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda\tau_j h_j & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -(1+i)EI_j(-\sqrt{i\lambda\omega_j})^3 & iEI_j(-\sqrt{i\lambda\omega_j})^2 \\ 0 & iEI_j(-\sqrt{i\lambda\omega_j})^2 & (1-i)EI_j(-\sqrt{i\lambda\omega_j}) \end{pmatrix} \times \begin{pmatrix} A_j^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{s_j} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Set

$$A_j = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^j & a_{12}^j \\ a_{21}^j & a_{22}^j \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$A_j^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{a_{22}^j}{|A_j|} & -\frac{a_{12}^j}{|A_j|} \\ -\frac{a_{21}^j}{|A_j|} & \frac{a_{11}^j}{|A_j|} \end{pmatrix}, (A_j^*)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{a_{22}^j}{|A_j|} & -\frac{a_{21}^j}{|A_j|} \\ -\frac{a_{12}^j}{|A_j|} & \frac{a_{11}^j}{|A_j|} \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus, for  $\rho = \sqrt{i\lambda}$ , we have

$$\widehat{N}_j(\lambda) \widehat{M}_j^{-1}(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} i\rho^2 \frac{(a_{22}^j)^2}{|A_j|^2} \tau_j h_j + (1+i)\rho^3 \frac{(a_{21}^j)^2}{|A_j|^2} EI_j \omega_j^3 & -i\rho^2 \frac{a_{21}^j}{|A_j|} EI_j \omega_j^2 \\ -i\rho \frac{a_{22}^j a_{12}^j}{|A_j|^2} \tau_j h_j - (1+i)\rho^3 \frac{a_{11}^j a_{21}^j}{|A_j|^2} EI_j \omega_j^3 & i\rho^2 \frac{a_{11}^j}{|A_j|} EI_j \omega_j^2 \\ -i\rho^2 \frac{a_{21}^j}{|A_j|} \frac{EI_j}{s_j} \omega_j^2 & -i\rho^2 \frac{a_{22}^j a_{12}^j}{|A_j|^2} \tau_j h_j - (1+i)\rho^3 \frac{a_{21}^j a_{11}^j}{|A_j|^2} EI_j \omega_j^3 \\ i\rho^2 \frac{(a_{12}^j)^2}{|A_j|^2} \tau_j h_j + (1+i)\rho^3 \frac{(a_{11}^j)^2}{|A_j|^2} EI_j \omega_j^3 & i\rho^2 \frac{a_{11}^j}{|A_j|} EI_j \omega_j^2 \\ i\rho^2 \frac{a_{11}^j}{|A_j|} \frac{EI_j}{s_j} \omega_j^2 & -(1-i)\rho \frac{EI_j \omega_j}{s_j^2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore we get estimate

$$\det \left| Q(\lambda) - \sum_{j=1}^n \widehat{N}_j(\lambda) \widehat{M}_j^{-1}(\lambda) \right| = 2\beta\rho^8 [1] \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{(a_{21}^j)^2}{|A_j|^2} EI_j \omega_j^3 \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{(a_{11}^j)^2}{|A_j|^2} EI_j \omega_j^3 + 2\beta\rho^8 [1] \left( \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{a_{11}^j a_{21}^j}{|A_j|^2} EI_j \omega_j^3 \right)^2$$

So, when  $a_{21}^j \neq 0, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , we have

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Delta(\lambda)}{(-\sqrt{i\lambda})^{n+8} e^{\sum_{j=1}^n [\lambda\tau_j \ell_j + (1-i)\sqrt{i\lambda\omega_j} \ell_j]}} \neq 0.$$

When  $a_{21}^j \equiv 0, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , we have

$$\widehat{N}_j(\lambda) \widehat{M}_j^{-1}(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} i\rho^2 \frac{(a_{22}^j)^2}{|A_j|^2} \tau_j h_j & 0 \\ -i\rho \frac{a_{22}^j a_{12}^j}{|A_j|^2} \tau_j h_j & 0 \\ -i\rho^2 \frac{a_{22}^j a_{12}^j}{|A_j|^2} \tau_j h_j & 0 \\ i\rho^2 \frac{(a_{12}^j)^2}{|A_j|^2} \tau_j h_j + (1+i)\rho^3 \frac{(a_{11}^j)^2}{|A_j|^2} EI_j \omega_j^3 & i\rho^2 \frac{a_{11}^j}{|A_j|} EI_j \omega_j^2 \\ i\rho^2 \frac{a_{11}^j}{|A_j|} \frac{EI_j}{s_j} \omega_j^2 & -(1-i)\rho \frac{EI_j \omega_j}{s_j^2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence,

$$\det \left| Q(\lambda) - \sum_{j=1}^n \widehat{N}_j(\lambda) \widehat{M}_j^{-1}(\lambda) \right| = (1+i)\beta\rho^7 [1] \left[ \alpha + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{(a_{22}^j)^2}{|A_j|^2} \tau_j h_j \right] \times \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{(a_{11}^j)^2}{|A_j|^2} EI_j \omega_j^3.$$

Also we have

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Delta(\lambda)}{(-\sqrt{i\lambda})^{n+7} e^{\sum_{j=1}^n [\lambda\tau_j\ell_j - (1-i)\sqrt{i\lambda}\omega_j\ell_j]}} \neq 0.$$

B). When  $\theta \in (-\frac{\pi}{2} + \varepsilon, \frac{3\pi}{2} - \varepsilon)$ , we have

$$M_j(\lambda) = \widehat{M}_j(\lambda) \times \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}e^{-\lambda\tau_j\ell_j[1]} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2}e^{-\sqrt{i\lambda}\omega_j\ell_j[1]} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2}e^{-i\sqrt{i\lambda}\omega_j\ell_j[1]} \end{pmatrix} \quad (29)$$

and

$$N_j(\lambda) = \widehat{N}_j(\lambda) \times \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}e^{-\lambda\tau_j\ell_j[1]} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2}e^{-\sqrt{i\lambda}\omega_j\ell_j[1]} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2}e^{-i\sqrt{i\lambda}\omega_j\ell_j[1]} \end{pmatrix} \quad (30)$$

So we have

$$\Delta(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2^{3n}} e^{-\sum_{j=1}^n [\lambda\tau_j\ell_j + (1+i)\sqrt{i\lambda}\omega_j\ell_j]} [1] \times \det \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{M}_1(\lambda) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -I \\ 0 & \widehat{M}_2(\lambda) & 0 & 0 & 0 & -I \\ \vdots & \dots & \ddots & \dots & \vdots & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \widehat{M}_n(\lambda) & -I \\ \widehat{N}_1(\lambda) & \widehat{N}_2(\lambda) & \dots & 0 & \widehat{N}_n(\lambda) & Q(\lambda) \end{pmatrix} \quad (31)$$

In this case,

$$\widehat{M}_j(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} A_j & 0 \\ 0 & s_j \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & i \\ 0 & (-\sqrt{i\lambda}\omega_j) & (-\sqrt{i\lambda}\omega_j) \end{pmatrix} \quad (32)$$

and

$$\widehat{N}_j(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} (A_j^*)^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & s_j^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \times \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda\tau_j h_j & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -EI_j(-\sqrt{i\lambda}\omega_j)^3 & EI_j(-\sqrt{i\lambda}\omega_j)^3 \\ 0 & -EI_j(-\sqrt{i\lambda}\omega_j)^2 & -iEI_j(-\sqrt{i\lambda}\omega_j)^2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (33)$$

Thus we have

$$\widehat{N}_j(\lambda)\widehat{M}_j^{-1}(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} (A_j^*)^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & s_j^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda\tau_j h_j & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (1-i)EI_j(-\sqrt{i\lambda}\omega_j)^3 & -iEI_j(-\sqrt{i\lambda}\omega_j)^2 \\ 0 & -iEI_j(-\sqrt{i\lambda}\omega_j)^2 & -(1+i)EI_j(-\sqrt{i\lambda}\omega_j) \end{pmatrix} \times \begin{pmatrix} A_j^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{s_j} \end{pmatrix}.$$

For  $\rho = \sqrt{i\lambda}$ , we have

$$\widehat{N}_j(\lambda)\widehat{M}_j^{-1}(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} -i\rho^2 \frac{(a_{22}^j)^2}{|A_j|^2} \tau_j h_j - (1-i)\rho^3 \frac{(a_{21}^j)^2}{|A_j|^2} EI_j \omega_j^3 & & & & & \\ i\rho \frac{a_{22}^j a_{21}^j}{|A_j|^2} \tau_j h_j + (1-i)\rho^3 \frac{a_{11}^j a_{21}^j}{|A_j|^2} EI_j \omega_j^3 & & & & & \\ & i\rho^2 \frac{a_{21}^j}{|A_j|} \frac{EI_j \omega_j^2}{s_j} & & & & \\ i\rho^2 \frac{a_{22}^j a_{21}^j}{|A_j|^2} \tau_j h_j + (1-i)\rho^3 \frac{a_{11}^j a_{21}^j}{|A_j|^2} EI_j \omega_j^3 & & i\rho^2 \frac{a_{21}^j}{|A_j|} \frac{EI_j \omega_j^2}{s_j} & & & \\ -i\rho^2 \frac{(a_{12}^j)^2}{|A_j|^2} \tau_j h_j - (1-i)\rho^3 \frac{(a_{11}^j)^2}{|A_j|^2} EI_j \omega_j^3 & & -i\rho^2 \frac{a_{11}^j}{|A_j|} \frac{EI_j \omega_j^2}{s_j} & & & \\ -i\rho^2 \frac{a_{11}^j}{|A_j|} \frac{EI_j \omega_j^2}{s_j} & & & & (1+i)\rho \frac{EI_j \omega_j}{s_j^2} & \end{pmatrix}$$

Therefore we have estimate

$$\det \left| Q(\lambda) - \sum_{j=1}^n \widehat{N}_j(\lambda)\widehat{M}_j^{-1}(\lambda) \right| = 2i\beta\rho^8 [1] \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{(a_{21}^j)^2}{|A_j|^2} EI_j \omega_j^3 \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{(a_{11}^j)^2}{|A_j|^2} EI_j \omega_j^3 + 2i\beta\rho^8 [1] \left( \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{a_{11}^j a_{21}^j}{|A_j|^2} EI_j \omega_j^3 \right)^2.$$

So, when  $a_{21}^j \neq 0, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , we have

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Delta(\lambda)}{(-\sqrt{i\lambda})^{n+8} e^{\sum_{j=1}^n [\lambda\tau_j\ell_j + (1-i)\sqrt{i\lambda}\omega_j\ell_j]}} \neq 0.$$

When  $a_{21}^j \equiv 0, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , we have

$$\widehat{N}_j(\lambda)\widehat{M}_j^{-1}(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} -i\rho^2 \frac{(a_{22}^j)^2}{|A_j|^2} \tau_j h_j & & & & & \\ i\rho \frac{a_{22}^j a_{21}^j}{|A_j|^2} \tau_j h_j & & & & & \\ & i\rho^2 \frac{a_{22}^j a_{21}^j}{|A_j|^2} \tau_j h_j & & & & \\ -i\rho^2 \frac{(a_{12}^j)^2}{|A_j|^2} \tau_j h_j - (1-i)\rho^3 \frac{(a_{11}^j)^2}{|A_j|^2} EI_j \omega_j^3 & & & -i\rho^2 \frac{a_{11}^j}{|A_j|} \frac{EI_j \omega_j^2}{s_j} & & \\ -i\rho^2 \frac{a_{11}^j}{|A_j|} \frac{EI_j \omega_j^2}{s_j} & & & & (1+i)\rho \frac{EI_j \omega_j}{s_j^2} & \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus,

$$\det \left| Q(\lambda) - \sum_{j=1}^n \widehat{N}_j(\lambda)\widehat{M}_j^{-1}(\lambda) \right| = (1-i)\beta\rho^7 [1] \left[ \alpha - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{(a_{22}^j)^2}{|A_j|^2} \tau_j h_j \right] \times \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{(a_{11}^j)^2}{|A_j|^2} EI_j \omega_j^3.$$

When  $\alpha - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{(a_{22}^j)^2}{|A_j|^2} \tau_j h_j \neq 0$ , we have

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Delta(\lambda)}{(-\sqrt{i\lambda})^{n+7} e^{\sum_{j=1}^n [\lambda\tau_j\ell_j - (1-i)\sqrt{i\lambda}\omega_j\ell_j]}} \neq 0.$$

The calculation above shows that there is no eigenvalue in the domain

$$\lambda = r^2 e^{i\theta}, \theta \in \left[ -\frac{\pi}{2} + \varepsilon, \frac{\pi}{2} - \varepsilon \right] \cup \left[ \frac{\pi}{2} + \varepsilon, \frac{3\pi}{2} - \varepsilon \right]$$

with  $|\lambda|$  large enough. So the spectrum of  $\mathcal{A}$  distributes in a strip parallel the imaginary axis in  $\lambda$  plane. Therefore, we have the following result.

**Theorem 3** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be defined as before. Then when  $a_{21}^j \neq 0$ , or  $a_{21}^j \equiv 0, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$  and  $\alpha - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{(a_{22}^j)^2}{|A_j|^2} \tau_j h_j \neq 0$ , there exists positive constants  $M_1, M_2$  and  $h$  such that for  $\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $|\Re \lambda| > h$ ,

$$M_1 \leq \left| \frac{\Delta(\lambda)}{(-\sqrt{i\lambda})^{n+k} \sum_{j=1}^n [\lambda \tau_j \ell_j + (1-i)\sqrt{i\lambda} \omega_j \ell_j]} \right| \leq M_2, \quad (34)$$

where  $k = 8$  as  $a_{21}^j \neq 0$ , or  $k = 7$  as  $a_{21}^j \equiv 0, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$  and  $\alpha - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{(a_{22}^j)^2}{|A_j|^2} \tau_j h_j \neq 0$ . Hence, we have

$$\sigma(\mathcal{A}) \subset \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid -h \leq \Re \lambda \leq 0\}. \quad (35)$$

**Remark 4** In Theorem 3, the condition  $a_{21}^j \equiv 0, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$  means that the strings and beams are weakly coupled. In this case, the condition  $\alpha - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{(a_{22}^j)^2}{|A_j|^2} \tau_j h_j \neq 0$  says that the damping constant is not equal to the velocity of wave. This result coincides with the case  $n = 1$ .

**Acknowledgements:** This research is supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China grant NSFC-60474017.

**References:**

- [1] B. Dekoninck, S. Nicaise, *Control of networks of Euler-Bernoulli beams*, ESAIM Control Optim Calc. Var, **4**(1999), pp. 57–81.
- [2] R. Dager, E. Zuazua, "Wave Propagation, Observation and Control in 1-d Flexible Multistructures", Series: Mathematiques & Applications, Vol. 50, Sringer-Verlag, Berline, New York, 2006.
- [3] F. AliMehmeti and R. Regnier, *Splitting of energy of dispersive wave in a star-shaped network*, Z. Angew. Math. Mech., **83**(2003), no.2, 105–118.
- [4] K. Ammari and M. Jellouli, *Stabilization of star-shaped tree of elastic strings*, Differential and Integral Equations, **17**(2004), pp. 1395–1410.
- [5] K. Ammari, M. Jellouli and M. Khenissi, *Stabilization of generic trees of strings*, J. Dynamical. Control. Systems, **11**(2005), no.2, pp. 177–193.
- [6] G. Q. Xu, D. Y. Liu, Y. Q. Liu, *Abstract second order hyperbolic system and applications to controlled network of strings*, SIAM J. Control & Optim. **47**(4), 2008,1762–1784.

- [7] G. Q. Xu and N.E. Mastorakis *Stability of a star-shaped coupled networks of strings and beams*, WSEAS, Preceeding of the 10th WSEAS international conference on Technique and Computations. Technical University of Sofia (Bulgaria), 2008.