### DSP based simulator for excitation control of synchronous generator

DAMIR SUMINA, IGOR ERCEG, GORISLAV ERCEG Faculty of electrical engineering and computing University of Zagreb Faculty of electrical engineering University of Osijek Kneza Trpimira 2, Osijek CROATIA damir.sumina@fer.hr

*Abstract:* - This paper proposes a DSP based simulator for the implementing and testing control algorithms. The simulator is used to control a synchronous power plant model in real time. The simulator consists of a PC, on which the synchronous generator connected to AC network is simulated, connected through a communication channel to a DSP into which the control algorithm has been implemented. The simulator enables verification of the control algorithm on a simulation model in real time. In real time the simulator enables faster processes of engineering, implementing and verifying control algorithms not only for the voltage control system of a synchronous generator, but also for other systems able of having mathematical and simulation models. This paper shows a comparison in the case when both the system model and the control structure are simulated and for a case when the model is simulated on a computer and the control structure implemented in the DSP. The implementation of a conventional and a nonlinear synchronous generator voltage control structure has been presented. These methods were tested in the cases of voltage reference change, mechanical power change and the case of a short circuit on the transmission line.

*Key-Words:* - Real time simulation, DSP based simulator, synchronous generator, excitation control, nonlinear control

### 1 Introduction

Testing a controller in complex process control systems demands a real control system or an adequate laboratory model. After engineering the necessary electronic circuits, simulating and implementing the control algorithm, the controller's operation on a real system must be verified. With complex systems, such as a power system, engineering an adequate laboratory model is difficult and expensive, and the real, operating systems are rarely put to a stop so as to examine the operation of its controller. It is desirable, for technical and economic reasons, to have a simulator, which would simulate the physical behaviors of real, complex systems [1], [2], [3].

The implementation of a control algorithm and the testing of a controller within a synchronous generator's voltage control system by a simulator are presented in this paper. A synchronous generator's dynamic behavior is simulated in real time using a Matlab/Simulink program package. The control algorithm was implemented on a TMS320F2812 digital signal processor (DSP) by Texas Instruments. DSP based simulator (fig. 1) consists of a PC simulating a synchronous generator, connected by a communication channel (through a parallel port) to a DSP into which the control algorithm has been implemented.

The data exchange between the PC and the DSP is carried out by a JTAG (*Join Test Action Group*) emulator through the real- time data exchange interface (RTDX). This kind of simulator for the testing of controller operation in a system is less technically and economically demanding than if the testing was conducted on a lab model, or on a real system. Different control processes can be simulated with the mathematical and simulation models and the input/output signals can be brought directly to the controller, while testing its operation (processor/hardware in the loop simulations).



Fig. 1 DSP based simulator

The simulator contains commercial electronic components that are easily accessible and economically acceptable.

network

In this paper the voltage control system of synchronous generator is examined.

The synchronous generator is connected to a network through a transmission line (fig. 2) (reactance of transmission line is 0.2 p.u.). Fig. 3 shows the generator's voltage control system block scheme.

The generator's voltage control system consists of a proportional excitation current controller and a PI voltage controller which is super-ordinate to it [4], [5]. The control system's input signals are two measured phase currents, two line voltages and the generator's excitation current, while the system's output signal is a PWM signal for an AD/DC converter.



Fig. 3 Generator's voltage control system

#### 2 Simulation model of the synchronous generator

Before implementing and testing controller operation in a real system, it is necessary to make a mathematical and simulation model of the real system. The mathematical model of synchronous generator is determined by the following differential equations [4]:

$$-u_{d} = r \cdot i_{d} + \frac{1}{\omega_{s}} \cdot \frac{d\Psi_{d}}{dt} + \omega \cdot \Psi_{q}$$
(1)

$$-u_{q} = r \cdot i_{q} + \frac{1}{\omega_{s}} \cdot \frac{d\Psi_{q}}{dt} - \omega \cdot \Psi_{d}$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

Damir Sumina, Igor Erceg, Gorislav Erceg

$$u_f = r_f \cdot i_f + \frac{1}{\omega_s} \cdot \frac{d\Psi_f}{dt}$$
(3)

**лт** 

$$0 = r_D \cdot i_D + \frac{1}{\omega_s} \cdot \frac{d\Psi_D}{dt}$$
(4)

$$0 = r_{Q} \cdot i_{Q} + \frac{1}{\omega_{s}} \cdot \frac{d\Psi_{Q}}{dt}$$
(5)

The equations defining the relations between the fluxes and currents are:

$$\Psi_d = x_d \cdot i_d + x_{ad} \cdot i_f + x_{dD} \cdot i_D \tag{6}$$

$$\Psi_q = x_q \cdot i_q + x_{qQ} \cdot i_Q \tag{7}$$

$$\Psi_f = x_{ad} \cdot i_d + x_f \cdot i_f + x_{fD} \cdot i_D \tag{8}$$

$$\Psi_D = x_{dD} \cdot i_d + x_{fD} \cdot i_f + x_D \cdot i_D \tag{9}$$

$$\Psi_{\varrho} = x_{q\varrho} \cdot i_q + x_{\varrho} \cdot i_{\varrho} \tag{10}$$

The aggregate motion equations are:

$$\frac{d\delta}{dt} = (\omega - 1) \cdot \omega_s \tag{11}$$

$$\frac{d\omega}{dt} = \frac{1}{T_m} \cdot \left( m_m - m_e \right) \tag{12}$$

The electromagnetic torque of the generator is determined by equation:

$$m_e = \Psi_q \cdot i_d - \Psi_d \cdot i_q \tag{13}$$

Connection between the synchronous generator and AC network is determined by the following equations:

$$u_d = i_d \cdot r_e + \frac{x_e}{\omega_s} \cdot \frac{di_d}{dt} + \omega \cdot x_e \cdot i_q + u_{sd}$$
(14)

$$u_q = i_q \cdot r_e + \frac{x_e}{\omega_s} \cdot \frac{di_q}{dt} - \omega \cdot x_e \cdot i_d + u_{sq}$$
(15)

$$u_{sd} = U_s \cdot \left(-\sin\delta\right) \tag{16}$$

$$u_{sq} = U_s \cdot \cos\delta \tag{17}$$

The mathematical model of a synchronous generator connected to a power system is simulated in the Matlab/Simulink program tool.

In the first case the simulation model (fig. 4) includes a proportionally integral (P.I.) voltage controller and a proportional (P) generator excitation current controller.

After performing the tests on a simulation model it is necessary to implement the control algorithms into the existing excitation system. Nowadays, digital signal processors (DSP) are often used as control units in the excitation control system of a synchronous generator due to the large quantities of data that need to be processed in a short amount of time. Until recently, a DSP could only be programmed using a high-level languages (C/C++, Java, etc) or through assembly languages. This demands additional knowledge of DSP programming.

Controller operation must be verified after implementing the control algorithm into the DSP. A laboratory model of a generator's voltage control system is complex and may not be profitable. Also, it is rarely possible to perform this kind of voltage control system testing on the real power plant, because it demands that the power plant operation be stopped. So, in the second case the control structure is implemented in the DSP system and then compared with the first case, when the control structure is simulated in Matlab/Simulink.

# **3** Simulation model of the voltage control system

The simulation model of a synchronous generator's voltage control system (fig. 4) describes the given system well. Various control algorithms can be tested on this model, and the physical behaviors of the system with different control algorithms can be observed. The simulation model does not operate in real time, and the limits of actuating units within the control system have been ignored, such as processor type (32-bite, 16-bite), types of data the processor works with (fixed-point or floating-point), speed of transfer and of data processing, signal filtration in cases of analog- digital conversion.

Besides, this model is not suitable for the direct implementation of the control algorithm into the DSP, because it is simulated using the variable-step ode45 (Dormand-Prince) method. It is impossible to know the exact state of the simulated physical variables at every moment.

The simulation model at hand cannot be simulated using any fixed-step methods due to algebraic loops. That is why the control system controller in the simulation model must be a discrete one.

The PI voltage controller (fig. 5) and the P excitation current controller (fig. 6) from the synchronous power plant simulation model must be realized in the discrete domain (fig. 7), so the algorithm can be implemented into the DSP. The voltage reference signal, as well as the measured voltage and measured excitation current signals have been made discrete using the Zero-Order Hold function.





Fig. 6 P excitation current controller



Fig. 7 - PI voltage controller and P excitation current controller in discrete form

Simulation tests of the generator's voltage control system's operation have been done for both the cases of generator's reference voltage stepchange and of a short circuit on transmission line (fig. 2).



Fig. 4 Simulation model of synchronous generator's voltage control system

### 4 Using simulator for implementing and testing of algorithms

Several changes need to be made for implementing the control algorithm into the DSP system. The simulator works with a 32-bite fixed-point TMS320F2812 DSP. Therefore, all the floatingpoint input signals must be converted into fixedpoint signals. The voltage reference signal, measured voltage and measured excitation current signals have been converted from floating-point into fixed-point signals using the IQMath blocks (Float to IQN).

Fig. 8 shows the synchronous generator control algorithm implemented in the simulator based on the DSP. A PID controller block from the DMC library was used for the synchronous generator's PI voltage control and P excitation current control.

Control algorithms with the simulator are blockprogrammed using Matlab/Simulink R2008a (with TC2 Target Support Package) [6], [7], [8].

Using Real Time Workshop, Embedded Link IDE CC, the block algorithm of the synchronous generator's excitation system is automatically translated into C/C++, and also automatically lowered into the DSP. The communication between the PC and the DSP takes place via a parallel communication port using the RTDX interface [9].

#### 4.1 Implementing of conventional algorithm

The generator's voltage control system's operation has been tested for the cases of reference voltage step change and of a three-phase short circuit on the transmission line.

Fig. 9 show the generator responses in the case of a short circuit which happened at 0,3 seconds and lasted 100 ms, for a system with a simulated discrete and DSP implemented controller.

Fig. 10 show the generator responses for generator's reference voltage change from the initial value of 1 p.u. to the value of 0,8 p.u., for a system with a simulated discrete and DSP implemented controller.

The simulation model controlled by the simulator (DSP) in real time has an 0,8 ms delay compared to a case, where the simulated model of the controlled system is performed on a PC. The simulator's delay compared to a synchronous generator's discrete excitation system is caused by the delay in data exchange between the DSP and the PC.



Fig. 8 Synchronous generator control algorithm on DSP based simulator







## 4.2 Implementing of nonlinear algorithm

The parameters and characteristics of a conventional voltage regulator are determined based on a linearized synchronous generator model operating at



Fig. 10 Synchronous generator's load angle for generator's reference voltage change with simulated discrete and implemented in DSP PI voltage controller and P excitation current controller

a specific work point so this regulator is not robust to generator work point changes, i.e. to system structure changes (transmission line falling out, short circuit on a transmission line etc.). The power system keeps making bigger demands to the power units and thereby to the generator excitation control system. This imposes the need to explore other types of structures and synchronous generator excitation system control algorithms. Starting from the demands of the power system makes on the power aggregate, i.e. on generator excitation, a new, nonlinear excitation controller has been developed and implemented by using Lyapunov's direct stability method. The nonlinear regulator not only keeps the voltage on generator clamps equal to the reference voltage, but also damps the electro mechanic oscillations of the power unit.

Lyapunov's direct method [10], [11], [12] was

used to develop the nonlinear voltage regulator as well as a third order mathematical model of a hydrogenerator (active resistances of the stator coils and transient processes in damping coils were neglected), which is connected to an AC network via transformer and transmission line (fig. 2). Vector diagram of a hydrogenerator connected to AC network is presented on the fig. 12.



Fig. 12 Vector diagram of hydrogenerator connected to AC network

The mathematical model of synchronous generator connected to AC network is given by [1], [2], [11], [12]:

$$\frac{d\delta}{dt} = (\omega - 1) \cdot \omega_s \tag{18}$$

$$\frac{d\omega}{dt} = \frac{1}{T_m} \cdot \left( p_m - p_e \right) \tag{19}$$

$$\frac{dE_q'}{dt} = \frac{1}{T_{d0}'} \cdot \left(E_f - i_f \cdot x_{ad}\right)$$
(20)

where  $\delta$  is the load angle,  $\omega$  rotation speed and  $E_q'$  transient induced voltage in the *q* axis *q* osi.  $P_e$  denotes generator active power which comes to:

$$p_{e} = \frac{E_{q} \cdot U_{s}}{x_{d}' + x_{e}} \cdot \sin(\delta) + \frac{U_{s}^{2}}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{x_{d}' - x_{q}}{(x_{d'} + x_{e}) \cdot (x_{q} + x_{e})}\right) \cdot \sin(2 \cdot \delta)^{(21)}$$

Lyapunov's direct method is one of the more important tools for analyzing stability of nonlinear systems today. Lyapunov's methods are also used in the synthesis of nonlinear control algorithms, known as Control Lyapunov Function (CLF) [13], [14], [15], [16], [17]. The existance of the CLF is both a necessity and condition enough for system stability. Using the CLF can lead to the development of varius rules of control which will asymptotically stabilize the system. The CLF's greatest disadvantage is – there is no exact way to find a CLF for a nonlinear system.

Further on, a control algorithm has been developed for the control of a synchronous generator excitation system, which will confirm the system's stability according to Lyapunov.

Assuming Lyapunov's function (22), where is the error between voltage reference value and the real voltage value on generator clamps (23):

$$V = \frac{1}{2} \cdot e^2 \tag{22}$$

$$e = U_{ref} - u \tag{23}$$

Adding (23) into (22), and differentiating the equation (22) will lead to:

$$\frac{dV}{dt} = e \cdot \frac{du}{dt} \tag{24}$$

Supstitution of generator voltage  $u = \sqrt{u_d^2 + u_q^2}$  to the equation (24) will lead to:

$$\frac{dV}{dt} = \frac{e}{u} \cdot \left( u_d \cdot \frac{du_d}{dt} + u_q \cdot \frac{du_q}{dt} \right)$$
(25)

From the generator's vector diagram (fig 12), voltages  $u_d$  i  $u_q$  can be calculated:

$$u_d = U_s \cdot \sin(\delta) \cdot \frac{x_q}{x_q + x_e}$$
(26)

$$u_q = E_q' \cdot \frac{x_e}{x_d' + x_e} + U_s \cdot \cos(\delta) \cdot \frac{x_d'}{x_d' + x_e}$$
(27)

respectively:

$$\frac{du_{d}}{dt} = U_{s} \cdot \frac{x_{q}}{x_{q} + x_{e}} \cdot \cos(\delta) \cdot \frac{d\delta}{dt}$$

$$= U_{s} \cdot \frac{x_{q}}{x_{q} + x_{e}} \cdot \cos(\delta) \cdot \omega_{s} \cdot \Delta\omega$$
(28)

$$\frac{du_{q}}{dt} = \frac{dE_{q}'}{dt} \cdot \frac{x_{e}}{x_{d}' + x_{e}}$$

$$-U_{s} \cdot \sin(\delta) \cdot \frac{x_{d}'}{x_{d}' + x_{e}} \cdot \frac{d\delta}{dt}$$

$$= \frac{1}{T_{d0}'} \cdot (E_{f} - i_{f} \cdot x_{ad}) \cdot \frac{x_{e}}{x_{d}' + x_{e}}$$

$$-U_{s} \cdot \sin(\delta) \cdot \frac{x_{d}'}{x_{d}' + x_{e}} \cdot \omega_{s} \cdot \Delta\omega$$
(29)

Adding the equations (28) and (29) to the equation (25) will lead to:

$$\frac{dV}{dt} = \frac{e}{u} \left[ u_d \frac{x_q}{x_e + x_q} U_s \cos(\delta) \omega_s \Delta \omega + u_q \frac{1}{T_{d0}} E_f \frac{x_e}{x_d' + x_e} + u_q \left( -\frac{1}{T_{d0}} i_f x_{ad} \frac{x_e}{x_d' + x_e} - \frac{x_d'}{x_d' + x_e} U_s \sin(\delta) \omega_s \Delta \omega \right) \right]$$
(30)

Control rule has been selected:

$$E_{f} = -T_{d0} \left[ \frac{x_{d} + x_{e}}{x_{e}} \frac{1}{u_{q}} \left[ K_{1}e + K_{2}u_{d} \frac{x_{q}}{x_{e} + x_{q}} U_{s} \cos(\delta) \omega_{s} \Delta \omega + K_{3}u_{q} \left( -\frac{1}{T_{d0}} i_{f} x_{ad} \frac{x_{e}}{x_{d} + x_{e}} - \frac{x_{d} + x_{e}}{x_{d} + x_{e}} U_{s} \sin(\delta) \omega_{s} \Delta \omega \right) \right]$$

$$(31)$$

where  $K_1$ ,  $K_2$  i  $K_3$  are parameters which force Lyapunov's function differentiation to be negative at every generator work point.

Implementing control rule (31) into the equation (30) will lead to:

$$\frac{dV}{dt} = -K_1 \frac{e^2}{u} + \frac{e}{u} \left[ u_d \frac{x_q}{x_e + x_q} U_s \cos(\delta) \cdot \right]$$
$$\cdot \omega_s \Delta \omega (1 - K_2) + u_q Y (1 - K_3)$$
(32)

where:

$$Y = -\frac{1}{T_{d0}} i_f x_{ad} \frac{x_e}{x_d' + x_e} -\frac{x_d'}{x_d' + x_e} U_s \sin(\delta) \omega_s \Delta \omega$$
(33)

In order for the system to be stable according to Lyapunov, the following must be valid at every generator work point:  $\frac{dV}{dt} < 0$ . It is obvious from the first part of equation (32) that  $-K_1 \frac{e^2}{u} < 0$  is valid for any  $K_1 > 0$  (generator voltage u > 0 at every generator work point), whereas the second

part depends on  $\Delta \omega$ ,  $\delta$ ,  $u_d$  and  $u_q$ . If the differentiation of Lypunov's function (32) is to be negative, the following equation must be valid:

$$-K_{1}\frac{e^{2}}{u} + \frac{e}{u} \left[ u_{d} \frac{x_{q}}{x_{e} + x_{q}} U_{s} \cos(\delta) \cdot \right.$$

$$\cdot \omega_{s} \Delta \omega (1 - K_{2}) + u_{q} Y (1 - K_{3}) \right] < 0$$

$$(34)$$

During smaller disturbances synchronous generator speed is approximately equal to synchronous speed, i.e.  $\Delta \omega \approx 0$ , and with the selected  $K_3 = 1$  this means:

$$\frac{dV}{dt} \approx -K_1 \frac{e^2}{u} < 0 \tag{35}$$

Although it has been assumed that  $\Delta \omega \approx 0$ , the simulation results stated in the following chapter show that the work of the presented control algorithm, the structure of which is shown in figure 15, is satisfactory even in cases of larger disturbances.

## 4.2 Comparison of the conventional and nonlinear control structure

Figures 13, 14 and 16 show the comparison of the implemented conventional and nonlinear control structure for generator reference voltage change from the initial value of 1 p.u. to the value of 0,8 p.u.; for mechanical power change from 0.5 to 0.8 p.u. and then back to 0.5 pu at generator voltage 0.9 p.u.; and for a short circuit on the one transmission line. Presented results showed that the nonlinear control structure achieves better performance than conventional control structure.



Fig. 13 Synchronous generator's responses for voltage reference change for implemented PI control structure and nonlinear control structure



Fig. 14 Synchronous generator's responses for mechanical power change for implemented PI control structure and nonlinear control structure



Fig. 15 Nonlinear voltage control structure





Fig. 16 Synchronous generator's responses for short circuit on transmission line for implemented PI control structure and nonlinear control structure

### 5 Conclusion

DSP based simulator in real time enables faster processes of engineering, implementing and verifying control algorithms not only for the voltage control system of a synchronous generator, but also for other systems able of having mathematical and simulation models. The engineering of control algorithms is based on block programming, so the program code is automatically generated, translated and downloaded into the DSP using Real Time Workshop and Matlab Embedded Link IDE CC. The simulator verifies the control algorithm by a simulation in real time, where the simulated model of the controlled system is performed on a PC. This paper shows a comparison in the case when both the system model and the control structure are simulated and for a case when the model is simulated on a computer and the control structure implemented in the DSP. It has been concluded that in the case when the control structure implemented in the DSP there is a 0,8ms time delay. Also, the implementation of a conventional and a nonlinear synchronous generator voltage control structure has been presented. These methods were tested in the cases of voltage reference change, mechanical power change and the case of a short circuit on the transmission line. The nonlinear structure has shown better results as the conventional structure.

### List of symbols

11	d-axe component of the generator
$u_d$	terminal voltage
$u_{a}$	q-axe component of the generator
9	terminal voltage
$u_f$	excitation voltage
$u_s$	infinite busbar voltage
$u_{sd}$	d-axe component of the infinite busbar voltage
11	q-axe component of the infinite
u <sub>sq</sub>	busbar voltage
$i_d$	d-axe component of the generator
u	stator current
$i_q$	stator current
i <sub>f</sub>	field current
$i_D$	d-axe field damper current
$i_Q$	q-axe field damper current
r	generator stator resistance
$r_{f}$	excitation resistance
$r_D$	d-axe damper resistance
$r_Q$	q-axe damper resistance
ω	generator rotor speed
$\omega_s$	synchronous speed
$\Psi_d$	d-axe flux linkage
$\Psi_q$	d-axe flux linkage
$\Psi_f$	field flux linkage
$\Psi_D$	d-axe field damper flux linkage
$\Psi_{\mathcal{Q}}$	q-axe field damper flux linkage
$x_d$	d-axe synchronous reactance
$x_q$	q-axe synchronous reactance
$x_{fd}$	field reactance
$x_D$	d-axe damper reactance
$x_Q$	q-axe damper reactance
$x_d$ '	d-axe transient reactance

$x_{ad}$	armature reaction reactance
$x_e = x_T + x_L$	transformer and transmission line reactance
$r_e = r_T + r_L$	transformer and transmission line resistance
$\delta$	rotor angle
$m_m$	mechanical torque
$m_e$	electromagnetic torque
$p_m$	mechanical power
$p_e$	electromagnetic power
$T_m$	mechanical time constant
$E_q$ '	q-axe component of transient EMF
$E_{f}$	field voltage

### Appendix A

The synchronous generator's rated parameters are:

Voltage	400 V	
Current	120 A	
Power	83 kVA	
Frequency	50 Hz	
Speed	600 r/min	
Power factor	0,8	
Excitation voltage	100 V	
Excitation	11 Q A	
current	11.8 A	

### Appendix **B**

Controllers' parameters are:

Voltage controller	
Кр	10
Ki	15
Excitation current controller	
Кр	10
Nonlinear controller	
K1	50
K2	-7
K3	1

References:

[1] P. Dobra, R. Duma, D. Moga, M. Trusca, Digital Control Applications using TI Digital Signal Controller, WSEAS TRANSACTIONS on SYSTEMS and CONTROL, 6(3), 2008 [2] C. Lupu, D. Popescu, A. Udrea, Real-time Control Applications for Nonlinear Processes Based on Adaptive Control and the Static Characteristic, WSEAS TRANSACTIONS on SYSTEMS and CONTROL, 6(3), 2008

[3] I. Dumitru, I. Fagarasan, S. St. Iliescu, G.Stamatescu, N. Ardhira, V. Barbulea, *A modular process simulator with PLC*, Proc. 9<sup>th</sup> WSEAS International Conference on Simulation, Modelling and Optimization, 2009.

[4] Prabha Kundur, *Power System Stability and Control*, Power System Engineering Series, McGraw-Hill, 1993.

[5] Paul M. Anderson, A. A. Fouad, *Power System Contol and Stability*, IEEE Press, IEEE Power System Engineering Series, 1994.

[6] The Mathworks, *Real Time Workshop User's Guide*, 2008.

[7] The Mathworks, *Embedded IDE Link CC 3 User's Guide*, 2008.

[8] The Mathworks, *Target Support Package TC2 3 User's Guide*, 2008.

[9] Texas Instruments: *TMS320F2810*, *TMS320F2811*, *TMS320F2812*, *Digital Signal Processors*, Data Manual, 2004.

[10] A. Kazemi, F. Mahamnia, Improving of Transient Stability of Power Systems by supplementary Controllers of UPFC Using Different Fault Conditions, WSEAS TRANSACTIONS on POWER SYSTEMS, 7(3), 2008

[11] Jan Machowski, Janusz Bialek, and Dr Jim Bumby, *Power System Dynamics: Stability and Control*, Wiley, 2008

[12] Qiang Lu, Yuanzhang Sun, and Shengwei Mei, Nonlinear Control Systems and Power System Dynamics, Springer, 2001

[13] R. A. Freeman, P. V. Kokotovic, *Robust* nonlinear Control Design State Space and Lyapunov Tehniques, Birkhauser, 1996

[14] M. Krstic, I. Kanellakopoulos, P. V. Kokotovic, *Nonlinear and Adaptive Control Design*, John Wiley and Sons, 1995

[15] E. D. Sontag, *A universal construction of Artstein's theorem on nonlinear optimization*, Syst. Control Lett., pp. 117-123, 1989

[16] R. A. Freeman, J. A. Primbs, *Control Lyapunov functions: New ideas from an old source*, Proc. 35<sup>th</sup> IEEE Conf. Decis. Control, Kobe, Japan, pp. 3926-3931. 1996

[17] J. Machowski, S. Robak, J.W. Bailek, J.R. Bumby, *Decentralised Lyapunov-Based Power System Stabiliser*, International Conference on Electric Utility Deregulation and Restructuring and Power Technologies, 2000