# Load-Balance and Fault-Tolerance for embedding a Complete Binary Tree in an IEH with *N*-expansion

Jen-Chih Lin Department of Digital Content Design National Taipei University of Education, No.134, Sec. 2, Heping E. Rd., Da-an District, Taipei City 106, Taiwan, R.O.C. E-mail: \*yachih@tea.ntue.edu.tw

Abstract: - Embedding is of great importance in the applications of parallel computing. Every parallel application has its intrinsic communication pattern. The communication pattern graph is embedded in the topology of multiprocessor structures so that the corresponding application can be executed. This paper presents strategies for reconfiguring a complete binary tree in a faulty Incrementally Extensible Hypercube (IEH) with *N*-expansion. This embedding algorithm show a complete binary tree can be embedded in a faulty IEH with dilation 4, load 1, and congestion 1 such that  $O(n^2 - h^2)$  faults can be tolerated, where *n* is the dimension of IEH and (h-1) is the height of a complete binary tree. Furthermore, the presented embedding methods are optimized mainly for balancing the processor loads, while minimizing dilation and congestion as far as possible. According to the result, we can embed the parallel algorithms developed by the structure of complete binary tree in an IEH. This methodology of embedding enables extremely high-speed parallel computation.

Key-Words: - Incrementally Extensible Hypercube, Binary tree, Load-Balance, Fault-Tolerance, Embedding

## **1** Introduction

Hypercube, as one of the most popular structures, has been used as the interconnection network in a wide variety of commercial and experimental distributed memory multiprocessors such as the Cosmic Cube[12], the Intel "hypercube" systems (iPSC, iPSC/2), the Ametek/Symult S-series, the NCUBE and the Connection Machines (CM-1, CM-2). The popularity of the hypercube multiprocessor or multicomputer systems is due to their tempting properties such as logarithmic diameter and node degree, high bisection width, ease to embed other common structures[11], and many known efficient data communication schemes. Although hypercubes possess many advantages for parallel and distributed computing, there are some limitations for constructing hypercubes. However, due to the power-of-2 size and logarithmic degree, hypercubes suffer two major disadvantages, namely, high cost extensibility and large internal fragmentation in partitioning. Limitations of the hypercube include its nonplanarity (which complicates the layout of hypercubes implemented within VLSI chips), and its inability to grow incrementally. The incremental extensibility is a very essential and desirable property in real world applications for designing interconnection networks.

Of late, a novel interconnection topology is proposed in [14, 15] called the Incrementally Extensible Hypercube or IEH graph, based on appropriate interconnection if different sized hypercubes of smaller dimensions. The IEH graph is showed to have the following desirable characteristics: (1) adding a new node to an existing network is easy and simple; in most cases no reorganization of existing edges is necessary, (2) the network is optimally fault-tolerant in the sense that the vertex connectivity is equal to the minimum degree of a node in the graph, (3) the number of edges is O(*NlogN*) where N is the number of nodes, and the diameter is logarithmic in the number of nodes, and (4) the network is near regular i.e., the difference between the maximum and the minimum degree of a node is at most 1. At the same time, since the IEH graph is constituted by several hypercubes, which are turned to be as subcubes with smaller dimensions in this case, all parallel algorithms run in the hypercube can be easily ported in IEH graphs. Therefore, we consider how to embed the complete binary tree in the IEH with N-expansion.

The tree is a basic network topology. A

complete binary tree is special tree underlying divide-and-conquer algorithms. A complete binary tree arises in the solution of tridiagonal systems by even-odd cyclic reduction and solution of systems of equations that is noted in [6]. Suppose some process can be naturally decomposed into a collection of subprocesses that can be executed concurrently with certain communication between subprocesses by an edge between corresponding nodes. One obtains a complete binary tree by denoting each subprocess by a node and each communication between subprocesses by an edge between corresponding nodes. The problem of allocating those subprocesses, structured by a complete binary tree, to processors in a given interconnection networks will be reduced to the problem of embedding a complete binary tree.

Load Balancing, communication locality, communication congestion, and node utility in process graphs can be abstractly studies as the problem of embedding[7]. In a process graph, the nodes represent processes comprising a distributed program or a parallel program and the edges represent communications between processes. Embedding one graph into another is important because an algorithm may have been designed for a specific interconnection network, and it may be necessary to adapt it to another network. The quality of an embedding of a guest graph G in a host graph H is measured by the maximum number of processes of G placed on any processes of H, the maximum distance between any pair of processes of H corresponding to a pair of neighbor processes of G, the maximum number of edges of G placed on any edge of H, and the ratio of the order of H to the order of G. These factors are called load, dilation. congestion, and expansion, respectively. The embedding problem is to find embeddings with balanced loads, small dilations, and small congestions. An efficient simulation of one network on another network requires that these four costs be as small as possible. However, for most embedding problems, it is impossible to obtain an embedding minimizes these costs that simultaneously. Therefore, some tradeoffs among these costs must be made.

In a multiprocessor system, we follow two fault models defined in [5]. The first model assumes that, in a faulty node, the computational function of the node is lost while the communication function remains intact; this is the partial faulty model. The second model assumes that, in a faulty node, the communication function is lost too; this is the total faulty model. Conceptually, the network interface hardware operates independent of the computer's processor. In this paper, our model is the partial faulty model. That is, when the computation nodes are faulty, the communication links are well and only the faulty nodes are remapped.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 defines the IEH structure. In section 3, we describe how to construct a complete binary tree in an IEH. In section 4, we describe how to embed a complete binary tree in a faulty IEH with *N*-expansion under partial faulty model. Finally, we conclude this paper.

## **2** Preliminaries

We briefly describe notations and definitions of the hypercube and the IEH graph.

The hypercube is based on the properties of binary *n*-cube in graph theory. An *n*-dimensional hypercube, simply called *n*-cube, can be modeled as a graph  $H_n=(V,E)$  with node set  $V(H_n)$  and edge set  $E(H_n)$ , where  $|V| = 2^n$ ,  $|E| = n * 2^{n-1}$ . For a  $H_n$ , each node in  $V(H_n)$  can be distinctly labeled by a unique binary string of length *n*, then  $V(H_n) = \{0,1,2,\dots,2^n - 1\}$  and  $E(H_n) = \{(u,v) \mid u, v \in H_n\}$  and HD(u,v) = 1. That is, each of the nodes corresponds to an *n*-bit binary string  $v = X_{n-1}X_{n-2}\cdots X_1X_0$  as its label, and two nodes are connected by an edge if and only if their labels differ in precisely one bit.

The IEH graph is the composition of some *m* different hypercubes. Let  $G_n(N)$  be an IEH graph with *N* nodes, and *N* can be expressed by the binary string  $N = b_n b_{n-1} b_{n-2} \dots b_1 b_0$ , and  $b_i \in \{0,1\}$ . An IEH graph  $G_n(N)$  is composed of some different hypercubes which have lower dimension than  $G_n(N)$  has. That is,  $G_n(N)$  contains a hypercube, denoted by  $H_i$ , if and only if the  $i_{\text{th}}$  bit in the binary representation of *N* is *1*.

Accordingly, the IEH graph is composed of some hypercubes, so there is a new type of connections beside the usual connections in a hypercube. These edges (or links) are used for connecting two hypercubes are called *Inter-Cube* or IC edges. The basic philosophy in the design of the IEH graphs is to express N as a sum of several powers of 2, i.e., to write N as a binary number,

build the smaller hypercubes, and then to add appropriate inter-cube edges to connect those smaller hypercubes. For any given N,  $2^n \le N < 2^{n+1}$ , the steps of finding IEH graphs are as follows.

**Step 1** Build subcube graphs. Express *N* as (n+1) bits a binary number as  $N = b_n b_{n-1} b_{n-2} \dots b_1 b_0$ , where  $b_i \in \{0,1\}$  and  $b_n = 1$  since  $N \ge 2^n$ . For each  $b_i$ ,  $b_i \ne 0$ , construct a hypercube graph  $Q_i$  with  $2^i$  nodes.

**Step 2** Label the nodes. Note that each node has a (n+1)-bit binary label. Each hypercube  $Q_i$  is labeled as  $11...10b_{i-1}b_{i-2}...b_1b_0$ . Obviously each hypercube of dimension *i* (having  $2^i$  nodes) has *i* number of dashed and the individual nodes of the hypercube can be obtained by filling the dashes with 0 or 1 in all possible ways. In other words, the binary representation of each node in  $Q_i$  has the same prefix of (n-i)1's followed by a single zero.

**Step 3** Construct the incremental hypercube in steps by providing the inter-cube edges. Find the minimum *i* such that  $b_i \neq 0$ . Set j=i and  $G_j=Q_i$ .

Set i=i+1.

While *i≤n* do

if  $b_i \neq 0$  then

if i-j=1 then

each node x in  $G_j$  with label  $11...b_jb_{j-1}...b_0$  is connected to the node  $11...10b_jb_{j-1}...b_0$  of  $Q_i$ .

else

each node x in  $G_j$  with label  $11...1b_jb_{j-1}...b_0$  is connected to (i-j) different nodes of  $Q_i$  chosen in the following way:

$$\begin{cases} 11...11011...11b_{j}b_{j-1}....b_{0}\\ 11...11001...11b_{j}b_{j-1}....b_{0}\\ 11...11010...11b_{j}b_{j-1}....b_{0}\\ \vdots\\ 11...11011...01b_{j}b_{j-1}....b_{0}\\ 11...11011...10b_{j}b_{j-1}....b_{0} \end{cases}$$

Set j=i and set  $G_j$  to be the composite graph generated in the previous steps. Note that  $G_j$  has now  $\sum_{k=0}^{j} b_k 2^k$  nodes and the binary label of each

node in  $G_j$  has a prefix of (n-j) 1's.

i=i+1

Return  $G_n$  as the desired incremental hypercube graph of *N* vertices.  $\Box$ 



Fig. 1: The IEH graph contains 14 nodes

Figure 1 shows the example of  $G_3(14)$ .  $G_3(14)$ consists of three subcubes. The three subcubes are *1*-subcube( $H_1$ ), 2-subcube( $H_2$ ), and 3-subcube( $H_3$ ). Nodes 12 and 13 are composed as a 1-subcube( $H_1$ ), Nodes 8, 9, 10, and 11 are composed as a 2-subcube( $H_2$ ), and nodes 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7 are the elements of a 3-subcube( $H_3$ ). The edges (8, 12), (9,13) are IC edges connected between  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ such that  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  are connected to be an IEH graph containing 6 nodes( $G_2(6)$ ). In addition, the  $H_3$ connects to  $G_2(6)$  with these IC edges (0, 8), (1, 9), (2,10), (3,11), (4,12), and (5,13).

**Definition 1**[7] Let  $x = x_{n-1}...x_0$ ,  $y = y_{n-1}...y_0$ , then  $Dim(x, y) = \{i \text{ in } (0...n-1) \mid x_i \neq y_i\}.$ 

**Definition 2**[7] The Hamming distance between two nodes with labels  $x=x_{n-1}x_{n-2}...x_0$  and  $y=y_{n-1}y_{n-2}...y_0$  is defined as

$$HD(x, y) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} hd(x_i, y_i), \text{ where } hd(x_i, y_i) = \begin{cases} 0, \text{ if } x_i = y_i, \\ 1, \text{ if } x_i \neq y_i. \end{cases}$$

**Definition 3**[8] If a complete binary tree is a rooted binary tree and each internal nodes contains two offspring nodes, then a complete binary of height h denoted by  $T_h$ , contains  $2^h$ -1 nodes.

**Definition 4**[8] A double-rooted binary tree  $DT_h$ , where *h* is the height of the tree, is a complete binary tree with the root replaced by a path of length two.

**Lemma 1**[8] A double-rooted complete binary tree can be embedded in a hypercube with dilation 2 and load *1*.

We illustrate an example as in figure 2 to figure 6.





tree T with 4 nodes



tree T'

Figure 3: The transformation of mapping (1) tree T' after reversal of 0







Figure 6: A double-rooted tree with 8 nodes can be embedded into a 3-cube

**Definition 5**[8] An IEH graph is called a full IEH graph, denoted by  $F_n$ , if and only if it has  $2^{n+1}-1$  nodes. Intuitively, a full IEH graph must contain hypercubes  $H_{0}$ ,  $H_1$ , ...,  $H_n$  as its subcubes.

## **3 Mapping of Complete Binary Tree**

IEH graphs are provided with all properties of hypercubes because an IEH graph may contain some different-sized hypercubes as its subgraphs. Thus the IEH graphs are selected to be the host graph of our embedding. Complete binary tree are usually used in a lot of algorithms and communications, so we proposed the method of embedding complete binary trees in IEH graphs.

We describe our approach that maps a complete binary tree in the full IEH or the IEH.

**Lemma 2** A complete binary tree with height n contains the same number of nodes as an (n-1)-dimensional full IEH graph.

**Proof.** Suppose a tree  $T_n$  is said to be a complete binary tree with height *n*, then each node of  $T_n$ contains the same number of nodes in its right and left subtrees. Consequently, the number of nodes in a complete binary tree  $T_n$  has totally  $2^n$ -1 nodes. A (n-1)-dimensional full IEH graph must, by the definition, contain *n* hypercubes with different dimensions. Therefore, an (n-1)-dimension IEH graph, simply denoted by  $F_{n-1}$ , will consist of hypercube with dimension with 0, 1, 2, ..., and (n-1). The  $k_{th}$ -dimensional hypercube in a IEH is denoted by  $H_k$ . Obviously, an (n-1)-dimensional full IEH contains  $2^0+2^1+2^2+\ldots+2^{n-1} = 2^n-1$  nodes because each  $k_{\text{th}}$ -dimensional hypercube in the IEH graph contains  $2^k$  nodes. Hence, a complete binary tree with height *n* contains the same number of nodes as an (n-1)-dimensional full IEH graph.  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 3** An (*n*-1)-dimensional full IEH graph is a subgraph of an *n*-dimensional full IEH graph.

**Proof.** Let  $F_n$  be a full IEH graph, then two divided components  $H_n$  and  $F'_n$  exist in  $F_n$  where  $H_n$  is an *n*-dimensional hypercube and  $F'_n$  is an (n-1)-dimensional full IEH graph. According to the method of constructing an IEH graph, the vertex set of  $F_{n-1}$  is a subset of the vertex set of  $F_n$  and the edge set of  $F_{n-1}$  is a subset of the edge set  $F_n$ . Therefore,  $F_{n-1}$  is said to be a subgraph of  $F_n$ .

**Lemma 4** Assume that  $H_n$  is an *n*-dimensional hypercube and  $F_{n-1}$  is and (n-1)-dimensional full IEH graph,  $F_{n-1}$  is a subgraph of  $H_n$ .

**Proof.** According to the method of constructing an IEH graph,  $H_0$ ,  $H_1$ , ...,  $H_{n-1}$  construct  $F_{n-1}$ . These *IC* edges connecting between the *n* hypercubes are all of Hamming distance *1*. Hence, these *IC* edges in  $F_{n-1}$  are normal edges in  $H_n$ . Consequently, the vertex set of  $F_{n-1}$  is a subset of the vertex set of  $H_n$  and the edge set of  $F_{n-1}$  is a subset of the edge set  $H_n$ . Therefore,  $F_{n-1}$  is said to be a subgraph of  $F_n$ .

**Lemma 5** A full IEH graph contains a complete binary tree.

**Proof.** In these trivial cases, complete binary trees with 1 and 3 nodes can be directly embedded into IEH graphs  $F_0$  and  $F_1$ , respectively. The case of embedding a tree with a single node into  $F_0$  is straightforward. Embedding a complete binary tree  $T_2$  into an IEH  $F_1$  must select node labeled by binary string 00 to be the root of  $T_2$ . The other two nodes of  $F_1$  are the right and left leave nodes of the tree respectively. The embedding of  $T_3$  into  $F_2$  is the base case (shown in figure 7) of our embedding method. According to the method of generating an IEH graph,  $F_2$  is constructed by connecting three hypercubes such as  $H_0$ ,  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ . In  $F_2$ ,  $H_0$ ,  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  are connected by *IC* edges. Not all of the nodes in an IEH graph are connected by IC edge. Node 011 is the only one that does not link to any node by an IC edge in  $F_2$ . As a consequence, the node 011 can not be the interior node of a binary tree because the node 011 belongs to  $H_2$  and the degree of 011 is two. Additionally, the number of nodes of  $H_2$  except for the node 011 is equal to the sum of number of nodes of  $H_0$  and  $H_1$  in the full IEH graph  $F_2$ . Therefore, 011 must be the root of  $T_3$ . The adjacent

can be the root of the left subtree and the root of the right subtree respectively in the complete binary tree  $T_3$ . For the leave nodes of  $T_3$ , two nodes, 000 and 101, are connected by 001, then 000 and 101 can be the left and right leaves of 001 respectively. There is a problem to map the leave nodes of 010 in  $F_2$ because the node 010 connects only one unused node 110. The solution of connecting the last free node 100 to the root node 010 of the right subtree must pass a used node, which is called midway node. As a result, the edge between 010 and 100 is mapped into the path from 010 to 100 through a midway node 000. Hence, the embedding of  $T_3$  into  $F_2$  is constructed. Assume a complete binary tree  $T_n$ can be embedded into a  $F_{n-1}$ . Let a complete binary tree  $T_{n+1}$  Can be embedded into a  $F_n$ . There exist two divided components  $H_n$  and  $F'_n$  in  $F_n$  where  $H_n$ is an *n*-dimensional hypercube and  $F'_n$  is an (n-1)-dimensional full IEH graph. We select the node  $0_n 1_{n-1} 1_{n-2} \cdots 1_0$  to be a root of  $F_n$ , because node  $0_n 1_{n-1} 1_{n-2} \cdots 1_0$  belongs to  $H_n$  and node  $1_{n-1}1_{n-2}\cdots 1_0$  does not exist in  $F_{n-1}$ . According to lemma 10,  $F_{n-1}$  is a subgraph of  $H_n$ . In addition, the number of nodes of  $H_n$  except for the node  $0_n 1_{n-1} 1_{n-2} \cdots 1_0$  is equal to the sum of number of nodes of  $H_0$ ,  $H_1$ , ..., and  $H_{n-1}$  in the full IEH graph  $F_{n-1}$ . So, we can extend a bit with zero in the left of the most significant bit of these nodes in  $F_{n-1}$  such that these nodes can map in  $H_n$ . Hence, we can construct the left subtree of  $T_{n+1}$ . According to Lemma 9,  $F_{n-1}$  is a subgraph of  $F_n$ . In addition, the number of nodes of  $F'_n$  is equal to the sum of number of nodes in the full IEH graph  $F_{n-1}$ . In other words,  $\delta: V(F'_n) \to V(F_{n-1})$  is the one-to-one mapping function. So, we can extend a bit with one in the left of the most significant bit of these nodes in  $F_{n-1}$ , such these nodes can map in  $F'_n$ . Therefore, we can construct the right subtree of  $T_{n+1}$ . Without loss of generality, we know the root of the left subtree and the root of the right subtree in  $F_n$  is  $0_{n+1}0_n1_{n-1}1_{n-2}\cdots 1_0$  and  $1_{n+1}0_n1_{n-1}1_{n-2}\cdots 1_0$ , respectively. Therefore, there is a problem of connecting the root node and the root of the right subtree because HD(root, the root of right subtree) is not equal to 1. The solution of connecting the root

nodes of node 011 are the nodes 001 and 010, that

node 011...11 and the root node 101...1 of the right

subtree to must pass a used node 001...1. As a result,

the edge between 011...1 and 101...1 is mapped into the path from 011...1 to 101...11 through a midway node 001...1. So the embedding of  $T_{n+1}$  into  $F_n$  is constructed.



embedded into  $F_2$ .

We extend the process of the base case to embed  $T_n$  to  $F_{n-1}$ , where  $n \ge 4$ . The process can be divided into three steps:

1. Identify the root of  $T_n$ 

2. The left subtree and the right subtree is easily constructed by embedding  $T_{n-1}$  into  $F_{n-2}$ .

3. Combine the root, the left subtree and the right subtree.

The construction of right subtree is simply to extend one significant bit in the binary strings of nodes that are the embedding nodes of  $T_{n-1}$  into  $F_{n-2}$ and label the bit with *1*. Then, the construction of left subtree is simply to change the most significant bit of the right subtree from *1* to *0*. Therefore, the algorithm needs a subprocess concatenate, to accomplish the embedding. The algorithm is described as follows:

Algorithm Embedding  $(T_n)$ 

Input:  $T_3$ ,  $n \neq T_3$  is the base case, n is the size of a complete binary tree \*/

Output:  $T_n /*$  The complete binary tree with height n \*/

Begin

1. If n = 3 then Return  $T_3$ 

4. Root =  $01^{n-1}$ 

- 5.  $/* 01^{n-1}$  is the binary string with MSB 0 following (n-1) 1's. \*/
- 6.  $Right = concatenate (1, Embedding (T_{n-1}))$
- 7. /\* All nodes of  $T_{n-1}$  extend their MSB and set them to 1's. \*/
- 8. Left = concatenate (0, Embedding  $(T_{n-1})$ )
- 9. /\* All nodes of  $T_{n-1}$  extend their MSB and

set them to 0's. \*/  
10. 
$$V(T_n) = \{root\} \cup V(Right) \cup V(Left)$$
  
11.  
 $E(T_n) = E(Right) \cup E(Left) \cup \{(root, root_R), (root, root_L)\}$ 

Jen-Chih Lin

12. /\* root<sub>R</sub>, and root<sub>L</sub> are the roots of right and left subtrees \*/

13. End.

ZIIQ.

End;

There are two examples in this section. The first example is to embed the complete binary tree  $T_4$  into a full IEH graph  $F_3$ , the root of  $T_4$  is the node 0111, which is selected by the above discussion. Certainly, the node 0111 is the only one node without linked IC edge. The construction of the right subtree of  $T_4$ is based on the result of embedding  $T_3$  into  $F_2$ . By extending one significant bit of the binary strings of all nodes in  $T_3$ , the right subtree of  $T_4$  is constructed with setting the extended bit to 1's and the left subtree of  $T_4$  is constructed with setting the extended bit to 0's. After the right and left subtree are constructed, the embedding complete binary tree is accomplished by connecting the root node 0111 to the roots of left and right subtree. The midway nodes in the embedding complete binary tree are transformed as the same process as the nodes in trees. To embed the complete binary tree  $T_4$  into a full IEH graph  $F_3$  are shown in figure 8.



For the detail description, the second example of embedding  $T_5$  into  $F_4$  is shown in figure 9 and listed

- 1. Identify node 01111 is the root of  $T_5$ .
- 2.  $T_4$  is constructed in the previous example. We use  $T_4$  as the original tree of the right subtree in  $T_5$ .
- 3. We extend the significant bit of all nodes in the original right subtree and set the bit to 1. Then the formal right subtree of  $T_5$  is constructed.
- 4. Construction of left subtree is simply to change the most significant bit of the right subtree from 1 to 0.
- 5. We connect the roots of the left and right binary tree to the selected root 01111, then the embedded  $T_5$  in  $F_4$  is constructed.

In consequence, our embedding algorithm can also be applied into hypercubes with one faulty node. Therefore, we develop an algorithm to embed a complete binary tree with height n into an n-dimensional hypercube.

**Lemma 6** A complete binary tree can be embedded in a hypercube with one faulty node.

**Proof.** Because  $F_{n-1}$  is a subgraph of  $H_n$ , a complete binary tree can also be embedded into a hypercube.  $H_n$  has more than one node than  $F_{n-1}$  and  $F_{n-1}$  which does not contain the node  $(1)^n$ . As a reason, a complete binary tree can be embedded into a hypercube with one faulty node. As a result, these edges in a tree transformed by the right subtree that is produced by  $F_{n-2}$  exist in the  $F_{n-1}$ . So, the embedding algorithm is correct for constructing the complete binary tree. The embedding algorithm is with dilation 2, expansion 1, congestion 1, and load 1. The following theorems show the measurement of our embedding algorithm.  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 7** Embedding the complete binary tree in a full IEH graph or a hypercube graph is dilation 2, expansion 1, load 1 and congestion 1.

**Proof.** In the embedding of  $T_n$  into  $F_{n-1}$ , there are some midway node must be passed to connect two nodes That is, some edges in the tree  $T_n$  are mapped in a path of the length 2 in the IEH graph  $F_n$ . As the consequence, the dilation of the embedding is 2 and congestion is 1. The embedding of  $T_n$  into  $F_{n-1}$  is one-to-one mapping for these nodes, so the expansion and load of our embedding is exactly equal to 1. Therefore, embedding the complete binary tree into a full IEH graph or a hypercube graph is dilation 2, expansion 1, load 1 and congestion  $I.\square$ 

**Theorem 1** A complete binary tree  $T_n$  can be

embedded in  $G_n(N)$  with expansion 2, dilation 2, congestion 1, and load 1.

**Proof.** It is trivial by lemma 7.

**Theorem 2** A complete binary tree  $T_h$  can be embedded in  $G_n(N)$  with *N*-expansion, dilation 2, congestion 1, and load 1.

**Proof**. It is trivial by theorem 1.

## 4 Load-Balancing and Fault-Tolerance Embedding with *N*-Expansion

In section 3,  $T_h$  can be embedded in IEH  $G_n(N)$  with *N*-expansion by theorem 2. In this section, we present how to embed a complete binary tree to a faulty IEH graph. Hence, we consider a complete binary tree can be embedded in an IEH with *N*-expansion graph which contains faulty node.

By theorem 2,  $T_n$  can be embedded in  $G_n(N)$  with *N*-expansion. Furthermore, we propose an algorithm LB\_Tree\_Embedding() for embedding a complete tree in a faulty IEH as follows.

### Algorithm LB\_Tree\_UB\_Embedding(*x*)

Input:  $x / \text{*the faulty node*} /, G_n(N), T_h$ Output: y /\*the replace node\*/ 1. if the root r is faulty then 2. search the other root node r' 3. if the node r' is faulty then backtrack the root r 4. else 5. return(r') /\* node r is replaced by node r'/ 6. exit() 7. if any node x is faulty then 8. i=09. construct a queue Q;  $Q = \Phi$ 10. while  $j \leq (n-h+1)$  do 11. we can search the node  $\, \varpi \,$ 12.  $/*HD(x, \varpi) = 1$ ,  $Dim(x, \varpi) = h + j */$ 13. if node  $\varpi$  is exist and it is free then 14. node x is replaced by node  $\sigma$ 15. remove all of the nodes in a queue 16. exit() 17. insert( $\varpi$ , h + j - 1) in a queue 18. i=i+1;19. while Q is not empty do remove the first pair  $(\alpha, \beta)$  from Q 20. k=021. 22. while  $k \leq \beta$  do 23. we can search the node  $\lambda$ 

| 24. | /* | $HD(\alpha,\lambda)=1,$ | $Dim(\alpha,\lambda) = k$ | */ |
|-----|----|-------------------------|---------------------------|----|
|     |    |                         |                           |    |

| 25. | if node $\lambda$ is exist and it is free then |
|-----|--|
| 26. | node x is replaced by node $\lambda$           |
| 27. | exit()   |
| 28. | k=k+1  |

29. Declare the replaceable node is faulty  $\Box$ 

Finding the replace node as follow: node  $0 = 0_n 0_{n-1} \dots 0_{h+1} 0_h X_{h-1} X_{h-2} \dots X_I X_0$ node  $1 = 0_n 0_{n-1} \dots 0_{h+1} 1_h X_{h-1} X_{h-2} \dots X_I X_0$ 

 $node \ 1 = 0_n \ 0_{n-1} \dots 1_{h+1} 0_h X_{h-1} X_{h-2} \dots X_1 X_0$   $node \ n-h+1 = 1_n \ 0_{n-1} \dots 0_{h+1} 0_h X_{h-1} X_{h-2} \dots X_1 X_0$   $node \ n-h+2 = 0_n \ 0_{n-1} \dots 0_{h+1} 1_h X_{h-1} X_{h-2} \dots X_1 X_0$   $node \ n+1 = 0_n \ 0_{n-1} \dots 0_{h+1} 1_h X_{h-1} X_{h-2} \dots X_1 X_0$   $node \ n+2 = 0_n \ 0_{n-1} \dots 1_{h+1} 0_h X_{h-1} X_{h-2} \dots X_1 X_0$   $node \ n+h+2 = 0_n \ 0_{n-1} \dots 1_{h+1} 0_h X_{h-1} X_{h-2} \dots X_1 X_0$   $node \ n+h+3 = 0_n \ 0_{n-1} \dots 1_{h+2} 0_{h+1} 0_h X_{h-1} X_{h-2} \dots X_1 X_0$   $node \ n+2h+4 = 0_n \ 0_{n-1} \dots 1_{h+2} 1_{h+1} 0_h X_{h-1} X_{h-2} \dots X_1 X_0$   $\dots$   $node \ (n-h+1)+(n+h+1)*(n+1-h+1)/2$   $= 1_{n-1} 1_{n-1} \ 0_{n-2} \dots 0_{h+1} 0_h X_{h-1} X_{h-2} \dots X_1 X_0$ 

We also give a simple example in this section to explain the operations of the algorithm LB\_Tree\_UB\_Embedding() when the faulty nodes exist. For the IEH  $G_3(15)$  as figure 10.

- 1. If the root 0000 is faulty, it visits the other root 0001, to check whether it is free or not. If it is, it terminates.
- 2. If not, backtrack to the root 0000.
- 3. If the node 0000 is faulty, it visits or signals the node 0100, to check whether it is free or not. If it is, it terminates.
- 4. If not, insert the node 0100 to the queue, and search the node 1000, to check whether it is free or not. If it is, it terminates.
- 5. If not, insert the node *1000* to the queue, and remove the node *0100* from the queue, search the node *0101*, to check whether it is free or not. If it is, it terminates.
- 6. If not, search the node *0110*, to check whether it is free or not. If it is, it terminates.
- 7. If not, remove the node *1000* from the queue, search the node *1001*, to check whether it is free or not. If it is, it terminates.
- 8. If not, search the node *1010*, to check whether it is free or not. If it is, it terminates.

9. If not, search the node *1100*, to check whether it is free or not. If it is, it terminates.

10. If not, return("Failure").

Therefore, the whole searching path is listed as {(0001), (0100), (1000), (0101), (0110), (1001), (1010), (1010),



Figure 10:  $T_2$  can be embedded in faulty  $G_3(15)$ **Theorem 3** A complete binary tree  $(T_h)$  can be embedded in faulty IEH  $G_n(N)$  graph with dilation 4, expansion *n*, congestion 1, and load 1.

**Proof.** Every searching path is only one path algorithm LB Tree UB according the to Embedding(), allowing us to obtain congestion 1and load 1. Herein, we allow N-expansion to obtain the replace node of the faulty node. Finding the replace node in that dilation become 2+2=4 in worst case by algorithm LB Tree UB Embedding(). And these nodes and links of searching paths are not replicated from algorithm LB\_Tree\_UB\_ Embedding(). These costs associated with graph embedding are dilation 4, congestion 1, and load 1. **Theorem 4** A searching path of algorithm including LB Tree UB Embedding( ) is [(n-h+1)+(n+h+1)\*(n+1-h+1)/2] nodes.

**Proof.** By theorem 2 we can embed complete binary tree( $T_h$ ) in  $G_n(N)$  with *N*-expansion. If node is faulty, we can change a bit in the binary string sequence form bit *h* to bit *n* and insert its corresponding node

into the queue. In the worst case, we can get n-h+1 different node. Then we remove the node from the queue. From the first node we can change a bit in the sequence from bit 0 to bit h-1, and we get h different nodes. Until the queue is empty, the sum of all searched node is  $(n-h+1)+[h+(h+1)+ ... + (n+1)] = [(n-h+1)+(n+h+1)^*(n+1-h+1)/2]$  nodes. **Theorem 5** There are  $O(n^2-h^2)$  faults, which can be tolerated.

**Proof.** It is trivial by theorem 4.

**Theorem 6** Our results for the embedding methods are optimized mainly for balancing the processor and communication link loads.

**Proof.** Because these nodes and edges of searching paths are not replicated from the algorithm LB\_Tree\_UB\_Embedding() and load 1, this observation implies that the primary optimization objective of embedding is minimize the inter processor communication cost and to balance the workload of processors having reached.

## **5** Conclusions

In this paper, we consider the algorithm LB\_Tree\_Embedding for a complete binary tree can be embedded in an IEH. Considering embedding of complete binary tree in a faulty IEH, allowing *N*-expansion shows that up to  $O(n^2 - h^2)$  faults can be tolerated. The main result of this paper is that it is always possible to give solutions to the embedding of complete binary trees in a faulty IEH graph with N-expansion. The costs associated with graph embedding in our strategies of reconfiguration are dilation 4, congestion 1 and load 1. By the result, we can embed the parallel algorithms developed by the structure of complete binary tree in an IEH. These methods of reconfiguring enable extremely high-speed parallel computation. Therefore, we can easily port the parallel or distributed algorithms developed for these structures to the IEH graphs and hypercubes.

#### Reference

- [1] S. B. Akers, and B. Krishnamurthy, A Group-Theoretic Model for Symmetric Interconnection Networks, *IEEE Trans. on Computers*, Vol. 38, 1989, pp. 555-565.
- [2] D. P. Bertsekas and J. N. Tsitsiklis, "Parallel and Distributed Computation: numerical methods," Prentice Hall, Englewood Ciffs,

New Jersey, 1989.

- [3] C.-C. Chen, Dynamic Reconfiguration of Complete Binary Trees in Faulty Hypercubes, *Journal of Information Science and Engineering*, Vol. 21, No. 1, 2005, pp. 195-207.
- [4] K. Efe, "Embedding large Complete Binary Trees in Hypercubes with load balancing," *Journal of Parallel and Distributed Computing*, Vol. 35, 1996, pp. 104-109.
- [5] J. Hastad, T. Leighton, and M. Newman, "Reconfiguring a Hypercube in the Presence of Faults," *ACM Theory of Computing*, 1987, pp. 274-284.
- [6] F. T. Leighton, Introduction to parallel algorithms and architectures: Arrays, Trees, Hypercubes, MORGAN KAUFMANN PUBLISHERS, Inc., 1992.
- [7] J.-C. Lin, Load Balancing and Embedding Rings in Faulty Incrementally Extensible Hypercubes, *WSEAS Transactions on Computers*, Vol. 5, 2006, pp. 1867-1872.
- [8] J.-C. Lin and H.-C. Keh, Reconfiguration of Complete Binary Trees in Full IEH Graphs and Faulty Hypercubes," *International Journal* of High Performance Computing Applications, Vol. 15, No.1, 2001, pp. 47-55.
- [9] J.-C. Lin, S. K.C. Lo, S.-J. Wu, and H.-C. Keh, Distributed Fault-Tolerant embeddings of rings in Incrementally Extensible Hypercubes with Unbounded Expansion, *Tamkang Journal of Science and Engineering*, Vol. 9, No. 2, 2006, pp. 121-128.
- [10] J.-C. Lin, Faulty-Avoiding Methods for Mapping Meshes in an IEH, WSEAS Transactions on Computers, Vol. 6, No.6, 2007, pp. 888-893.
- [11] Y. Saad, and M. Schultz, Topological properties of Hypercube, *IEEE Trans. on Computers*, Vol. 37, 1988, pp. 867-871.
- [12] C. Seitz, "The Cosmic Cube," *Commun. ACM*, Vol. 28, pp. 22-33, 1985.
- [13] H. Sullivan, T. Bashkow, "A large scale, homogeneous, fully distributed parallel machine, I," *Proc. 4th Symp. Computer Architecture, ACM*, pp. 105-177, March 1977.
- [14] S. Sur, and P. K. Srimani, Incrementally Extensible Hypercube Networks and Their Fault Tolerance, *Mathematical and Computer Modeling*, Vol. 23, 1996, pp. 1-15.
- [15] S. Sur, and P. K. Srimani, IEH graphs: A

novel generalization of hypercube graphs, *Acta Informatica*, Vol. 32, 1995, pp. 597-609.

- [16] S.-H. Wang, Y.-R. Leu and S.-Y. Kuo, "Distributed Fault-Tolerant Embedding of Several Topologies in Hypercubes," *Journal of Information Science and Engineering*, Vol. 20, No. 4, pp. 707-732, 2004.
- [17] A.Y. Wu, "Embedding of tree networks into Hypercubes," *Journal of Parallel and Distributed Computing*, Vol. 2, pp. 238-249, 1985.
- [18] P.-J. Yang, S.-B. Tien, and C.S. Raghavendra, "Embedding of Rings and Meshes onto Faulty Hypercube Using Free Dimensions," *IEEE Trans. on Computers*, Vol. 43, No. 5, pp. 608-618, 1994.
- [19] I. Zelina, P. Pop, C. P. Sitar, and I. Tascu, A parallel algorithm for interpolation in Pancake graph, *Proceedings of the 6th WSEAS International Conference on SOFTWARE ENGINEERING, PARALLEL and DISTRIBUTED SYSTEMS (SEPADS '07)*, 2007, pp.98-101.