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Abstract: In this paper we present a system which automatically converts text documents into XML by extracting information from previously tagged XML documents. The system uses the Self-Organizing Map (SOM) learning algorithm to arrange tagged documents on a two-dimensional map such that nearby locations contain similar documents. It then employs an inductive learning algorithm to automatically extract and apply auto-tagging rules from the nearest SOM neighbours of an untagged document. The system is designed to be adaptive, so that once a document is tagged in XML, it learns from its errors in order to improve accuracy. The automatically tagged documents can subsequently be categorized on the Self-Organizing Map, further improving the map's resolution. Our system has been evaluated on a number of different domains, giving promising results.
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1 Introduction

The extraordinary growth of information resources has created vast and complex repositories of data. To store and manage such large amounts of data requires the development of new procedures. In addition, the need for efficient and effective search for specific information in growing data repositories also requires new paradigms for data management and organization. The recent acceptance of XML as an emerging standard markup language has provided a solution for effective management and retrieval from large and highly complex data repositories. Using XML markup allow authors to structure raw data, including natural language texts, with descriptive element tags. XML is not a set of tags itself: it provides a standard system for browsers and other applications to recognize the data in a tag. By using XML as a standard markup language, search engines can use XML tags to exploit the logical structure of documents, which should improve search results, avoid irrelevant searches and provide more precise listings of the information available. However, despite the number of benefits provided by XML, large collections of XML documents still do not exist. Manual tagging of text documents in XML is difficult and the time, effort and expense involved in producing a tagged collection is impractical. For text documents to be efficiently and effectively converted into XML, the process of tagging must be automated. Currently automatic tagging is a significant challenge. Most systems that have been developed are limited to certain domains and require considerable human intervention. In addressing the problem of automatic tagging, we present a novel hybrid system that produces tagged document collections by using a neural network algorithm, the Self-Organizing Map (SOM) [1], [2], and a machine learning algorithm, the inductive learning algorithm C5.0 [3], [4].

2 System overview

The hybrid architecture of our system combines the techniques of the SOM and C5.0 algorithms to produce XML tagged documents. The system architecture is outlined in Figure 1. The first phase of the system deals with the formation of a map of tagged documents using the SOM algorithm. Once a map has been formed, then, in the second phase, an unmarked document is marked up. The system automatically extracts information from the SOM neighbours of the unmarked document in the form of rules by using the inductive learning algorithm C5.0. These rules, together with text segmentation heuristics (also derived from the set of tagged documents) and the rules of the Document Type Definition (DTD) for the document, are used to mark the document into XML.
3 Self-Organising Maps

The SOM algorithm [1], [2] provides a non-linear, ordered, smooth mapping of high-dimensional input data onto a low-dimensional array. This process can be described as follows. Each input variable is represented as a real vector \( x(t) \) where \( t \) is the index or discrete time coordinate. With each node in a SOM array, a parametric model vector \( m_i \) is associated, the components of which represent the weights. Using a limited number of model vectors all features can be represented on the map with high accuracy. The map algorithm performs a recursive regression process in which only a subset of models is processed at every step. This process produces a smoothing effect on the model vectors in a certain neighbourhood and the process of continued learning results in global ordering of map.

Documents are clustered on the self-organizing map using the WEBSOM algorithm [5], which consists of three stages. In the pre-processing stage, all non-textual information is removed from the text, and all words are stemmed to their basic forms. The most rarely occurring words are also removed. In the document encoding stage, the documents are encoded by forming statistical models. Each document is represented as a real vector in which each component represents the frequency of occurrence of a particular word in the document. Therefore, each document vector can be viewed as a weighted word histogram. In the map formation stage, the set of statistical models or encoded documents is given as input to the SOM algorithm to form a document map. We use SOM_PAK [6] for this purpose. An example of a map created by the system is given in Figure 2.

4 The auto-tagging process

The auto-tagging process (the second phase of the system) has two main modules, a rule extraction module and a tagging module. The process is shown in Figure 3. The rule extraction module learns rules from a collection of tagged documents using an inductive learning approach [7]. Training examples are collected from a set of valid XML documents. These documents are from a specific domain and their markup is valid and complies with the rules of a single Document Type Definition (DTD). An XML document can be represented as a tree-like structure with a root element and other elements nested in the root. Only elements containing text are considered appropriate for our auto-tagging process. Each training instance corresponds to a leaf element containing text from the collection of tagged
documents. The text enclosed between the start and end tags of all occurrences of each element is encoded using the fixed-width feature vector. These encoded instances are used subsequently for learning the rules. Thirty-one features, such as word count, character count, etc, are used to encode the training instances. The system pre-classifies the encoded instances by the tag name of the element. These pre-classified encoded instances are used by the system to learn classifiers for the elements with that tag name. The learned classifiers are later used in the process of auto-tagging. We use the C5.0 learning algorithm to learn classifiers. The advantages of this learning algorithm are that it is very fast, it is not sensitive to missing features, it can deal with large number of features and it is incremental. C5.0 is best suited for our system because our system deals with documents from different domains, so some of the features are not relevant to the documents of all domains. Sets of rules are generated in a given domain from a collection of tagged documents and are used to tag the text documents from the same domain.

The second module creates a tagged version of an untagged text document. The untagged document should be from the same domain as the documents used for learning the rules. For the auto-tagging of text document, it is segmented into pieces of text using a variety of heuristics. These heuristics are derived from the set of training examples. By applying, the rules of the DTD, the rules extracted by using C5.0 algorithm and the text segmentation heuristics, the hierarchical structure of the document is obtained and a tagged version of a text document is generated.

The tagged document produced by the system can be validated against the DTD by using any XML parser. However, XML processors can only validate the syntax of an XML document and do not recognize the content; therefore a human expert is required to evaluate the accuracy of the auto-tagging process.

5 Experiments

For our experiments, we have used collections of documents from a number of different domains. These include letters from the MacGreevy Archive [8], [9], a database of employee records, Shakespearean plays [10], poems from the Early American Digital Archives [11] and scientific journal articles [12].

Figure 4 contains the unmarked text of a letter from the MacGreevy Archive. The marked-up version of the letter is in Figure 5. The markup produced by our system is mostly correct; the only incorrectly tagged text is that underlined in Figure 5, between the <INSIDEADDRESS> and </INSIDEADDRESS> tags. The DTD used to mark up the letters in the MacGreevy Archive is given in Figure 6.

Another example of the markup produced by our system, an excerpt from A Midsummer Night’s Dream, is shown in Figure 7. Again, the markup in this example is largely correct; the only error is the underlined text, between the <STAGEDIR> and </STAGEDIR> tags.

As a final example, Figure 8 contains part of a scientific article marked up by our system. Again, the incorrectly tagged text, between the <title> and </title> tags and between the <orgName> and </orgName> tags, is underlined.
I fear my letter to you from Paris was not a full answer to your letters about Eupalinos and I did not enclose Wallace Stevens' letter to you. Here it is. I am terribly sorry and all the more deeply because I just – at last – had got at this whole complex which I must explain to you more fully [?now] and was reading for the first time some of Wallace Stevens own poems (some of which are beautiful — though I have not found the two dedicated to you) when the news came of his death — if you have not had it yet it will be a great ...
<SCENE>
<TITLE>SCENE I. Athens. The palace of THESEUS.</TITLE>
<STAGEDIR>Enter THESEUS, HIPPOLYTA, PHILOSTRATE, and Attendants</STAGEDIR>

<SPEECH>
<SPEAKER>THESEUS</SPEAKER>
<LINEx>Now, fair Hippolyta, our nuptial hour</LINEx>
<LINEx>Draws on a pace; four happy days bring in</LINEx>
<LINEx>Another moon: but, 0, me thinks, how slow</LINEx>
<LINEx>This old moon wanes! she lingers my desires,</LINEx>
<LINEx>Like to a step-dame or a dowager</LINEx>
<LINEx>Long withering out a young man revenue.</LINEx>
</SPEECH>

<SPEECH>
<SPEAKER>HIPPOLYTA</SPEAKER>
<LINEx>Four days will quickly steep themselves in night;</LINEx>
<LINEx>Four nights will quickly dream away the time;</LINEx>
<LINEx>And then the moon, like to a silver bow</LINEx>
<LINEx>New-bent in heaven, shall behold the night</LINEx>
<LINEx>Of our solemnities</LINEx>
</SPEECH>

Figure 7. Part of *A Midsummer Night's Dream* marked up by our system.

<xml version="1.0"?"/>
<DOCTYPE article SYSTEM article.dtd">
<article>
<front>
<docCiteAs> MRS Internet J. Nitride Semicond. Res. 3, 14. </docCiteAs>
<cpyrt> 1999 The Materials Research Society </cpyrt>
<title>Surface Morphology of MBE-grown GaN on GaAs(001) as Function of the N/Ga-ratio</title>
<authors>
<auth>
<pn>O. Zsébők</pn>
</auth>
<auth>
<pn>J.V. Thordson</pn>
</auth>
<auth>
<pn>T.G. Andersson</pn>
</auth>
<aff>
<orgName>Chalmers University of Technology</orgName>
</aff>
</authors>
<date>Tuesday, June 23, 1998</date>
<date>Monday, August 24, 1998</date>
<brd>
<abstract>
Molecular beam epitaxy growth utilising an RF-plasma nitrogen source was used to study surface reconstruction and surface morphology of GaN on GaAs (001) at 580 °C. While both the nitrogen flow and plasma excitation power were constant, the grown layers were characterised as a function of Ga-flux. In the initial growth stage a (3x3) surface reconstruction was observed. This surface periodicity only lasted up to a maximum thickness of 2.5 ML, followed by a transition to the unreconstructed surface. Samples grown under N-rich, Ga-rich and stoichiometric conditions were characterised by high-resolution scanning electron microscopy and atomic force microscopy. We found that the smoothest surfaces were provided by the N/Ga-ratio giving the thickest layer at the (3x3)=&gt;(1x1) transition. The defect formation at the GaN/GaAs interface also depended on the N/Ga-flux ratio.
</abstract>
</front>
<section>
<heading>Introduction</heading>
<p>Gallium nitride is one of the most promising materials for optical applications in the blue range of the visible spectra due to its direct energy band gap of 3.39 eV at ...

Figure 8. Part of a scientific journal article automatically tagged by our system.
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