

# Understanding Open Teaching: Difficulties and Key Issues.

ANDRES CHIAPPE

Centro de Tecnologías para la Academia  
Universidad de La Sabana

Campus Universitario Puente del Común, Km 7 Autopista norte de Bogotá, Chía.  
COLOMBIA

andres.chiappe@unisabana.edu.co <http://www.unisabana.edu.co>

LINDA LUZ LEE

Facultad de Educación y Ciencias Humanas  
Universidad de Córdoba

Carrera 6# 76-103, Montería  
COLOMBIA

llee@correo.unicordoba.edu.co <http://www.unicordoba.edu.co>

*Abstract:* - We hereby describe the outcomes of a literature review on Open Teaching as an approach to understanding a currently ambiguous and polysemic concept, which has become a growing global trend in education. Peer-reviewed papers published in the last twenty years were included, provided they were indexed in the major academic databases. The findings show that Open Teaching has been associated with various conceptions over the years and that there is no current consensus on its meaning in the academic community. They also point out that the most common ways to implement Open Teaching practices are through Open Educational Resources (OER) and Massive Open Online Courses (MOOCs)

*Keywords:* - Open teaching, Open Educational Resources, MOOC, Information and Communication Technologies, Open Education, Distance Education.

## 1. Introduction

Today's educational processes take place in presence of a diverse range of challenges, both current and future, which are framed in social, economic, scientific and cultural dimensions. The foremost issue is ensuring equal access to quality education: the use of Information and Communication Technology (ICT) emerges as high potential alternative to overcome such challenges [1].

Within such framework, everyday work at educational institutions undergoes the pressure of complex issues forcing them to struggle with the continuous creation of new strategies aimed at widening and improving their educational provision [2], [3].

Immerse in a globalized and ICT-intensive environment, today's students in Education departments face an ever-changing professional landscape where fast-paced persistent evolution turns their knowledge and skills—and even educational context itself—around [4]. To worsen an already complicated situation, updates in higher

education curricula occur at a slower pace than required by changes in the global education scenario [5]. Consequently, many leading-edge topics and trends are left off the teachers' training programs: such an outdated instruction scheme ends up reinforcing those hard-to-change traditional and rigid points of view that precisely oppose the current educational demands.

To break up such a vicious circle a high-impact solution is required: amongst the fastest-growing global educational trends, Open Education for Teacher Training stands out as an ICT-related practice in rapid development. Although Open Education has been known for over half a century now, today's concept is far away from its mid-twentieth-century onset [6]. Open Teaching is nowadays defined as

[...] a process of knowledge sharing among educational stakeholders (teacher-student and student-student) that can be carried out anytime, anywhere, using freely available tools, either asynchronously or synchronously. Being open, it welcomes a

third actor in educational activity: the community, the world. Open Teaching extends formal towards informal education in a context of collaboration and interaction where learners work as peer-partners [7].

This is an emergent concept: there is no academic consensus to what “open” means in Education [8]. Hence, a literature review on the subject is required in order to present theoretical and experimental elements to the academic debate currently ongoing.

## 2. Method

With the aim of systematically reviewing the academic literature related to Open Education, we run searches over major databases including Scopus, ISI, Scielo and DOAJ: the search terms were Open Teaching, Open Education, and Open and Distance Education, both in English and in Spanish.

The selection criteria for the papers were:

- They explicitly mentioned Open Teaching either in the title, abstract or keyword records.
- Random selection of English and Spanish texts instead of balancing the number on each language, thus avoiding a bias due to a larger production of English papers.
- Only papers with both research findings and practical experiences were included.

A preliminary search on the databases delivered a consolidated number of 1247 potential peer-reviewed papers useful for the review. To determine the initial corpus of the review, random searches on title, abstract and keyword records were carried out to cover a twenty-year period from 1994 to 2014. Once finished that first stage, the papers were read in depth, searching for evidence of Open Teaching conceptualizations.

From the final set of papers, only 53 were selected for verification: Cohen’s Kappa quotient was then calculated to be 0,821, which guarantees a reliable review based on two different raters in accordance with Sim & Wright [9] as well as Vieira, Kaymak & Sousa [10].

## 3. Results

The literature review on Open Teaching presents a wide and diverse range of conceptualizations. Such varied conceptions, albeit different, are not exclusive; each brings in a new element to support a comprehensive, better understanding of the phenomenon.

### 3.1 Open Teaching: an increasingly relevant topic

The literature review shows that ‘Open Teaching’ has represented different concepts over time. Some of them have endured and grown into the current meaning of the term. First and foremost, Open Teaching (OT) has long been conceived as a modality of education, as a way of teaching—not to be confused with a learning modality.

Originally, OT’s features were solely conceived as pertaining to Distance Learning [11]–[14]. Currently, those features are linked to the massive incorporation of ICTs in the educational scenario. OT has won its very own development spaces that set it apart from other teaching modalities.

The review found instances of such shifting at the following sources: [15]–[24].

The results of the literature review show how an academic interest in Open Teaching—and Open Education as its context— has grown substantially over the years. We reviewed papers from 1998 to 2014: 42% of them had been issued in the last five years and only 5% came from before the end of the century. Research work on the topic only started after the year 2000. By clustering the literature in five-year periods after that, we can measure the growth rate in number of published studies and theoretical efforts: 21% between 2000 and 2004; 32% between 2005 and 2009; the foregoing 42% between 2010 and 2014.

Not only this growing scholarly interest in the topic gives way to potential further development, but also shows a significant reclaim of the Open Education concept, whose development is yet to be explored. In pursuing a deeper understanding of these ideas, we shall deal with several closely related topics, as found in literature.

### 3.2 Open Teaching: between flexibility and access.

As we mentioned earlier, the meaning of Open Teaching has evolved over the years. Determining the different significations it has had would lead us to a better understanding of OT as a construct.

An initial characterization of OT begets from access and flexibility. When teaching as an educational practise becomes ‘open’, it brings about the possibility of broadening access opportunities to formal learning spaces by managing time and location in a flexible way [1].

In the domain of education, *flexibility* means that options are given to the learner on how to learn [25]: based on your needs you may pick a set of choices for the different intervening factors to your own

training process, i.e. pick the topics, place, pace and/or method [26].

In light of the interrelation between Education and Work, *access* as a methodological dimension to any teaching approach implies that learners lacking certain economic conditions [8] or with a significant social or spatial impairment [12] will find no hindrance in entering, completing and verifying their learning process.

We found samples of the foregoing in: [12], [14], [16], [20], [21], [24].

### 3.3 Open Teaching: an ICT affair

An interesting outcome of the review lies in the present and direct linkage found between Open Teaching and ICTs. Notwithstanding their emerging nature, advances in ICTs have been linked to OT's developments for over a decade, primarily based on the use of Internet.

Some Internet-based tools that underpin the development of Open Teaching as educational practice include virtual classrooms, virtual learning environments, learning and content management platforms, chats, forums, mobile apps, learning communities/networks as well as social networks.

Cases in point to those tools were found in: [11], [13], [15], [27]–[32].

According to Berrocoso [33], the ultimate purpose of Open Teaching is to “get anybody in the world with an Internet connection to freely and unrestrictedly access all the scientific, academic and cultural information comprising the human knowledge with no economic, technical or legal hindrance.” This renders all technological tools extremely important, particularly those granting free and open access.

### 3.4 Open Teaching as driving strategy in autonomous learning

As per the review findings, another way to understand Open Teaching is as a strategy aimed at creating learning: anywhere, anytime, paced to the rhythms and the communication and interaction constraints of the student; or as educational processes based upon self-management [34].

This self-driven conception of Open Teaching leads to changes not only in the teaching process itself but also in resources, communication systems, methodologies and management of educational institutions [25]. Open Teaching as a strategy is addressed by several researchers, who see in this teaching modality a methodological opportunity for transforming education. Seen from this perspective, Open Teaching provides the students with personalized attention [35], adapts to

individual workflow and gives importance to the design of quality educational materials [36].

Indeed, Open Teaching's definition deem students capable of self-steering their learning process by making their own decisions about it (Hashemi Golzar, 2006) and being independent to find a pace of their own [37], [38].

Other authors relate Open Teaching to modalities of teaching like tutored self-learning, synchronized virtual classrooms, and networked collaborative learning [39] asserting that Open Teaching inherits its basic principles from active school —Escuela Nueva— [40]. Some remark the relation between teaching in open environments and the student-centric constructivist paradigm [41], as well as the Didactic and Collaborative Learning model [42], [43].

### 3.5 Does Open Teaching mean teaching with Open Educational Resources?

One of the most common ways to understand Open Teaching is concerned with the use of what are known as Open Educational Resources (OER). In that sense, the literature review has shown that incorporating OERs into an educational practice seems reason enough to confer it the status of "open". Although that is a questionable assertion, literature shows it is a widely accepted idea among the academic community.

Albeit OER are indeed a closely related tool used in Open Teaching, this is conceptually broader than its deployment instruments, and it should not be conceived in a merely instrumental way. Instead, it should at least be understood as “extensive collaborative exercises involving reuse, remix, redistribution, inclusion, adaptation, free access and many other concepts and processes related to the current notion of what "open" means for education” [7].

Some examples of Open Teaching considered as OER use can be found at: [44]–[50].

### 3.6 MOOCs as an instantiation of Open Teaching

MOOCs (Massive Open Online Courses) as a topic have now a high impact on educational practices as a whole; they are also emerging as OT is, and they surely deserve detailed and sensible reflection in light of their relevance.

A significant number of the reviewed articles report a direct relation between Open Teaching and MOOCs, up to the point that they are considered an instantiation of OT as educational practise.

Some authors consider that said relation between MOOCs and OT is based upon their defining features of inclusion, remix, reutilization, and feedback [51], while others assert the relation is based on their common pedagogical foundation of social learning through community interaction [52] under a connectivist approach [53].

Instances of such understanding of Open Teaching's linkage to MOOCs can be found in:[54]–[60].

#### 4. Discussion

After the analysis you have a wide variety of studies framed on the Open Teaching concept; however, each one views OT from a different angle and apply it in a different way, which makes replication and sustainability of practical results a very difficult matter. Lack of consensus within the academic community on this gives rise to practical and conceptual ambiguities in both research approach and results.

The noticeable trend to associate Open Teaching to the use of ICT entails a significant risk concerning its integrality as educational practise, by overweighting technological aspects over educational ones. Most documented experiences on Open Teaching focus on the implementation of technological tools in training processes under the principles of Open Education, subject to convergence of online tools and education offered anywhere, anytime.

Reviewed authors notice that reported educational experiences are far more aware of technological tools affecting educational processes than they are engaged in understanding Open Education as their foundational framework [61], [62]. Excessive focus on the outcomes of technological integration and its effects on educational processes obviate recognition of Open Education's scope and limits, thus shifting OE from object of study to reference framework.

Given the above, it proves urgent that educational institutions assume a position concerning said uses of OE, based on their research experiences and results, thus contributing to clarification of concepts encompassed in the topic.

#### References:

[1] Unesco, *La nueva dinámica de la educación superior y la investigación para el cambio social y el desarrollo*. Paris: Unesco, 2009.  
 [2] S. J. Higgins, B. E. R. Association, and others, *Does ICT improve learning and teaching in*

*schools?*. BERA, British Educational Research Association, 2003.  
 [3] B. Robinson, "Using Distance Education and ICT to Improve Access, Equity and the Quality in Rural Teachers' Professional Development in Western China.," *Int. Rev. Res. Open Distance Learn.*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 1–17, 2008.  
 [4] M. Fullan, *The new meaning of educational change*. New York: Routledge, 2007.  
 [5] S. Fallows and C. Steven, "Building employability skills into the higher education curriculum: a university-wide initiative," *Educ. Train.*, vol. 42, no. 2, pp. 75–83, 2000.  
 [6] D. M. Holt and D. J. Thompson, "Responding to the technological imperative: the experience of an open and distance education institution," *Distance Educ.*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 43–64, 1995.  
 [7] A. Chiappe, "Prácticas educativas abiertas como factor de innovación educativa con TIC," *Bol. REDIPE*, vol. 818, pp. 6–12, 2012.  
 [8] A. . Dos Santos, "Educación abierta: historia, práctica y el contexto de los recursos educacionales abiertos," in *Recursos Educacionales Abiertos. Prácticas colaborativas y políticas públicas*, B. Santana, C. Rossini, and De Luca, Eds. Sao Paulo: EDUFBA - Casa da Cultura Digital, 2013, pp. 71–88.  
 [9] J. Sim and C. C. Wright, "The kappa statistic in reliability studies: use, interpretation, and sample size requirements," *Phys. Ther.*, vol. 85, no. 3, pp. 257–268, 2005.  
 [10] S. M. Vieira, U. Kaymak, and J. M. Sousa, "Cohen's Kappa coefficient as a performance measure for feature selection," in *Fuzzy Systems (FUZZ)*, 2010 IEEE International Conference on, 2010, pp. 1–8.  
 [11] V. C. Bermeo and E. C. Sempertegui, "Equidad en el acceso al conocimiento a través de las TIC, la experiencia de la modalidad abierta ya distancia de la UTPL a través de la red de aulas virtuales," *RIED Rev. Iberoam. Educ. Distancia*, vol. 7, no. 1–2, 2004.  
 [12] P. S. Cookson, "Acceso y equidad en la educación a distancia: investigación, desarrollo y criterios de calidad," *Rev. Electrónica Investig. Educ.*, vol. 4, no. 2, 2012.  
 [13] R. M. A. Feijoo, "La Guía Didáctica un material educativo para promover el aprendizaje autónomo: evaluación y mejoramiento de su calidad en la Modalidad

- Abierta ya Distancia de la UTPL,” RIED Rev. Iberoam. Educ. Distancia, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 179–192, 2004.
- [14] E. Reyes Escamilla, “Hacia el establecimiento de un modelo administrativo de educación a distancia en México,” Tesis Doctoral, Universidad de Morelia, Mexico, 2002.
- [15] G. Arias and R. Vanegas, “Caracterización de los procesos de implementación y uso de las aulas virtuales en la Facultad de Educación,” *Nuevas Ideas En Informática Educ. TISE*, no. 2, pp. 343–352, 2013.
- [16] E. Castillo, *La educación a distancia a nivel superior. Aportaciones de algunos países latinoamericanos y europeos*. México: Instituto Politécnico Nacional, 2005.
- [17] M. E. R. Conde, “Certificación de estudios avanzados para profesores de enseñanza media superior: una propuesta,” presented at the IV Conferencia Internacional sobre educación, formación y nuevas tecnologías, Miami, 2003, pp. 3–18.
- [18] J. M. Duart, L. Salomón, and P. Lara, “La Universitat Oberta de Catalunya (UOC): innovación educativa y tecnológica en educación superior,” RIED Rev. Iberoam. Educ. Distancia, vol. 9, no. 1–2, 2006.
- [19] F. Hernández, “Universidad Abierta para Adultos: un modelo de educación a distancia en la República Dominicana,” RIED Rev. Iberoam. Educ. Distancia, vol. 9, no. 1–2, 2006.
- [20] M. López de la Madrid, K. Flores, M. Rodríguez, and E. De la Torre, “Análisis de una experiencia en entornos virtuales de aprendizaje en educación superior: el programa de cursos en línea del Centro Universitario del Sur de la Universidad de Guadalajara,” *Rev. Iberoam. Educ.*, vol. 60, pp. 97–115, 2012.
- [21] R. Marquina, *Estrategias didácticas para la enseñanza en entornos virtuales*. Merida: Universidad de los Andes, 2007.
- [22] K. H. Montoya, V. N. Solano, and M. R. R. Araya, “La mediación pedagógica como una estrategia para la atención educativa de las personas con enfermedad mental en el Programa de Educación Abierta, en el nivel de alfabetización que se imparte en el Hospital Nacional Psiquiátrico,” *Rev. Electrónica Educ.*, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 141–155, 2013.
- [23] G. Oliva and B. G. Banno, “El Sistema de Educación Abierta ya Distancia de la Universidad Nacional de Mar del Plata. Pasado, presente y una proyección a futuro,” RIED Rev. Iberoam. Educ. Distancia, vol. 9, no. 1–2, 2006.
- [24] I. Rodríguez-Ardura and G. Ryan, “Integración de materiales didácticos hipermedia en entornos virtuales de aprendizaje: retos y oportunidades,” *Rev. Iberoam. Educ.*, vol. 25, pp. 177–203, 2001.
- [25] J. Salinas, “Fundamentos claves de los PLEs,” in *Entornos personales de aprendizaje: claves para el ecosistema educativo en red*, L. Castañeda and J. Adell, Eds. Aclý: Marfil, 2013, pp. 53–70.
- [26] J. Castaño, C. Redecker, R. Vourakari, and Y. Punie, “Open Education 2030: planning the future of adult learning in Europe,” *Open Learn.*, vol. 28, no. 3, pp. 171–186, 2013.
- [27] P. Aguirre Gamboa, J. Casco López, and R. L. Laurencio Meza, “El Internet Relay Cha (IRC) como estrategia de innovación en la enseñanza abierta. El caso de la Licenciatura en Comunicación, Universidad Veracruzana,” *Zona Próxima*, vol. 10, pp. 50–69, 2011.
- [28] M. R. Belmonte and J. B. Camón, “La promoción de la educación abierta ya distancia en Internet: la red transnacional de educación abierta y a distancia Pinocchio,” RIED Rev. Iberoam. Educ. Distancia, vol. 2, no. 1, 1999.
- [29] J. Bidarra and R. Mason, “El potencial del vídeo en la educación abierta ya distancia,” RIED Rev. Iberoam. Educ. Distancia, vol. 1, no. 2, 1998.
- [30] H. P. Kuklinski and M. Balestrini, “Prototipos de Mobile Open Education: Una breve selección de Casos,” *IEEE-RITA*, vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 125–131, 2010.
- [31] Á. S. Pérez, “E@: red social para la educación artística abierta ya distancia, para la formación continua del profesorado,” *Estud. Sobre El Mensaje Periodís.*, vol. 19, pp. 459–468, 2013.
- [32] H. Spoelstra, P. Van Rosmalen, and P. B. Sloep, “Toward Project-based Learning and Team Formation in Open Learning Environments,” *J UCS*, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 57–76, 2014.
- [33] J. V. Berrocoso, “El movimiento de ‘educación abierta’ y la ‘universidad expandida,’” *Tend. Pedagógicas*, no. 16, pp. 157–180, 2010.
- [34] R. Mejías Rodríguez, “Preparando el camino para una educación abierta,” *Rev. Cuba. Informática Médica*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 13–19, 2013.
- [35] E. K. A. Rivera, “Un modelo de enseñanza-aprendizaje virtual: análisis, diseño y

- aplicación en un sistema universitario mexicano,” Universidad de Granada, 2005.
- [36] J. Campos, “E-Learning e internet como herramientas de autor para profesores de español,” presented at the XIV Congreso Internacional de ASELE, Burgos, 2003, pp. 128–172.
- [37] L. Castelán, Propuesta de actividades experimentales como estrategia didáctica en la enseñanza del tema “respiración celular” del Bachillerato Universitario. Los Reyes Iztacala, Edo. de México: Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, 2011.
- [38] V. García and T. Ruiz, “La normatividad de modalidades no convencionales, necesidad impostergable,” presented at the X Congreso Nacional de Investigación Educativa, Veracruz, 2009.
- [39] M. De la Iglesia, “Aprendizaje, creatividad e innovación en el entorno de las TIC, en el área del Análisis Económico,” in Acta II Jornadas ID+TIC, Alcalá, 2009.
- [40] T. Guanina and M. Francisco, Diseño e implementación de material didáctico de matemática para los alumnos de cuarto año de educación básica de la escuela “Dr. Edmundo Carbo” de la comuna 25 de diciembre parroquia Guangaje cantón Pujili en el año lectivo 2010-2011. Latacunga, Ecuador: Universidad Técnica de Cotopaxi, 2013.
- [41] G. Geser, “Prácticas y recursos de educación abierta: la hoja de ruta OLCOS 2012,” RUSC Univ. Knowl. Soc. J., vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 4–13, 2007.
- [42] N. Gonzalez, R. García, L. Sonsoles, and E. Alvarez, “Metodologías activas en la docencia universitaria: importancia, conocimiento y uso en opinión del profesorado,” Rev. Eval. Educ., vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 88–107, 2013.
- [43] G. Meneses, “El proceso de enseñanza-aprendizaje: el acto didáctico,” in NTIC, Interacción y Aprendizaje en la Universidad, U. Virgili, Ed. Tarragona: Universitat Rovira i Virgili, 2007, pp. 31–65.
- [44] A. Fini, “The technological dimension of a massive open online course: The case of the CCK08 course tools,” Int. Rev. Res. Open Distrib. Learn., vol. 10, no. 5, pp. 1–26, 2009.
- [45] L. D. Glasserman Morales, “Documentación de experiencias de una práctica educativa abierta (pea) en un curso de educación superior,” REICE Rev. Electrónica Iberoam. Sobre Calid. Efic. Cambio En Educ., vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 202–211, 2012.
- [46] M. S. R. Montoya and J. V. B. Aguilar, Eds., Movimiento Educativo Abierto. México: Crown Quarto, 2012.
- [47] M. Ponti, “Self-directed learning and guidance in non-formal open courses,” Learn. Media Technol., vol. 39, no. 2, pp. 154–168, 2014.
- [48] M. S. Ramírez Montoya and J. V. Burgos Aguilar, Recursos educativos abiertos y móviles para la formación de investigadores: Investigaciones y experiencias prácticas. México, 2012.
- [49] M. S. Ramirez, “Creación y uso educativo de contenidos digitales en el Movimiento Educativo Abierto: alcances y retos a través de redes latinoamericanas,” 2011.
- [50] J. P. Schmidt, C. Geith, S. Håklev, and J. Thierstein, “Peer-to-peer recognition of learning in open education,” Int. Rev. Res. Open Distrib. Learn., vol. 10, no. 5, pp. 1–9, 2009.
- [51] J. Mackness, M. Waite, G. Roberts, and E. Lovegrove, “Learning in a small, task-oriented, connectivist MOOC: Pedagogical issues and implications for higher education,” Int. Rev. Res. Open Distrib. Learn., vol. 14, no. 4, pp. 140–159, 2013.
- [52] D. T. Mancera and D. G. Saldaña, “Los MOOCs y su papel en la creación de comunidades de aprendizaje y participación,” RIED Rev. Iberoam. Educ. Distancia, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 13–34, 2014.
- [53] M. Gea, R. Montes, B. Rojas, and R. Bergaz, “Comunidades activas de aprendizaje: hacia la formación abierta en las universidades,” VAEP - RITA, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 3–10, 2014.
- [54] J. I. Agueded-Gómez, “The MOOC Revolution: A new form of education from the technological paradigm?,” Comunicar, vol. 21, no. 41, pp. 07–08, Jul. 2013.
- [55] M. Al-Atabi and J. DeBoer, “Teaching entrepreneurship using Massive Open Online Course (MOOC),” Technovation, vol. 34, no. 4, pp. 261–264, 2014.
- [56] A. B. Bragg, “MOOCs: Where to from here?,” Train. Dev., vol. 41, no. 1, pp. 20–21, 2014.
- [57] G. Conole, “MOOCs as disruptive technologies: strategies for enhancing the learner experience and quality of MOOCs,” 2013.
- [58] S. Kellogg, “Online learning: How to make a MOOC,” Nature, vol. 499, no. 7458, pp. 369–371, 2013.
- [59] A. McAuley, B. Stewart, G. Siemens, and D. Cormier, “The MOOC model for digital practice,” 2010.

- [60] L. Pappano, "The Year of the MOOC," N. Y. Times, vol. 2, no. 12, p. 2012, 2012.
- [61] U.-D. Ehlers, "Extending the territory: From open educational resources to open educational practices," J. Open Flex. Distance Learn., vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 1–10, 2011.
- [62] M. Kalz, H. Drachsler, J. Van Bruggen, H. Hummel, and R. Koper, "Wayfinding services for open educational practices," 2008.