

Private Investments Cross Analyses Methodology: an empirical analysis using panel data and Monte Carlo simulation

*HUGO FERREIRA BRAGA TADEU, D.Sc., JERSONE TASSO MOREIRA SILVA, D.Sc.

Fundação Dom Cabral, FDC.

Rua Bernardo Guimarães, 3071 – Santo Agostinho – Belo Horizonte, MG. 30140-083.

BRAZIL

hugo.tadeu@fdc.org.br

Abstract: - Empirical studies regarding the elements of private investments in developing countries, including Brazil, have demonstrated the negative impact of high inflation rates on investments, with great impacts on the innovation policies. However, the recent Brazilian experience clearly shows that stabilization, in and of itself, is not capable of recovering the investment rates and innovation strategies. Therefore, the objective of this study is to analyse the elements of the long term private investment in Brazil. The used method was an econometric panel data model and Monte Carlo simulation, called PICAM (Private Investments Cross Analyses Methodology). This method was developed by the authors in order to contribute for a better business economic analysis. The results have shown evidences of crowding-in effect of public investments in infrastructure over private investments stimulating growth. All the signs of the analyzed variables were obtained as presented in the theory, with the exception of the real interest rates variable (r), in which it was observed that the coefficient is positive and insignificant in the estimated equation.

Key-words: PICAM, Panel Data, Monte Carlo Simulation, Crowding in, Crowding out, Econometrics

1 Introduction

Empirical studies of private investment in developing countries, including Brazil, show the negative impact of high inflation rates, interest rates, exchange rates and international crisis on private investment and innovation policies. However, the recent Brazilian experience shows that stabilization by itself is not enough to recover investment rate and innovation strategies.

Several studies show the necessity of developing econometric models using reliable information in

This study is divided into five sections: the first is the introduction; the following section describes the literature related to investments models; third section presents the materials and the PICAM which describes the econometric model; Section 4 presents the tests results and the econometric

2 Literature Review

Due to their crucial aspects, it is necessary to correctly assess the performance of investments as an agent of development and innovation. Commonly known as "determinant of private

order to obtain further elements related to private investments in Brazil, especially since the period related to the implementation of the Real Plan until now. The econometric model is only possible by taking into account the advances in the theories regarding simulation and the national macroeconomic principles. Consequently, it is observed an interesting combination of information, simulation models and analysis that enable decision making processes, which can be seen in [8]; [20]; [18]; and [10].

simulation for the period 1996-2011 and Monte Carlo Simulation; lastly, the conclusions.

Thus, the objective of this article is to analyze the elements of the long term private investment in Brazil applying a panel data econometric simulation model and Monte Carlo Method.

investments", this economic analyses is responsible for allocating resources for private and public organizations going through financial difficulties, with the proposal of a new conceptual approach for their strategies. It is described, in the following sections, the strategic investments and a few

characteristics of Brazilian private investments and its economy.

2.1 Strategic Investments

The economic volatility environment has led to a need for gradual changes in the investments responsibilities. [2], argues that strategic investments (SI) is related to bureaucratic and administrative issues. However, the economic behavior and the constant recessions of recent years have favored the creation of a new model related to fundraising. In this case, it is up to the organizations to develop a deep understanding of the economy and its dynamics, in order to create products and new process. Recent advances in the information technology models and the urge for new financial tools, with greater proximity to

2.2 Investment Elements: a theoretic panorama

The previous section shows the importance of economic assessment, as well as the importance of organizational management of SI. Thus, the present section tries to conduct a bibliographical survey, with the objective of extracting the relevant data to execute the econometric study.

Using empirical studies, we will try to identify if there is an inhibiting factor for private investments derived from the macroeconomic instability and from governmental investments, over the course of the timeframe proposed in previous section.

The vital role of capital formation in sustainable economic growth is widely recognized. However, in Brazil and in many other developing countries the investment rates were reduced until the mid 1990's, a fact which was a result mainly of the external debt crises and of lack of inflationary control.

The gross formation of fixed capital in relation to the Brazilian GDP, measured at constant prices, had an average decrease of 23% in the 1970's, of 18.5% in the 1980's and of 15.2% in the 1990-1995 period.

organizational reality, are enabling the development of strategic investments [5].

Relating SI to economic performance is something new, especially considering the search for sector assessments focused on indicating the proper innovation products for organizations. Basic responsibilities, such as minimizing financial risks and operational costs, and maximizing innovation elements, should be responsibilities of SI [9], which is the opposite of the current operational models, which are still focused on the evaluation of cash flow, liquidity, risk analysis, payment capacity and associated information technology.

To achieve this, SI must be a department in organizations with extensive responsibilities and with connections with other areas, generating benefits for clients.

In 1998 Brazil's economy felt the impacts of the so called Asian crises, and in 2008 the great international financial crises happened. Due to the deceleration of the GDP in 2011 it is quite possible that other fiscal measures will be adopted by the government, in an attempt to stimulate the level of economic activity, especially those related to the increase in credit for 2012 and the years ahead.

The econometric results obtained in other studies related to investments themes, and its elements in Brazil and in other countries are presented in Table 1. They summarize the works used as a foundation for the empirical research of this article.

The study of investment behavior, specifically in the private sector, results from the fact that this is a typically endogenous variable and from the observation that the adoption of specific economic actions in the market will increase the relative importance of private investments in the creation of aggregated capital. Particularly important dimensions of this problem are related to measuring the effects of macroeconomic instability on the levels of investments in the private sector, and the identification of the type of relationship that exists between public investment, private investment and innovation policies.

Table 1. Comparison of the macroeconomic variables used in Brazil and abroad

Methods and Variables	Luporini & Alves (2010)	Santos & Pires (2007)	Ferreira (2005)	Serven (2002)	Rossiter (2002)	Melo & Rodrigues Júnior (1998)	Rocha & Teixeira (1996)
Sampled country	Brazil	Brazil	Brazil	61 Countries	USA	Brazil	Brazil
OLS	X	-	X	-	-	X	X
Private investment	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Tributes	-	X	X	-	-	-	-
Util. of Ind. Cap.	X	-	X	-	X	-	-
Credit	X	-	X	X	X	-	-
Public Investment	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
I_{pb}/Y (--)	-	-	-	X	-	-	-
Relative Prices of Capital Goods	-	X	X	-	-	X	X
Inflation (Uncertainty)	X	-	X	X	-	X	-
GDP	X	X	X	-	X	X	X
Cost of Capital (r)	X	-	X	X	-	X	-
Innovation Investments	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
External Debt	X	-	-	-	-	-	-
R^2	0.92092	-	0.9521	N/D	N/D	0.89	0.85
Log Variables	Yes (Except r)	Yes	Yes (Except r)	Yes (Except r)	Yes	Yes (Except r)	Yes

Source: Authors.

3 Materials and Methods

A quantitative research was used not only to explain the theoretical model underlying the regression analysis, but also to test the existence of stationary and the co-integration between the used time series data. The proposed econometric model combines the use of a series of data related to economic performance - observing organization's behaviors, productive aspects and growth.

The data comprehends the time period from 1996 to 2011, this timeframe is relevant for the determination of Brazil's sector analysis, and also to indicate for future studies.

The study of investment behavior, specifically in the private sector, results from the fact that this is a typically endogenous variable and from the observation that the adoption of specific economic actions in the market will increase the relative importance of private investments in the creation of aggregated capital. Particularly important dimensions of this problem are related to measuring the effects of macroeconomic instability on the levels of investments in the private sector, and the identification of the type of relationship that exists between public investment and private investment.

3.1 Econometric Model: PICAM

To explain the issue of private investments it was chosen the following variables as part of the functional form: GDP, utilization of industrial capacity, public investments in infrastructure, public investments in non-infrastructure areas, public investments in innovation, real interest rates, relative prices of capital goods, inflation, a credit availability proxy, tax burden, external restrictions and exchange rates.

The GDP and the utilization of industrial capacity are commonly used factors when specifying equations for level investments, as they reflect the demand conditions of the economy and are used to measure the accelerating effect of investment and possible economic cycles. Typically pro-cyclic economies, such as the ones in developing countries, tend to show a strong correlation between private investments and the variables related to demand.

To measure the impact of public investments on private investments we used public investments in a disaggregated form, separating public investments in infrastructure from the investments in electric energy, telecommunications and transportation. All other public investments are considered as non-infrastructure. It is crucial to verify if there is empirical evidence of the crowding-in theoretical

effect of public investments in infrastructure over Brazil's private investments, and if not, does the expected crowding-out effect occur.

The possible crowding-in effect of public over private investments in infrastructure is theoretically explained by the fact that such investments increase the productivity of capital for future investments and consequently innovation, and save private investors from additional investments they would otherwise have to make in these areas. As for the crowding-out effects of non-infrastructure public investments, these can be theoretically explained by the competition between them for scarce resources available for investments.

A frequently used variable to explain private investments is the real interest rate, the first theoretic proxy of the cost of capital opportunity. This justifies the choice of this variable as a pre-candidate to compose the final functional form.

The relative price of capital goods is also a key-variable in investment decisions, because it directly affects the cost of capital opportunity. It can assess the effects of low competition in the industry of capital goods that result in increasing the prices of these goods above the prices practiced in the rest of the economy, which would negatively impact investments.

Inflation is a commonly used variable as a proxy for uncertainties in the economies of developing countries.

A proxy variable for the availability of credit in the economy is also commonly used in investment studies, especially in developing countries, in which credit access is very limited. Obtaining credit or not is, in many projects, a key-element for the impact of credit itself. Thus, the availability of credit should also be taken into account as a pre-candidate variable. We considered the volume of annual disbursements of the BNDES as a proxy for credit availability in Brazil.

The total tax burden (as a percentage of the GDP) should be used as a possible explanatory variable for private investments. Very few empirical articles use this variable, but in the Brazilian case it may be quite relevant, especially with the significant increase of taxes over the last few years. The motivation for using this variable is due to the fact that economic agents of the public and private sectors have been complaining about the excessiveness of Brazilian taxes as being one of the major obstacles for private investments.

As for external influences, several indicators were used on the empirical work, such as deviation of products from their long term trends, the

volatility of the stock exchange, the variability of inflation rates and/or of the exchange rates in relation to the debt/GDP, with negative results for private investments [3]; [21]; [15]; [11] and [19].

And finally, [3] uses the relationship between external debt and exports to investigate the effects of external conditions on private investments in Brazil, and in other Latin American countries, confirming the negative results already uncovered in other studies. More recently, [2001] investigated the relationship between exchange rates and private investments. The results indicate that the exchange rates affected negatively and significantly private investments over the analyzed timeframe, which was from 1956 to 1996.

Taking Table 01 into consideration, we propose the following generic Private Investments Cross Analyses Model (PICAM):

$$\text{Invest_priv} = f(Y, \text{UCAP}, \text{Invest_pub_infra}, \text{Invest_pub_n\~{a}o_infra}, I, r, \text{P_rel_bens_k}, \text{IGP-DI}, \text{Emprest_BNDES}, t, \text{EE}, E) \quad (1)$$

In which:

Invest_priv = *strictu sensu* gross investment of the private sector (excludes state organizations);

Y = Real Gross Domestic Product;

UCAP = average utilization of the industrial capacity;

Invest_pub_infra = public investments in infrastructure;

Invest_pub_n~{a}o_infra = non-infrastructure public investments;

I = innovation public investments;

r = real interest rate;

P_rel_bens_k = relative prices of capital goods;

IGP-DI = Inflation

Emprest_BNDES = Real disbursement of the BNDES;

T = Tax burden as a percentage of the GDP;

EE = External restriction, using as a proxy the series Debt Service/GDP (%);

E = Real exchange rate;

Dummy = control variable for times of international crises

Based on this expression, we estimate the following econometric equation for the 1996-2011 timeframe, with expresses variables in natural logarithms (except for the real interest rates variable), in order to directly obtain the elasticity of the variables:

$$\text{LInvest_priv}_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{LY}_t + \beta_2 \text{LUCAP} + \beta_3 \text{LInvest_pub_infra} + \beta_4 \text{LInvest_pub_n\~{a}o_infra} + \beta_5 I + \beta_6 r + \beta_7 \text{LP_rel_bens_k} + \beta_8 \text{LEmprest_BNDES} + \beta_9 \text{LT} + \beta_{10} \text{EE} + \beta_{11} \text{LnE} + \beta_{12} \text{D1} + \varepsilon_t \quad (2)$$

In which ε_t is a random disturbance.

In conformity with the model of the investment accelerator, we expect that the increased GDP will generate an increase in private investments, because increased production requires more investments and innovation processes. The effect of the interest rate is negative and reflects the adverse impact of the cost of capital utilization over investment decisions. Used as a proxy for uncertainty and instability, we expect that the elevation in the inflation rates will decrease investments in the private sector; here the implicit hypothesis is that instability increases the *waiting price* for new information and increases business risks. The relationship between the Private Investment and Public Investment variables is ambiguous, because both crowding-in and crowding-out can predominate between the two types of investment.

Table 2 presents a summary of the pre-candidate variables used to explain private investments in Brazil, in annual series since 1996 and what are the theoretic expected signals.

Table 2. Pre-candidate variables for Private Investment

Pre-candidate variable	Expected signal
Real GDP	Positive
Average utilization of industrial capacity	Positive
Public investments in infrastructure	Positive
Non-infrastructure investments	Negative
Innovation public investments	Negative

Real interest rates	Negative
Relative prices of capital goods	Negative
Inflation	Negative
Real disbursements of the BNDES	Positive
Tax burden as a percentage of the GDP	Negative
External restrictions	Negative
Real exchange rates	Negative

The obtained data was used to simulate the long term macroeconomic perspectives using the Monte Carlo method for the 2011-2017 annually period

4 Results

For the econometric analysis all variables, with the exception of the real interest rates variable, were log-linearized using the natural logarithm, and the remaining series were calculated using the fixed prices of 1995. Because the series used in the estimations of the investment equations are temporal series, we presume that these series are random variables ordered over time. The usual methods of estimation and inference presume that these variables are stationary. The non-stationary of a stochastic process is due to the existence of a unit root or a stochastic trend in the auto-regressive process (AR), which generates the presence (or absence) of stationary in the variables used in the estimations.

4.1 Stationary tests

Initially the series were subjected to augmented Dickey and Fuller (ADF) unit root tests [4], in level and in first difference. The ADF test is well known and will be described in this section (see [6]. It should be remembered that the test statistic is similar to the t-student test.

The aim of the tests is to show statistical evidence of the integration order of the variables and are, in fact, pre-tests for co-integration, since theoretically only variables with the same integration order can co-integrate.

According to [13], the null hypothesis is that $\alpha=0$, in which α is the coefficient associated to the first lag range of the series, which enters as a regressor AR(p) for the first difference of the hypothesis. The criterion of rejection indicates rejecting H_0 if $|ADF|>VC$, in which VC is the critical value of the distribution. As in the case of the existence of a unit root, the asymptotic distribution of t is not the same if the series is stationary (in this case the i of student). The correct choice of lags is important, as they can influence

with the RiskSim system for the scenarios and risk evaluation.

the performance of the tests. What we did was choose a number which was sufficient to eliminate any possible serial correlation of residues. The choice was made by minimizing information criteria.

Table 3 bellow summarizes the results of the stationary tests. For the timeframe being analyzed the results of the tests favor the hypothesis of a unit root and also indicate that the series contains a stochastic trend.

The unit root tests for the selected on level variables do not reject the possibility of the existence of a unit root in all cases at a 1% level, the only rejection occurred in the LnIGP-DI variable. In other words, there are no statistical evidences that the variables are I(0). The analyses of the results indicates that the series for private investments (LnInv_Priv), GDP (LnY), utilization of industrial capacity (LnUCAP), public investments (LnInv_Pub_infra and LnInv_Pub_ninfra), innovation public investments (Ln_I), real interest rates (R), relative prices of capital goods (P_rel_bens_k), loans from the BNDES (LnEmp_BNDES) and taxation (LnT), may all be considered stationary.

Based on this, there is statistical evidence that the variables in question can be treated as I(1), and that regressions without their levels (log on level, in the case of the specification used here) are possible and will not present dubious results, as long as the conditions of co-integration are verified. The theory suggests the possibility of a trend, besides the constant, for the formulations of the unit root tests for the GDP and investments, and that was properly considered.

Considering the other level of significances, we observed that there were rejections for the variables: LY for 5% and 10%, LnUCAP for 10%, LnEmp_BNDES for 5 and 10%, and LnIGP-DI for 1%, 5% and 10%. A possible explanation for this fact is that the stationarity tests are susceptible to

the specification and the measure unit of the variables, which creates difficulties for the analysis of results. Furthermore, the unreliability of the tests makes it difficult to discriminate stochastic series with high dependencies. The real exchange rate (LnE) can be considered stationary with the ADF of -2.6534 with the rejection of the null hypothesis at a 10% level of significance. For the EE variable we have an ADF, in level, of -2.2719 with an integration order I(1).

Given these characteristics, the investment equations were estimated by means of the Ordinary Least Squares methodology. Some of the studies of investment determinants presented in literature use the co-integration technique by means of a system of auto-regressive vectors (VAR). The estimator of Ordinary Least Squares is one of the few estimators whose properties are solidly established in specialized literature.

Table 3. Results of the stationarity tests for the pre-candidate variables in the private investments model using annual data from 1996-2011

Variables	t-ADF	Critical value test 1% significance	Critical value test 5% significance	Critical value test 10% significance	p- value
On level variables					
LnInv_Priv	- 1,874	- 4,0579	- 3,1199	- 2,7011	0,332
LnY	- 3,433	- 3,9591	- 3,0810	- 2,6813	0,026
LnUCAP	- 2,342	- 3,9591	- 3,0810	- 2,6813	0,172
LnInv_Pub_infra	- 1,169	- 3,9591	- 3,0810	- 2,6813	0,658
LnInv_Pub_ninfra	- 0,771	- 3,9591	- 3,0810	- 2,6813	0,797
LnI	- 0,684	- 3,9591	- 3,0810	- 2,6813	0,588
R	- 1,842	- 3,9591	- 3,0810	- 2,6813	0,347
LnP_rel_bens_k	- 1,206	- 3,9591	- 3,0810	- 2,6813	0,642
LnIGP-DI	- 5,265	- 4,2000	- 3,1753	- 2,7289	0,002
LnEmp_BNDES	- 3,982	- 4,0044	- 3,0988	- 2,6904	0,010
LnT	- 2,062	- 4,0579	- 3,1199	- 2,7011	0,260
First difference variables					
DLnInv_Priv	- 1,874	- 4,0579	- 3,1199	- 2,7011	0,087
DLY	- 3,433	- 3,9591	- 3,0810	- 2,6813	0,004
DLnUCAP	- 2,342	- 3,9591	- 3,0810	- 2,6813	0,035
DLnInv_Pub_infra	- 1,169	- 3,9591	- 3,0810	- 2,6813	0,263
DLnInv_Pub_ninfra	- 0,771	- 3,9591	- 3,0810	- 2,6813	0,454
DLnI	- 0,631	- 3,9591	- 3,0810	- 2,6813	0,454
Dr	- 1,842	- 3,9591	- 3,0810	- 2,6813	0,088
DP_rel_bens_k	- 1,206	- 3,9591	- 3,0810	- 2,6813	0,249
DLnIGP-DI	- 5,265	- 4,2000	- 3,1753	- 2,7289	0,000
DLnEmp_BNDES	- 3,982	- 4,0044	- 3,0988	- 2,6904	0,001
DLnT	- 2,062	- 4,0579	- 3,1199	- 2,7011	0,069

Source: Elaborated by the authors

For the unit root tests of the selected variables in first difference we observed that the results repeat themselves, as they do not reject the possibility of the existence of a unit root in all of the cases at a level of 1%, the only rejection occurred in the DLnIGP-DI variable. In other words, there are no statistical evidences that the variables are I(0).

4.2 Final functional form for annual data related to 1996-2011

The Table 4 bellow shows a summary of the pre-candidate variables used to explain private investments in Brazil, in annual series from 1996 onwards, and the expected signals for the relationship between each one of them and private investments.

Contrary to the study performed by [13], this analysis opted for including the variables that presented low significance in the final model. The model presented low significance for the variable that assesses uncertainties (LnIGP-DI), which was also confirmed by the stationarity tests, and also for the total tax burden variable (LnT).

Furthermore, our analysis specified a dynamic model, including the lag in the private investment variable (DLogInv_Priv(-1)), because by using contemporaneous variables the model would present problems with the auto-correlation of residues. The first lag of the private investment variable is commonly used in several studies, due to the fact that some investments cannot be completed in only one year, which explains the use of this variable to assess the inertia effect on investments.

In the first equation estimated we inserted a control variable for times of political instability, represented by a dummy (D1), which assumes unitary values for the years of 1997 (Asian Crises), 1998 (Russian Crises), 1999 (Argentinean Crises and the Brazilian Currency Devaluation) and 2008 (World Financial Crises).

Overall the model presented a satisfactory explanatory rate ($R^2 = 0.95$), which is a result coherent with the majority of the studies shown in Table 1. One can also observe the importance of the irreversibility of the investment, reflected in the coefficient of the first lag of private investment, which was positive and significant, indicating that current investments depend on their past values.

This evidence indicates the existence of lags in the decision making process and in the implementation of private investments, and

suggests that current investments not only reflect partial adjustments of current capital to desired levels, but also tend to happen in an accumulated manner or clustered in time (lumpiness).

The signs found for the estimated coefficients were positive, statistically significant and are in accordance with the economic theory, which indicates income increase (LnY) and increase in economic activity (LnUCAP), encouraging and increasing private and innovation investments in the country. In the case of the utilization of industrial capacity (LnUCAP) we observed the extremely pro-cyclic characteristic of the Brazilian economy, with a high and positive coefficient (2.86).

This result is compatible with the majority of the existing empirical studies concerning the determinants of investments in Brazil and in other developing countries, where the variables used to assess the conditions of demand were also significant and relevant in the estimated models.

The results show empirical evidence of the crowding-in effect on public investments in infrastructure (LnInv_Pub_infra) over private investments, a positive sign. This means that a stimulus of 1% in public investments for infrastructure will result in a 0.113% increase in private investments.

As for non-infrastructure public investments (LnInv_Pub_ninfra) the sign obtained is also correct (negative), which suggests that the impact of the crowding-out effect dislocates private investments. This means that a stimulus of 1% in non-infrastructure public investments will result in a 0.0741% decrease in private investments.

Table 4. Private investment determinants

Ordinary Least Squares - Dependent Variables: Private Investment (1996-2011)

Explanatory Variables	Coefficients	Expected signal	Obtained signal
Constant	- 9.3598 (-6.0383) [0,0000]	Negative	Negative
DLogInv_Priv(-1)	0.4876 (3.76613) [0.0009]	Positive	Positive
LogY	0.510 (1.8263) [0.0697]	Positive	Positive
LogUCAP	2.866	Positive	Positive

	(9.7258)		
	[0.0000]		
LogInv_Pub_infra	0.113	Positive	Positive
	(7.3445)		
	[0.0000]		
LogInv_Pub_ninfra	-0.0741	Negative	Negative
	(-8.0360)		
	[0.0000]		
LogI	-0.0630	Negative	Negative
R	(-8.0360)		
	[0.0000]		
	[0.0527]		
LogP_rel_bens_k	-1.3593	Negative	Negative
	(-9.8211)		
	0.0000		
LogIGP-DI	-0.0474	Negative	Negative
	(0.0522)		
	[0.0000]		
LogEmp_BNES	0.1705	Positive	Positive
	(9.791057)		
	[0.0000]		
LogT	- 1.1800	Negative	Negative
	(0.008)		
	[0.0000]		
LogE	-0.09251	Negative	Negative
	(-2.19204)		
	[0.03720]		
Dummy 1	-6.45	Negative	Negative
	(-3.0061)		
	[0.9951]		
R ²	0.956458	Log Likelihood	338.5426
Adjusted R ²	0.953631	Statistic F	338.2824
DW	2.59	Prob(F)	0.0000

Source: Elaborated by the authors

Note: t statistics are between parentheses and p-values are between brackets.

However, the theory suggests that after the initial perverse effect of the competition for resources between private and non-infrastructure public investments, it is reasonable to suppose that these investments can also contribute (even if just a little, when compared to the infrastructural investments) to increase the productivity of private capital to be invested in the future (public investments in education, health, housing, innovation etc.).

In the case of the real interest rates variable (r) we observed that the coefficient is positive and non-significant in the estimated equation. Although the estimated coefficient signal goes against what was

theoretically expected, the coefficient is numerically very close to zero (and non-significant), which indicates that this proxy for capital use costs did not contribute to reduce private investments. This evidence was also found by [16] and [10], who also estimated equations using macro-economic data for the 1972-1996 and 1970-2005 timeframes, respectively.

Although capital cost is theoretically important for the determination of the investment, the difficulty to obtain significant coefficients with negative signs for this variable is widely spread in specialized literature. In the Brazilian case,

especially, cost capital coefficients so close to zero can be explained, on one hand, by the organizational tradition of not seeking external financing for the company, and on the other hand, by the volatility of the interest rates during periods with high inflation, which made interest rates a negligible reference for calculating the opportunity costs of investments.

Literature also indicates that if interest rates rise and if competition for limited resources increases this will result in the dominance of the crowding-out effect over the crowding-in effect. This can be partially explained by the progressive deterioration of the Brazilian's government capacity to invest in infrastructure and innovation effectively, because it is the type of public spending that presents the most evident complementarities with private inversions.

Results indicate that an increase in the offer of credit (LnEmp_BNES), by means of elevating credit operations aimed at the private sector, will increase private investment in the subsequent years, which confirms the hypothesis that Brazilian organizations face credit restrictions. The results obtained are consistent with the studies performed by [1], [21] and [15], which include financial variables in their empirical studies and indicate that credit availability is one of the relevant variables for private investments in developing countries.

The uncertainties caused by international crisis (assessed by the Dummy 1 "International Crisis" variable) were also relevant in the determination of investments in Brazil, and the negative coefficient obtained indicates that in times of international economic crisis private investments decrease. Thus, the implementation of responsible and consistent policies over the course of time is crucial to minimize economic uncertainties and to encourage private investments in the country.

We investigated the impact of external conditions on private investments in Brazil, using the External restriction variable (EE), having as a proxy the series Debts of Service/GDP (%). As for external conditions, we suggest that external debts of service did not affect private investments in a significant way during the analyzed timeframe. In fact, the effect of this variable was insignificant in the model and thus, was not included in the final model. One possible explanation for this result is the participation of the public sector in obtaining resources during periods of external crisis, acting as a guarantor for loans contracted by the private sector, and financing investments during periods of external restrictions, and even encouraging the improvement of conditions for external financing.

Finally, the estimated coefficient for exchange rates (LnE) was significant and presented a negative sign, indicating that increased (or devalued) exchange rates do not encourage imports of capital goods, and consequently reduces economic investments. This result is confirmed by Ribeiro and Teixeira (2001), who obtained results indicating that the first difference of exchange rates has a significant and negative effect over private investments in Brazil.

This session analyzes the long term scenarios for the Brazilian economy using the Monte Carlo Simulation method for 2011-2017 period. Table 5 summarizes such results in which the method evaluates the variable behavior as well the probability to happen the event, according to a 95% confidence interval. The results have shown that the variable credit has a maximum possible value of R\$ 61 billions with a risk of R\$ 510,000.00. The minimum possible value is R\$ 20 billions with a risk of R\$ 25,000.00. The analysis comprehends the rest of the variables.

Table 5. Monte Carlo Simulation (2012 – 2017)

Variables	Max	Risk	Min	Risk
Credit (R\$ Billions)	61,622.47	0.51	20,161.70	0.3
IGP-DI	3.19	0.29	0.31	0.25
Private Investments (R\$ Billions)	212,977.04	0.41	135,191.27	0.4
Public Investments in Infrastructure (R\$ Billions)	57,192.54	0.33	17,985.20	0.28
Relative prices	1.06	0.25	0.38	0.31

of capital goods				
GDP (R\$Trillions)	3,548704.97	0.33	1,378306.27	0.63
Real Interest Rate	33.51	0.92	9.29	0.74
UCAP	87.48	0.27	80.20	0.57
External Restriction	14.10	0.39	0	0
Real Exchange Rate	3.95	0.39	1.71	0.37

Source: Elaborated by the authors

Because of changes in economic scenarios, organizational services may need considerable transformations from product commerce to creation of new products to attend customer satisfaction needs. It seems that in the period of 2011-2017 many businesses will have to adapt to a SI more agile with innovation.

As so, there are considered the following aspects: (a) Changes in economic environment: various changes in financial regulation, fiscal, and social demands for lower interest rates have created a distinctive business environment if compared to previous decades. These variables are imposing a new place for the SI with optimization in given services and an operational long term strategy; (b) New business model: The organizations will need to formulate new financial policies given the market instability and increasing risk investment decision making; (c) Connectivity: Financial services will need to be more connected and integrated to financial institutions, with more economic information access, market data and its operational sectors; (d) Technology: New media mechanisms are proportioning changes in the consumer behavior and businesses. It is necessary an increase in research findings creating a rethinking about businesses structure and its performance.

5 Conclusion

This article proposed the elaboration of a econometric simulation model, focused on private investments connected to the real possibilities of economic growth for the coming years. The empirical evidence obtained in the tested models indicates that increases in income and economic activity encouraged private investments in Brazil. Besides credit, external factors and exchange

devaluations caused, in general, adverse effects on the gross formation of fixed capital in the private sector of Brazil. These results indicate the existence of credit restrictions for Brazilian organizations and also indicate the importance of macroeconomic stability and the execution of public policies as an encouraging factor for private investment.

As a result of these analysis, it is essential that data surveys be conducted to simulate the impacts of macroeconomic variables on private investments, by regions and by productive sectors in Brazil, using the Monte Carlo simulation models, in an attempt to obtain long term estimates. And finally, we hope that this article encourages new studies, with strategic biases and long term vision of SI, as well as sector analysis, in order to propose innovation strategies for the financial sector.

References:

- [1] Blejer, M., Khan, M., Government policy and private investment in developing countries. *IMF Staff Papers*, 31 (2), 1984, pp. 379-403.
- [2] Bort, R., *Corporate cash management handbook*. New York: Warren Gorham and Lamont RIA Group, 2004.
- [3] Cardoso, E., Private investment in Latin America. *Journal of Political Economy*, 12 (4), 1992, pp. 35 - 48.
- [4] Dickey, D., Fuller, W.A., Likelihood ratio statistics for autoregressive time series with a unit root. *Econometrica* 49, 1981, pp. 1057-1072.
- [5] Garcia, E., Neo-keynesian models in planning and macroeconomic policies: the experience of ILPES. *Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC)*. Santiago do Chile, 1987.

- [6] Hayashi, F., *Econometrics*. New Jersey: Princeton University Press, 2000.
- [7] Iturralde, T., Maseda, A., San José, L., The cash management routines: evidence from Spain case. *Frontiers in Finance and Economics*, 2008.
- [8] Lenderman, D., Menéndez, A.M., Perry, G., Stiglitz, J., The cover of Mexican investment after the tequila crisis: basic economics or confidence effects? *The World Bank*. Available at <http://www.lacea.org/meeting2000/DanielLenderman.pdf>, 2000.
- [9] López, F.J., *Manual de cash management. Cómo obtener beneficios manejando mejor su dinero*. Bilbao: Deusto.
- [10] Luporini, V., Alves, J., Private investment: an empirical analysis for Brazil. *Economy and Society* 19, 2010, pp. 449-475.
- [11] Melo, G.M., Rodrigues Junior, W., Determinantes do Investimento Privado no Brasil: 1970-1995. Texto para Discussão nº 605: IPEA – Instituto de Pesquisa Econômica Aplicada. 1-33. Available at http://www.ipea.gov.br/pub/td/td/td_605.pdf, 1998.
- [12] Fernandez, A., The new technologies: a finance management tool. *Atualidade Financeira* 10, 2001, pp. 35-51.
- [13] Ferreira, J.M.G., Evolução dos Investimentos no Brasil: Uma Análise econométrica: Porque não houve recuperação das taxas de investimento no país após estabilização da inflação em 1994? In *Dissertação de mestrado*. FGV.EESP, 2005.
- [14] Parker, M., *Organizational Culture and Identity*. London: Sage, 2000.
- [15] Ribeiro, M.B., Teixeira, J.R., An econometric analysis of private-sector investment in Brazil. *Cepal Review* 74, 2001, pp. 153-166.
- [16] Reis, E., Cavalcanti, M.A., Castro, A.R., Rossi, Jr. J.L., Emerson, R.A., Hernandez, B.M., 1999. Model for projections and simulations of the Brazilian economy. Brasília: Ipea, (*Working papers*, n. 619).
- [17] Rocha, C.H., Teixeira, J.R., Complementaridade versus Substituição entre Investimento Público e Privado na Economia Brasileira: 1965-1990. *Revista Brasileira de Economia* 50(3), 1996, pp. 378-384.
- [18] Rossiter, R., Structural cointegration analysis of private and public investment. *International Journal of Business and Economics* 1, 2002, pp. 59-67.
- [19] Santos, C. H., Pires, M.C.C., Reestimativas do investimento privado Brasileiro i): qual a sensibilidade do Investimento privado “referência 1985” a aumentos na carga tributária? *Texto para discussão* no 1297. Ipea, Brasília, 2007.
- [20] Serven, L., Real Exchange Rate Uncertainty and Private Investment in Developing Countries. *The World Bank*. Available at <http://ideas.repec.org/p/wbk/wbrwps/2823.html>, 2002.
- [21] Studart, G., Investimento público e formação de capital do setor privado no Brasil: análise empírica da relação de curto e de longo prazos durante o período 1972-1989. *Dissertação Mestrado*–PUC, Rio de Janeiro, 1992.