

On existence of solutions for nonconvex optimal control problems

CLARA CARLOTA

Cima-ue

rua Romão Ramalho 59, P-7000-671 Évora PORTUGAL

ccarlota@uevora.pt

SÍLVIA CHÁ

Cima-ue

rua Romão Ramalho 59, P-7000-671 Évora PORTUGAL

silviaaccha@gmail.com

Abstract: We present new sufficient conditions for existence of solutions to some nonconvex and noncoercive Lagrange optimal control problems.

Key-Words: Optimal control problems, calculus of variations, nonconvex integrals

1 Introduction

Consider the nonconvex Lagrange optimal control problem (\mathcal{OCP}) which consists in minimizing the integral functional

$$J(x, u) := \int_a^b f_0(x(t), u(t)) dt$$

over all pairs $(x(\cdot), u(\cdot))$, with $x(\cdot) \in W^{1,1}([a, b], \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $u : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ measurable, satisfying

$$x(t) \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \quad \forall t \in [a, b]$$

$$x(a) = A, \quad x(b) = B$$

$$u(t) \in U(x(t)) \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in [a, b]$$

$$x'(t) = f(x(t), u(t)) \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in [a, b],$$

where

$$U : \Omega \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{R}^m} \setminus \emptyset$$

$$f_0 : \text{graph}(U) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$$

$$f : \text{graph}(coU) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Under adequate hypotheses – which we will state below – our strategy to prove existence of solutions to (\mathcal{OCP}) consists in the following steps:

Step 1. Take a solution $(\bar{x}_c(\cdot), \bar{u}_c(\cdot))$ for the associated convexified problem (\mathcal{OCP}_c):

minimize

$$J_c(x, u) := \int_a^b f_0^{**}(x(t), u(t)) dt$$

where $f_0^{**}(s, \cdot)$ is the bipolar of $f_0(s, \cdot)$, defined by $\text{epi } f_0^{**}(s, \cdot) = \overline{\text{co}} \text{epi } f_0(s, \cdot)$ (namely: the epigraph of $f_0^{**}(s, \cdot)$ is the

closed convex hull of the epigraph of $f_0(s, \cdot)$), over all pairs $(x(\cdot), u(\cdot))$, with $x(\cdot) \in W^{1,1}([a, b], \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $u : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ measurable, satisfying

$$x(t) \in \Omega \quad \forall t \in [a, b]$$

$$x(a) = A, \quad x(b) = B$$

$$u(t) \in coU(x(t)) \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in [a, b]$$

$$x'(t) = f(x(t), u(t)) \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in [a, b].$$

Step 2. Reformulate (\mathcal{OCP}_c) as an adequate Lagrange problem of the calculus of variations (\mathcal{CVP}_c):

minimize

$$I_c(x) := \int_a^b L^{**}(x(t), x'(t)) dt$$

on

$$\mathcal{X}_{A,B}^n := \left\{ \begin{array}{l} x(\cdot) \in W^{1,1}([a, b], \mathbb{R}^n) : \\ x(a) = A, \quad x(b) = B \end{array} \right\},$$

for which $\bar{x}_c(\cdot)$ is a solution.

Step 3. Prove existence of a solution $\bar{x}(\cdot)$ for the nonconvex problem (\mathcal{CVP}):

minimize

$$I(x) := \int_a^b L(x(t), x'(t)) dt$$

on $\mathcal{X}_{A,B}^n$.

Step 4. Use such $\bar{x}(\cdot)$ to obtain a solution $(\bar{x}(\cdot), \bar{u}(\cdot))$ for the nonconvex problem (\mathcal{OCP}).

In this paper we present new hypotheses under which – through the above-stated strategy – we can prove existence of solutions to our nonconvex optimal control problem (OCP).

To begin with we describe our recent result of existence of minimizers to the integral $I(\cdot)$. This will be a crucial tool to prove the main result of this paper.

2 The Lagrange problem of the calculus of variations

Let $L : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$. We say that $L(s, \cdot)$ is almost convex provided

$$\begin{aligned} & \forall \xi \text{ where } L^{**}(s, \xi) < L(s, \xi), \\ & \exists \lambda = \lambda(s, \xi) \in [0, 1] \quad \exists \Lambda = \Lambda(s, \xi) \in [1, \infty) \\ & \exists \alpha = \alpha(s, \xi) \in [0, 1] : \\ & L^{**}(s, \xi) = (1 - \alpha) L(s, \lambda \xi) + \alpha L(s, \Lambda \xi) \\ & \xi = (1 - \alpha) (\lambda \xi) + \alpha (\Lambda \xi) \end{aligned}$$

(we set $\lambda = 1 = \Lambda = \alpha$ where $L^{**}(s, \xi) = L(s, \xi)$ and use $0 \cdot \infty := 0$).

Remark 1 If $L(s, \cdot)$ is almost convex, then

- (i) $L^{**}(s, 0) = L(s, 0)$
- (ii) $L^{**}(s, \lambda \xi) = L(s, \lambda \xi)$ &
 $L^{**}(s, \Lambda \xi) = L(s, \Lambda \xi) \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$
- (iii) $L^{**}(s, \cdot)$ restricted to the segment $[\lambda \xi, \Lambda \xi]$ is affine.

Remark 2 The concept of almost convexity was introduced by A. Cellina & A. Ornelas in the paper [3], for sets, to prove existence of solutions to nonconvex upper semicontinuous differential inclusions and time optimal control problems. For functions, it was introduced in [2] to prove results of existence of solutions to nonconvex problems of the calculus of variations.

Proposition 3 (See [1].) Let $L : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be a Borel function bounded from below, having $L^{**}(\cdot, \cdot)$ Borel and $L(s, \cdot)$ almost convex lower semicontinuous (lsc) for each s .

Assume also the following extra hypothesis (EH):

there exists a minimizer $\bar{x}_c(\cdot)$ of $I_c(\cdot)$ for which either the set

$$E_0 := \{t \in [a, b] : \lambda(\bar{x}_c(t), \bar{x}'_c(t)) = 0\}$$

has zero measure

or else:

$\overline{E_0} \setminus E_0$ is a null set (with $\overline{E_0}$ the closure of E_0) and

$\exists c \in [a, b]$ such that

$$L(\bar{x}(c), 0) \leq L(\bar{x}(t), 0) \quad \forall t \in [a, b].$$

Then there exists a minimizer $\bar{x}(\cdot)$ to the nonconvex integral $I(\cdot)$.

3 Main result

Assume that:

(H1) $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is closed

(H2) $U : \Omega \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{R}^m} \setminus \emptyset$ is such that

$$(H2.1) \quad \text{graph}(U) := \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (s, u) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m : \\ s \in \Omega, u \in U(s) \end{array} \right\}$$

is closed

(H2.2) $\text{graph}(coU)$ is closed

(H3) $f_0 : \text{graph}(U) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is lsc

(H4) $f_0^{**} : \text{graph}(coU) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is lsc

(H5) $f : \text{graph}(coU) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, $f(s, u) = A_0(s) + B_0(s)u$,

where, for every $s \in \Omega$,

$A_0(s)$ is a $n \times 1$ matrix

$B_0(s)$ is a $n \times m$ matrix

$A_0(\cdot)_{i1}, B_0(\cdot)_{ij} : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous
 $(i = 1, \dots, n; j = 1, \dots, m)$

(H6) for every $s \in \Omega$, the sets

$$f(s, coU(s))$$

$$\{(f(s, u), f_0(s, u) + v) : u \in U(s), v \geq 0\}$$

$$\{(f(s, u), f_0(s, u) + v) : u \in coU(s), v \geq 0\}$$

are closed

(H7) $\exists (\bar{x}_c(\cdot), \bar{u}_c(\cdot))$ solution for (\mathcal{OCP}_c) and $I_c(\bar{x}_c, \bar{u}_c) < \infty$.

Moreover, defining for every $s \in \Omega$,

$$R(s, \gamma v) := \left\{ \begin{array}{l} u \in U(s) : \\ B_0(s)(\gamma v - u) = (1 - \gamma)A_0(s) \end{array} \right\} \\ \forall v \in U(s), \forall \gamma \geq 0,$$

$$R_0(s, v_0) := \left\{ \begin{array}{l} u \in coU(s) : \\ B_0(s)(v_0 - u) = 0 \end{array} \right\} \\ \forall v_0 \in coU(s),$$

suppose

(H8)

$$\begin{aligned} &\forall u_0 \in coU(s) \text{ with} \\ &\inf f_0^{**}(s, R_0(s, u_0)) < \inf f_0(s, R(s, u_0)) \\ &\exists \lambda = \lambda(s, u_0) \in [0, 1] \\ &\exists \Lambda = \Lambda(s, u_0) \in [1, +\infty) \text{ for which} \\ &\inf f_0^{**}(s, R_0(s, u_0)) = \\ &= (1 - \alpha) \inf f_0(s, R(s, \lambda u_0)) + \\ &+ \alpha \inf f_0(s, R(s, \Lambda u_0)) \end{aligned}$$

with $\alpha := \frac{1-\lambda}{\Lambda-\lambda}$ and $0 \cdot \infty =: 0$; if

$$\inf f_0^{**}(s, R_0(s, u_0)) = \inf f_0(s, R(s, u_0)),$$

set $\alpha = 1 = \lambda = \Lambda$.

Finally,

(H9) in case the set

$$D_0 := \{t \in [a, b] : \lambda(\bar{x}_c(t), \bar{u}_c(t)) = 0\}$$

has positive measure, assume

$$\overline{D_0} \setminus D_0 \text{ is a null set}$$

and $\exists c \in [a, b]$ for which we can find some $u_c \in U(\bar{x}(c))$ satisfying :

$$\begin{aligned} &A_0(\bar{x}(c)) + B_0(\bar{x}(c))u_c = 0 \\ &f_0(\bar{x}(c), u_c) \leq \\ &\leq \inf \left\{ \begin{array}{l} f_0(\bar{x}(t), u) : \\ u \in U(\bar{x}(t)) \ \& \\ A_0(\bar{x}(t)) + B_0(\bar{x}(t))u = 0 \end{array} \right\} \\ &\forall t \in [a, b]. \end{aligned}$$

Then our main result is

Theorem 4 Under (H1) – (H9) problem (\mathcal{OCP}) has a solution.

Proof: Let $L : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be the function defined by

$$L(s, \xi) := \inf \{f_0(s, u) : u \in H(s, \xi)\},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} H(s, \xi) &:= \{u \in U(s) : \xi = f(s, u)\} \\ &= (f(s, \cdot))^{-1}(\xi) \cap U(s). \end{aligned}$$

Hypotheses (H1) to (H6) guarantee that $L(\cdot)$ is a well-defined Borel function having $L^{**}(\cdot)$ Borel and $L(s, \cdot)$ lsc $\forall s$; $L(s, \cdot)$ is also almost convex $\forall s$, by (H8).

On the other hand, $\bar{x}_c(\cdot)$ is a minimizer of $I_c(\cdot)$ for which, due to assumption (H9), the extra hypothesis (EH) is satisfied.

Therefore, by proposition 3, there exists a minimizer $\bar{x}(\cdot)$ for (\mathcal{CVP}) . It follows that there also exists a measurable $\bar{u} : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ such that $\bar{x}'(t) = f(\bar{x}(t), \bar{u}(t))$ for a.e. $t \in [a, b]$ and $(\bar{x}(\cdot), \bar{u}(\cdot))$ is a solution for the nonconvex problem (\mathcal{OCP}) . \square

Acknowledgements: We wish to thank Professor António Ornelas for his helpful comments.

Este trabalho é financiado por Fundos Nacionais através da FCT - Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia no âmbito do projecto “PEst-OE/MAT/UI0117/2014”.

References:

- [1] C. Carlota and S. Chá, A new result on existence of minimizers for almost convex integrals, submitted for publication
- [2] C. Carlota and A. Ornelas, Existence of vector minimizers for nonconvex 1-dim integrals with almost convex Lagrangian, *J. Diff. Eqs.* 243, 2007, pp. 414–426.
- [3] A. Cellina and A. Ornelas, Existence of solutions to differential inclusions and to time optimal control problems in the autonomous case, *SIAM J. Control Optim.* 42, 2003, pp. 260–265.