Chemical Resistance of Polymers Modified by Beta Radiation

ZDENEK HOLIK, MICHAL DANEK*, MIROSLAV MANAS, JAKUB CERNY, MARTINA MALOCHOVA
Department of Production Engineering
Tomas Bata University in Zlín
nám. T.G.Masaryka 5555, 760 01 Zlín
CZECH REPUBLIC
holik@ft.utb.cz http://www.holik.webnode.cz *danek@bgs.eu

Abstract: The topic of this research paper is a comparison of influence of chemical and petrochemical products on the mechanical properties of the selected types of polymers modified by irradiation cross-linking. After irradiation by beta radiation the materials were load into the chemicals. For the evaluation of the mechanical properties of irradiated and non-irradiated test specimens the tensile test was used.

Key-Words: Irradiation Cross-linking, Beta Radiation, Chemical Resistance, Polymers Modification

1 Introduction

Having marked present according to the most characteristic material, which has served the mankind, it certainly would be called time of polymers. Due to specific features, processing and application polymeric materials have gradually replaced the most widely used materials such as wood and metal. However, demands on their properties increase with their increasing applications.

Resistance to the effects of environmental degradation is an important property of plastic materials. Resistance to the effects of chemical products is an important factor that has a particular impact on their applicability in various industries. They cannot be applied properly and thus their maximal lifespan cannot be guaranteed unless their resistance is known. If the materials resistance to the effects of the environment increases, the products lifespan and thus material and financial savings also increase.

2 *P*roblem Formulation

The aim of this work is to find out the impact of the chemical agents on the mechanical properties of the specified radiation cross-linked polymers.

One of the ways how to increase resistance of plastics is the method of radiation cross-linking by beta or gamma radiation ionization. It results into improvement of mechanical, chemical and other properties of materials at affordable price.

As the trend of plastic components development is determined by the automotive industry, two types of liquids used in the industry are chosen: Ethanol testing fluid, and bio diesel. The tested materials are the following plastics: PBT and PA 66 filled with 30% of glass fibers.

In the next step the pieces will be sent BGS company to be radiated to the desired degree of cross-linking. Furthermore, Robert Bosh company will provide soaking of the specimens into chemicals under the given conditions. Subsequently, the specimens will be subjected to mechanical tests. Finally, comparison and results evaluation will be done.

2.1 Test specimens preparation

The materials of the test specimens were:

Polyamide 6.6 Frianyl A63 VNGV30
PBT PTS-CREATEC-B3HZC* M800/25 natur

The test specimens were prepared on the injection moulding machine (ARBURG ALLROUNDER 420 C 1000-350).

Processing conditions during the injection moulding were set according to the recommendation of the producers.

2.2 Test specimens modification

All samples were irradiated with electron rays (electron energy 10MeV) in BGS Beta Gamma Service GmbH & Co, Saal am Donau – Germany.

Table 1 The values of irradiated doses

Materials	Dosis [kGy]
PBT	165
PA 6.6 (30% GF)	99

ISBN: 978-1-61804-004-6

2.3 Chemical soaking of tensile test specimens

Chemical soaking of the specimens was carried out by Robert Bosh, Ltd. company.

Two chemicals used in automotive industry were chosen: methanol testing liquid labelled FAM B and blended diesel oil Biodiesel B30

Test conditions:

Time of soaking: 96 hours

Temperature of the chemicals: 70°C

2.3.1 Methanol testing liquid FAM B

Methanol testing liquid FAM is applied for testing of polymeric materials and plastic components, which comes in intense contact with motor fuels, used in the automotive and petrochemical industries. This liquid is not currently any commercially available fuel and is suitable only for testing purposes. FAM testing liquid is divided into FAM A, FAM B and C types. The FAM B liquid composes mostly of FAM A liquid and methanol. The composition can be seen in Table 2

Table 2 Content of testing chemical FAM B

FAM B			Content
➢ **FAM A**			84,5 %
•	pure toluene	50 %	
•	isooctane	30 %	
•	di-isobutylen	15 %	
•	ethanol reagent	5 %	
➢ **methanol**			15 %
➢ **deionised water**			0,5 %

2.3.2 Blended diesel oil Biodiesel B30

Biodiesel (its trade name is Naturdiesel) is an environmentally friendly fuel based on methyl esters FAME (unsaturated fatty acids of vegetable origin). It is produced by a refining process from any vegetable oil. Compared to conventional diesel fuel Biodiesel reduces emissions and is biodegradable. The main advantage is its production from renewable sources. Biodiesel is a stronger solvent than conventional diesel, thus causing greater destruction and deposition in the fuel system. The composition of blended diesel fuel can be seen in Table 3.

Table 2 Content of testing chemical B30

B30	Content
➢ **fossil diesel**	69 %
➢ **rapeseed oil methyl ester**	31 %

2.4 Testing instruments

The following tests were carried out and equipment used:

Tensile test, according to standard CSN EN ISO 527-1, 527-2 was carried out on tensile machine ZWICK 1456. The test was carried out at the room and at the evaluated temperature (80°C). Test data was processed by Test Xpert Standard software and modulus (E [MPa]), tensile strength (σt [MPa]) were determined.

3 Problem Solution

3.1 Results of polyamide 6.6

As you can see in the Figure 1, the value of E-modulus of PA6.6 which has not been soaked (at room temperature) is higher about 20% after irradiation. The value of E-modulus declines rapidly after chemical soaking in FAM B. In the case of irradiated test specimens the value of E-modulus is higher about 14% than non-irradiated samples. But this value is still lower than the results of E-modulus of specimens which has not been soaked.

E-modulus of test specimens soaked in B30 is about 15% lower than in case of non-soaked test specimens. In the case of irradiated test specimens the value of E-modulus is higher of about 8% than non-irradiated samples.

The similar results are in case of tensile strength (Figure 2). All results are higher after irradiation that non-irradiated, but in comparison with samples without soaking the value of tensile strength is still lower.



Fig.1 Result of E-modulus of irradiated and non-irradiated PA6.6 GV30 at 23°C in dependence of chemical soaking



Fig.2 Result of tensile strength of irradiated and non-irradiated PA6.6 GV30 at 23°C in dependence of chemical soaking

If we look at the evaluated temperature, the situation analogous is here. On the other hand, the results of test specimens soaked in B30 show higher value of E-modulus than non-irradiated and non-soaked test specimens. The improvement is about 33% (Figure 3).



Fig.3 Result of E-modulus of irradiated and non-irradiated PA6.6 GV30 at 80°C in dependence of chemical soaking



Fig.4 Result of tensile strength of irradiated and non-irradiated PA6.6 GV30 at 80°C in dependence of chemical soaking

3.1 Results of PBT

As you can see in the Figure 5, the value of E-modulus of non-soaked PBT (at room temperature) is higher about 55% after irradiation. After chemical soaking in FAM B the value of E-modulus declines rapidly. In the case of irradiated test specimens the value of E-modulus is higher of about 63% than non-irradiated samples. However, this value is still lower than the results of E-modulus of specimens which has not been soaked.

E-modulus of irradiated test specimens soaked in B30 is higher of about 55% than in case of non soaked and non-irradiated test specimens.

Also the results of tensile strength of PBT show certain improvement (Figure 6).



Fig.5 Result of E-modulus of irradiated and non-irradiated PBT at 23°C in dependence of chemical soaking

If we look at the evaluated temperature, we got the best results here. E-modulus of irradiated test specimens soaked in B30 is higher of about 65% than in case of non-soaked and non-irradiated test specimens. (Figure 7).

ISBN: 978-1-61804-004-6



Fig.6 Result of tensile strength of irradiated and non-irradiated PBT at 23°C in dependence of chemical soaking

Also the results of tensile strength of PBT show an improvement of about 33% - in case of test specimens irradiated and soaked in B30 in comparison with non-soaked and non-irradiated test specimens.(Figure 8).



Fig.7 Result of E-modulus of irradiated and non-irradiated PBT at 80°C in dependence of chemical soaking



Fig.8 Result of tensile strength of irradiated and non-irradiated PBT at 80°C in dependence of chemical soaking

If you look at the tables bellow, you can see the results of improvement of E-modulus and tensile strength (in the brackets) of each material after irradiation cross-linking in comparison with non-irradiated test specimens.

If we look only at the materials which have been soaked in chemicals – the best improvement is in case of irradiated PBT in B30 at evaluated temperature (+58%).

Table 3 Results of improvement E-modulus and tensile strength after irradiation at room temperature

T = 23°C	Unloaded	FAM B	Biodiesel B30
PA 6.6	+20 % (+16)	+12 % (+10)	+9 % (+9)
PBT	56% (+36)	+57 % (+8)	+29 % (+16)

Table 4 Results of improvement E-modulus and tensile strength after irradiation at evaluated temperature

T = 80°C	Unloaded	FAM B	Biodiesel B30
PA 6.6	+16 % (+16)	+20% (+12)	+14 % (+6)
PBT	+125 % (+41)	+27 % (+32)	+58 % (+27)

4 Conclusion

In all cases the exposure resulted in the highest increase of the mechanical properties of PBT, which resisted to the tested chemicals.

Concerning the increase of resistance to chemicals, the type of used chemicals, temperature and demands of the application in which the product is used play an important role.

Based on the results, radiation cross-linking can be recommended. However, it is necessary to always carry out specific tests in real conditions.

5 Acknowledgement

"This article is financially supported by the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports of the Czech Republic under the Research Plan No. MSM 7088352102 and by the European Regional Development Fund under the project CEBIA-Tech No. CZ.1.05/2.1.00/03.0089.“

References:

[1] Vratislav Ducháček, *Polymery - výroba, vlastnosti, zpracování, použití*, Praha, VŠCHT, 2006

[2] Vishu Shah, editor: *Plastics testing and failure analzsis*, USA, Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data, 2007

[3] Myer Kutz, editor: *Handbook of materials selection*, USA, Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data, 2001

[4] Dr. Gi-Dae Choi, *Engineering plastics handbook*, Daejeon, Korea, 2006

[5] Josef Mleziva, *Polymery – výroba, struktura, vlastnosti a použití*, Praha, Sobotáles, 1993

ISBN: 978-1-61804-004-6