A Genetic-Algorithm Based Approach For Generating Fuzzy Singleton Models
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Abstract: Methods for generating fuzzy singleton models from input-output data have been proposed by many authors. This paper introduces a genetic algorithm (GA) based method to generate a fuzzy singleton model taking into account all the necessary constraints to guarantee an analytically inverted representation of the process dynamics which may be used as a fuzzy controller in Internal Model Control (IMC) strategy. A major advantage of this sort of models is its high interpretability compared to first-order Takagi-Sugeno fuzzy models generated from fuzzy clustering techniques [15]. The proposed method is applied to a liquid level control problem in an oil production separator based upon real input-output data, where obtaining an adequate fuzzy model is of crucial importance.
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1 Introduction

Inverse fuzzy model based control has been widely used in many applications and its succesful implemen-tation depends on the exactness of the fuzzy model obtained from input - output data [1][2][3][4]. As a matter of fact, the simplest way to control a process using a fuzzy model is to invert it and use it as a controller but it is imperative to have an ideal model in order to guarantee a perfect control, which is very difficult to achieve because an exact inversion of the process can only be found in special situations and the model is never identical to the process, resulting in model-plant mismatches.

In general, the output of a singleton fuzzy model is linear in the consequent parameters. This fact allows a straightforward application of standard recursive least-squares algorithms for estimating the consequent parameters from data. In this sense, a formal methodology was proposed in [5] based on least squared techniques. Fuzzy singleton models obtained from this methodology have a good generalization characteristic and acceptable exactness while keeping a high interpretability.

In order to enhance the exactness of this sort of models which facilitates calculating its inverse, the use of genetic algorithms (GA) is proposed in this work. Genetic algorithms are randomized search algorithms that are based on the mechanics of natural selection and genetics [6][7]. They combine the principles of natural selection based on the survival of the fittest with a randomized information exchange in order to form a search and optimization algorithm. A significant number of papers have proposed different methods to build a fuzzy rule base using GA [8][9][10][11].

Although genetic algorithms can be used for a variety of purposes, their most important application is in the field of optimization, because of their ability to seek efficiently e in large no convex search spaces, which makes them more suitable with respect to more conventional optimization techniques. In fact in many engineering fields, optimization is the basic concept behind the application of genetic algorithms (GAs) or any other evolutionary algorithm.

This paper is organized as follows. Problem formulation is stated in section 2, where all the constraints of fuzzy singleton models that guarantees its exact inversion are described. The generation of the singleton fuzzy model using GA will be presented in section 3. In section 4, the fuzzy singleton model and its inverse are applied in Internal Model Control strategy. Finally, conclusions are given in section 5.
Figure 1: Antecedents and Consequents of the fuzzy singleton model

2 Problem Formulation

It is important to know the characteristics of the fuzzy singleton model. A general fuzzy singleton model has the following form:

\[
\text{If } x(\tau) \text{ is } A \text{ and } u(\tau) \text{ is } U \text{ Entonces } y(\tau + 1) = c
\]  

(1)

Following the procedure in [13], let \( N \) denote the number of different fuzzy sets \( A_i \) defined for the state \( x(\tau) \) and \( M \) the number of different fuzzy sets \( U_j \) defined for the input \( u(\tau) \). If the rule base consists of all possible combinations of \( A_i \) and \( U_j \) then the rule base is complete and the total number of rules is \( K = N \times M \). The entire rule base may be represented as a table which is showed in Fig 1.

The logical connective \( \text{and} \) is assumed to be represented by the product t-norm operator. This is a necessary condition to perform the inversion and the degree of fulfillment of the rule antecedent \( \beta_{ij}(\tau) \) is calculated as:

\[
\beta_{ij}(\tau) = \mu_{A_i}(x(\tau)) \cdot \mu_{U_j}(u(\tau))
\]  

(2)

Where \( \mu_{A_i}(x(\tau)) \) is the membership degree of a particular state \( x(\tau) \) in the fuzzy set \( A_i \) and \( \mu_{U_j}(u(\tau)) \) is the membership degree of an input \( u(\tau) \) in the fuzzy set \( U_j \). The predicted output \( \hat{y}(\tau + 1) \) of the model is computed by the fuzzy-mean defuzzification, where an average of the consequents \( c_{ij} \) is weighted by the degrees of fulfillment \( \beta_{ij} \), so that:

\[
\hat{y}(\tau + 1) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{M} \beta_{ij}(\tau)c_{ij}}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{M} \beta_{ij}}
\]  

(3)

The rule base of equation (3) corresponds to a nonlinear regression model expressed as:

\[
\hat{y}(\tau + 1) = f(x(\tau), u(\tau))
\]  

(4)

This tecnique was proposed in [12]. Equation (4) can be reduced to the univariate mapping \( \hat{y}(\tau + 1) = f_x(u(\tau)) \) by making use of the model structure. The subscript \( x \) denotes that \( f_x \) is obtained for the particular state \( x(\tau) \). If the model is invertible, the inverse mapping \( u(\tau) = f_{\hat{y}}^{-1}(r(\tau + 1)) \) can be obtained. The concept of invertibility and the respective conditions for the fuzzy model are related to the monotonicity of the model’s input-output mapping. A fuzzy model \( f \) given by the rule base from equation (1) and the defuzzification method of equation (3) is invertible if \( \forall x \) and \( \forall y \), a unique \( u \) exists such that \( y = f(x, u) \). In terms of the parameters of the model, the monotonicity is translated into the following conditions:

\[
\text{card} (\text{core}(U_j)) = 1, \forall j = 1, \ldots, M, \text{and} \quad (6)
\]

\[
\text{core}(U_1) < \ldots < \text{core}(U_M) \rightarrow c_{i1} < c_{i2} < \ldots < c_{iM} \quad \text{or} \quad (7)
\]

\[
\text{core}(U_1) > \ldots > \text{core}(U_M) \rightarrow c_{i1} > c_{i2} > \ldots > c_{iM} \quad (7)
\]

With \( i = 1, \ldots, N \). Here, \( \text{card}(\cdot) \) denotes the cardinality of a set.

Theorem 1 Inversion of the singleton fuzzy model.

Let the process be represented by the singleton fuzzy model of equation (1) with the weighted-mean defuzzification method from equation (3). Further, let the antecedent membership functions form a partition, i.e.,

\[
\text{card} \left( \text{core}(U_j) \right) = 1, \forall j = 1, \ldots, M, \text{and} \quad (6)
\]

\[
\text{core}(U_1) < \ldots < \text{core}(U_M) \rightarrow c_{i1} < c_{i2} < \ldots < c_{iM}, \text{or} \quad (7)
\]

\[
\text{core}(U_1) > \ldots > \text{core}(U_M) \rightarrow c_{i1} > c_{i2} > \ldots > c_{iM}, \quad (7)
\]

Figure 2: Fuzzy model and a controller based on the model inverse

Which is shown schematically in Fig 2-a., where, the model inputs are the current state \( x(\tau) \) and the current input \( u(\tau) \) and the output is the system’s predicted output at the next sampling instant \( \hat{y}(\tau + 1) \). Given the current system state \( x(\tau) \) and the desired system output reference at the next sampling time \( r(\tau + 1) \), in order to calculate the inverse of the model, it is necessary to find \( u(\tau) \), such that the system output \( y(\tau + 1) \) is as close as possible to the desired output \( r(\tau + 1) \). This can be achieved by inverting the plant model, as indicated in Fig 2-b., substituting the reference \( r(\tau + 1) \) for \( \hat{y}(\tau + 1) \) in the following static function:

\[
u(\tau) = f^{-1}(x(\tau), r(\tau + 1))\]  

(5)
let $\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mu_{A_i}(x) = 1$, $\forall x$, and $\sum_{j=1}^{M} \mu_{U_j}(u) = 1$, $\forall u$.

At a certain time $\tau$ the system is at the state $x(\tau)$, and the inverse of the singleton model is given by the fuzzy rules:

$$\text{If } r(\tau + 1) \text{ is } C_j(\tau) \text{ Then } u(\tau) = U_j$$

(8)

Where $C_j$ are fuzzy sets which form partitions as in Fig 3. The cores $c_j(\tau)$ of the fuzzy sets $C_j$ are given by:

$$c_j(\tau) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mu_{A_i}(x(\tau))c_{ij}, j = 1, \ldots M.$$  

(9)

The inference and defuzzification of the rules in equation (8) is accomplished by the fuzzy-mean method:

$$u(\tau) = \sum_{j=1}^{M} \mu_{C_j}(r(\tau + 1)) \cdot \text{core}(U_j)$$

(10)

3 Generation of a Fuzzy Singleton Model For An Oil Production Separator Using GA

In this section, based on a set of 750 input-output data set of an oil production separator, a genetic algorithm is applied to generate a fuzzy singleton model that can satisfy two important requirement: it fulfill the constraints established in equations (6) and (7), thus the inversion is guaranteed and the index of performance of the resultant fuzzy model must be less than 0.05 (measured by the root mean squared).

The use of genetic algorithm requires the determination of six fundamental issues: chromosomes representation, the fitness function, the genetic operators making up the reproduction function, the creation of the initial population, termination criteria and the evaluation function.

Chromose representation: For any GA, a chromosome representation is needed to describe each individual in the population of interest. Each individual or chromosome is made of a sequence of genes from a certain alphabet. An alphabet could consist of binary digits (0 and 1), floating point numbers, integers, symbols, matrices, etc. In Holland's original design, the alphabet was limited to binary digits. However, problem representation has been a subject of much investigation. One usefull representation of a chromosome for function optimization involves genes or variables from an alphabet of floating point numbers with values within the variables upper and lower bounds. Michalewicz in [14] has done extensive experimentation comparing real-valued and binary digits representations and shows that the real-value representation is an order of magnitude more efficient in terms of CPU time. In this paper, a floating-point (real-valued) representation chromosome is used as depicted in figure 4.

The structure of the chromosome is composed of twenty parameters: $a_1$ and $a_2$ are the cores of the membership functions $A_2$ and $A_3$ respectively (the cores of $A_1$ and $A_4$ are $y_{min}$ and $y_{max}$ which belongs to the minimum and maximum value of $y(\tau)$ taken from the set of data), $b_1$ and $b_2$ are the cores of the membership functions $U_2$ and $U_3$ respectively (the cores of $U_1$ and $U_4$ are $u_{min}$ and $u_{max}$ which belongs to the minimum and maximum value of $u(\tau)$ taken.
from the set of data), and sixteen fuzzy singleton consequents corresponding to the sixteen rules. Each parameter is a real value with decimal precision of three digits. Five conditions (Conditions two to five correspond to the monotonocity of the fuzzy model) have to be fulfilled:

- \( a_1 < a_2 \) and \( b_1 < b_2 \)
- \( c_{11} < c_{12} < c_{13} < c_{14} \)
- \( c_{21} < c_{22} < c_{23} < c_{24} \)
- \( c_{31} < c_{32} < c_{33} < c_{34} \)
- \( c_{41} < c_{42} < c_{43} < c_{44} \)

**Fitness evaluation:** The second task in the implementation of a GA is to specify the principles for the fitness evaluation. In the approach presented here, the objective function to be minimized is the root mean squared error (RMSE) given by the following equation:

\[
RMSE = \bar{J} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum (y_k - \hat{y}_k)^2}{N}} \tag{11}
\]

Where \( y_k \) is the real output and \( \hat{y}_k = \hat{y}(\tau + 1) \) is the output of the singleton fuzzy model. As the GA operators are designed to maximize the fitness function, the above minimization has to be transformed into a maximization one. However, at the same time the monotonocity conditions of the fuzzy model has to be satisfied. In order to do this, a function called \( f_m \) is included in equation (11) and the following transformation is considered:

\[
J = \frac{f_m}{J + 1} \cdot C_{cm} \tag{12}
\]

Where \( f_m \) is equal to 1 if the constraint established in equation (7) is true; otherwise \( f_m = 0 \). On the other hand, if \( C_{cm} = 1 \) then the firts condition established for the cores of the membership functions \( A_2, A_3, U_2 \) and \( U_3 \) is fulfilled; otherwise \( C_{cm} = 0 \).

**Genetic operator:** genetics operators are used to create new solutions based on existing solutions in the population. There are two basic operators: crossover and mutation. Crossover takes two individuals and produces two new individuals while mutation alters one individual to produce a single new solution. The application of these two basic operators and their derivatives depends on the chromosome representation used. Operators for floating-point representations, were developed by Michalewicz [14]. Some of these operators are: uniform mutation, non-uniform mutation, multi-non-uniforms mutation, boundary mutation, simple crossover, and heuristic crossover. In this particular work, we have used simple crossover and uniform mutation; the crossover rate was set to 0.95 and the mutation rate was set to 0.05. In order to choose the bests individuals in each generation a roulette wheel approach was adopted as the selection procedure; which belongs to the fitness-proportional selection and is able to select a new population with respect to the probability distribution based on fitness values.

**Initialization, termination and evaluation:** the GA must be provided with an initial population. The most common method is to randomly generate solutions for the entire population. The GA moves from generation to generation selecting and reproducing potential solutions until a termination criterion is met. The most frequently used stopping criterion is a specified maximum number of generations. In this case, we have defined a maximum number of generations equal to 500 or when \( J \) is greater or equal to 0.9524 which means that \( RMSE < 0.05 \).

The GA was applied using Matlab, specifically the Genetic Algorithms For Optimization Toolbox. The initial population was 16 fuzzy singleton models. In generation 257 \( J = 0.9578 \) and the optimized fuzzy singleton model obtained had the following parameters: \( a_1 = 2.446, a_2 = 3.278, b_1 = 41.660, b_2 = 70.82, c_{11} = 1.729, c_{12} = 1.801, c_{13} = 1.915, c_{14} = 2.100, c_{21} = 2.181, c_{22} = 2.423, c_{23} = 2.490, c_{24} = 2.619, c_{31} = 3.182, c_{32} = 3.231, c_{33} = 3.334, c_{34} = 3.431, c_{41} = 3.650, c_{42} = 3.921, c_{43} = 4.023 \), and \( c_{44} = 4.182 \). The model was validated using a new

![Figure 5: Output of the process vs Output of the optimal fuzzy singleton model](image)
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4 Inverse Fuzzy Control

In order to apply the internal model control (ICM) strategy four elements has to be determined. The process model and the controller (The fuzzy model and its inverse) may be derived considering the premises studied in section 2 and 3. A third element is the real process. In this case the valve, the pneumatic actuator and the separator constitute the whole process, for which an approximate mathematical model has to be determined. The last element is a feedback filter which is introduced in order to filter out the simulated measurement noise and to minimize the instability introduced by the feedback of the modeling error. Figure 6 shows the scheme of the ICM based on fuzzy singleton model.

Finally, figure 7 shows the response of the output of the plant with the ICM architecture implemented. As it can be observed, a second order response is generated.

5 Conclusion

This paper has proposed a method to generate satisfactory GA-Fuzzy Singleton Models. This methodology was applied to the fuzzy modeling of an oil production separator using real input-output data. A serie of constraints were carefully considered so that the analytical model inversion is guaranteed. In order to apply the ICM strategy, the mathematical model of the valve, pneumatic actuator and the separator was taken into account as a unique process.
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