Ant Colony inspired Self-Optimized Routing Protocol based on Cross Layer Architecture for Wireless Sensor Networks

Kashif Saleem, Norsheila Fisal, M. Ariff Baharudin, Sharifah Hafizah, Sharifah Kamilah, Rozeha A. Rashid
Faculty of Electrical Engineering
University Technology Malaysia
81310-Skudai, Johor.
Malaysia.
kashnet@hotmail.com http://www.trg.fke.utm.my

Abstract: - Nowadays, wireless sensor networks (WSNs) are becoming increasingly beneficial, worthwhile and a challenging research area. The advancements in WSN enable a wide range of environmental monitoring and object tracking applications. Moreover, multihop (node by node) routing in WSN is affected by new devices constantly entering or leaving the network. Therefore, nature inspired self-maintained protocols are required to tackle the problems arising in WSN. We proposed ant colony stimulated routing, which shows an outstanding performance for WSNs. In this manuscript, a cross layer design based self-optimized (ACO) routing protocol for WSN and the results are presented. Link quality, energy level and velocity parameters are used to discover an optimal route. The signal strength, remaining power and timestamp metrics are trade in from physical layer to network layer. The emitted decision through the WSN discovery will establish the optimal route from source to destination. The adopted cross layer architecture helps ACO in improving the overall data throughput; especially in the case of real time traffic.

Key-Words: - Ant Colony Optimization, Cross Layer, Energy, Multihop, Packet Reception Rate, Routing, Velocity, Wireless sensor Network

1 Introduction

Wireless communication plays a significant role in the telecommunications sector and has huge importance for future research. Wireless communications enables many new applications for sensing and monitoring systems. Some infrastructure free networks like WSN serves an imperative task in monitoring. With the passage of time new gadgets and software advancements are becoming available to end-users on a frequent basis. The stated fast growth and the huge number of devices in the network make WSN more and more complex. The deployment area for WSNs is mostly out of the human reach. The above mentioned challenges, such as growing complexity and unreachable maintenance need new solutions.

The new self-maintained mechanism can maintain the features of WSNs such as multihop routing and dynamically environmental changes in a completely autonomous mode. In order to address autonomous capability for multihop WSNs, it has been visualized that self-maintained network applications can understand the operational objectives of the network. Additionally, probabilistic methods that provide scalability can be found in nature and adapted to technology.

Towards this vision, it is observed that various biological principles are capable to overcoming the above adaptability problems. The area of bio-inspired network engineering has the most well known approaches which are swarm intelligence (ANT Colony, Particle swarm), AIS and intercellular information exchange (Molecular biology)[1-4]. WSN routing algorithms based on ANT Colony Optimization (ACO) have been presented in the last few years, such as [5], Sensor-driven Cost-aware Ant Routing (SC), the Flooded Forward Ant Routing (FF) algorithm, and the Flooded Piggybacked Ant Routing (FP) algorithm [6], Adaptive ant-based Dynamic Routing (ADR) [7], Adaptive Routing (AR) and Improved Adaptive Routing (IAR) algorithm [8], and E&D ANTS [9].

The problem of the previous approaches is that the selected shortest path might not be a minimum energy cost route. Some other works concentrate on decreasing the energy consumption by replacing the hop-count routing with minimum energy routing. They compute a minimum-energy path for packet delivery in a multi-hop wireless network. However, the nodes on this path will get depleted soon [10].

This manuscript present a novel architecture by implementing the most well known and successful approaches. ACO method is utilized for the optimum route discovery in multihop WSN. Standard ACO is very complex and heavy for WSN. Consequently, we come up with an ACO that can perform better optimization for WSN in terms of less load, energy consumption and high delivery rate. Under BIOSARP, the ACO is based on only two types of ant agents, which are, search ant (SA) and data ant (DA) agents as shown in Figure 1. The agents will work in a decentralized way to collect data on individual nodes and carry data to the required destination through multihop communication.



Fig. 1 BIOSARP ACO mechanism based on Data Ant (DA) and Search Ant (SA) agents

Furthermore, the recent work on WSNs reveals that cross layer design technique results in significant improvement in term of energy conservation in WSNs. This requires that a routing protocol of WSNs should apply cross-layer design strategy [11]. The given biological inspired algorithm is enhanced with cross layer architecture to attain more robust decision. The signal strength, remaining power and timestamp parameters have been taken from the physical layer to the network. By assigning the above mentioned metrics to the ACO process running on the network layer allows an ultra effective optimal route for WSNs.

2 Related Research

2.1 Outline of Ant Colony Optimization

Dorigo et al [5] proposed the first ant colony algorithms as a multi-agent approach to difficult combinatorial optimization problems like the traveling salesman problem (TSP) and the quadratic assignment problem (QAP), and later introduced the ACO meta-heuristic.

There are two types of ants applied in the algorithms, forward ants and backward ants. Forward ants, whose main actions are exploring the path and collecting the information from the source nodes to destination node, have the same number as the source nodes. The paths that forward ants travel will construct a tree when they merge into each other or reach the destination and data is transmitted along the tree paths. There are two key factors that conduct the movement of the forward ants: one is pheromone trails that are deposited along the edges, and the other is the nodes potential which provides an estimate of how far an ant will have to travel from any the node to either reach the destination or to aggregate data with another node. Whereas the backward ants, traveling back from destination node to source nodes contrary to the forward ants, perform their uppermost function of updating the information of their pass-by nodes.

2.2 Overview of ACO Based Routing Algorithms in WSN

In [5] the authors propose a new idea of keeping the information by all sensor nodes of their own. By this even in the absence of global processing the nodes still can work on their own information. This still has the drawback of broadcasting in the initialization phase, which consumes lots of energy at the beginning of the network deployment.

Karaboga [12] proposed a novel approach for WSN routing operations. Through this approach the network life time is maximized, for discovering the shortest paths from the source nodes to the base node using an evolutionary optimization technique. The research has also been implemented on the PIC® series of microcontrollers, specifically the PIC12F683.

Aghaei et al [8] proposes two adaptive routing algorithms based on ant colony algorithm, the Adaptive Routing (AR) algorithm and the Improved Adaptive Routing (IAR) algorithm. To check the suitability of the ADR algorithm in the case of sensor networks, they modified the ADR algorithm (removing the queue parameters) and used their reinforcement learning concept and named it the AR algorithm. The AR algorithm did not result in optimum solutions. In IAR algorithm by adding a coefficient, the cost between the neighbor node and the destination node, they further improve the AR algorithm.

Wen et al [9] proposed a dynamic adaptive ant algorithm (E&D ANTS) is based on Energy and Delay metrics for routing operations. Their main goal is to maximize the network lifetime while minimizing propagation delay by using a novel variation of reinforcement learning (RL). E&D ANTS results was evaluated with AntNet and AntChain schemes.

In [13] a novel routing approach using an Ant Colony Optimization algorithm is proposed for Wireless Sensor Networks consisting of stable nodes. The probabilistic decision rule depends on pheromone value and the value of the heuristic related to energy. They have also implemented their approach to a small sized hardware component named MICAz mote as a router chip.

2.3 Comparison between Ant Based Routing Mechanisms

Comparison of the most recent ANT based routing in WSN: SC and [12] depends on the energy metric whereas FF based on delay. IA and IAR is the modification of ADR which used a delay parameter in the queues to estimate reinforcement learning factor. In FP they combine the forward ant and data ant to enhance the success rate. E&D ANT based on energy and delay metrics for routing operations.

In our proposed algorithm, the best values of velocity, PRR and remaining power mechanism [14] are used to select forwarding node because velocity alone does not provide the information about link quality. The best link quality usually provides low packet loss and energy efficient [15]. Another novel feature is the remaining power parameter to select the forwarding candidate node.

2.4 Comparison between Cross Layer based Mechanisms

[16] proposed a cross-layer architecture using MAC and Routing layer. The cross layer architecture implemented in this research is defined by the interaction of 802.11 MAC protocol and the Dynamic Source Routing protocol. The cross-layer architecture implemented in their work is able to reduce routing overheads, by reducing the route management processing performed by the DSR protocol in most scenarios. The authors have implemented the 802.11 MAC extensions in Network Simulator-2 (NS-2) that stores the last signal strength received from all neighboring nodes. In addition, they also modified the 802.11 MAC layer to send a message to the upper layer in case there is a loss of communication but the destination node is still within the transmission range.

In [17] the author has proposed a local routing protocol for WSN. In their protocol they utilized the cross layer concept to attain an optimal decision on single hop bases. The signal to noise ratio for evaluating packet reception rate and energy values are called from the physical layer to the network layer.

3 Methodology

System design deals mainly with the development of state machine and flow chart diagram of the sections as power and neighbor management. Routing management will be dependent mostly on forwarding metric calculations. If any error occurred in this state, the generated error will be handled by the routing problem handler.

Further onwards the most important state in this routing mechanism is neighbor management. Selection of better neighbors will be handled by this state. Common functions in the neighbor management state are neighbor table maintenance, neighbor discovery, insert new neighbor, neighbor replacement, etc.

Our proposed self-maintained system is mainly based on route section. The optimal route discovery is tackled by ACO. Routing decision will achieved by the probabilistic decision rule described in [12]. Two parameters delay and battery remaining are used in [12] while acquiring optimal decision.

BIOSARP is based on three metrics as, velocity, PRR and remaining power mechanism as given in Table 1. The link quality of the wireless medium determines the performance of WSN. In designing BIOSARP, the link quality is considered in order to improve the delivery ratio and energy efficiency. It should be noted that the link quality is measured based on PRR to reflect the diverse link qualities within the transmission range. PRR is determined by Equation 1.

$$PRR = \left[1-\left(\frac{8}{15}\right)\left(\frac{1}{16}\right)\sum_{j=2}^{16}(-1)^j\binom{16}{j}\exp\left(20SNR\left(\frac{1}{j}-1\right)\right)\right]^m$$

[14, 17] (1)

SNR is calculated in Equation 2.

$$SNR = P_t - PL(d) - S_r \qquad [14, 17] \qquad (2)$$

where P_t is the transmitted power in dBm and S_r is the receiver's sensitivity in dBm.

We have added second heuristic value ω_{ij} in probabilistic rule to determine the link quality of neighboring nodes while making decision. The probabilistic rule is expressed mathematically as (3)

$$p_{ij}^k(t) = \frac{[\tau_{ij}(t)]^\alpha . [\eta_{ij}(t)]^\beta . [\omega_{ij}(t)]^\vartheta}{\sum_{h \in j_i^k} [\tau_{ij}(t)]^\alpha . [\eta_{ij}(t)]^\beta . [\omega_{ij}(t)]^\vartheta} \qquad (3)$$

$p^k_{ij}(t)$ overall desirability for ant k located in city i to choose to move to city j.

τ_{ij} is a value of pheromone depends on the delay parameter.

η_{ij} is an heuristic evaluation of edge (i,j).

ω_{ij} is the 2nd heuristic evaluation of edge (i,j).

α, β and ϑ are three parameters that control the relative weight of pheromone trail and heuristic values.

Table 1. Routing Metrics

	Velocity (End2End Delay)	**Energy**	**Link Quality (PRR)**
Node 1	τ^1	η^1	ω^1
Node 2	τ^2	η^2	ω^2
.	.	.	.
.	.	.	.
.	.	.	.
.	.	.	.
Node n	τ^n	η^n	ω^n

While data forwarding, the node first calls the DA. DA will select the optimal node based on the pheromone value stored in neighbour table. DA will move hop by hop on the base of pheromone values for neighbouring nodes until the destination as shown in Figure 1. At the time of selection, if DA could not find the entry, it will invoke SA as given in Figure 1. The SA will search for new nodes and calculates their pheromone value through the probabilistic rule as (3).

Every ant agent is generated with a sequence ID and also is set with maximum time to live (TTL). By the help of assigning sequence ID, sensor node will not accept reappearance of the same ant agent to avoid routing cycles. If an ant agent does not reach its destination before a given TTL value, the ant is destroyed.

Energy management is evolved to maintain the energy consumption of every sensor node in WSN. Power level maintenance helps WSN to extend its life time as long as possible. In order to achieve high gains in the overall performance of WSN, cross-layer interaction is used in the design of our routing mechanism.

3.1 Cross-Layer Design

The concept of cross-layer design is about sharing of information among two or more layers for adaptation purposes and to increase the inter-layer interactions [18-20]. The proposed system uses interaction between the physical layer and the network layer in order to select the best next node as shown in Figure 2. The process at the network layer comes up with the optimal decision based on the physical parameters, which are then translated as forwarding metrics. The acquired physical parameters are signal strength, remaining power and timestamp. The forwarding metrics are used to get an optimal decision. The forwarding mechanism is requested only during neighbor discovery and network initialization phase.



Fig. 2 Cross layer architecture

4 Simulation

The scenario was simulated using network simulator 2 (NS2) [21] based on the network topology. 121 wireless sensor nodes were deployed onto 100 x 100 m^2 grid as shown in Figure 3. For the bio-inspired routing algorithm implementation under NS2, the program is written in C++ and OTcl programming language.

During the animation produced by nam we can examine the output of network. The CBR traffic is produced from node 120, 110, 100 and 90 to the sink node 0. All nodes have neighboring tables which contains the information about the neighboring nodes. Depending on information pheromone value is calculated via probabilistic rule. The parameter weights α, β and ϑ are adjusted to 0.6. The optimal node is selected based on the pheromone value. A fixed size of one packet is considered in the simulation. The experimental parameters used to configure the system according to WSN are listed in Table 2.



Fig. 3 Graphical Representation

Table 2. System Properties

Parameters	Values
Propagation Model	Shadowing
phyType	Phy/WirelessPhy/802_15_4
macType	Mac/802_15_4
CSThresh_	2.37381e-06 (9m)
RXThresh_	2.37381e-06 (9m)
frequency	2.4e+9
Traffic	CBR
Packet Size	70

4.1 Performance and Analysis of Simulation

BIOSARP is compared with RTLD routing protocol [17] because RTLD also makes the next hop decision based on same parameters. Also RTLD got the best performance results till yet over WSN. Packet delivery ratio and energy consumption are the metrics used to analyze the performance of BIOSARP and the baseline RTLD. In simulation the impact of varying network load is accumulated by varying the packet rate. While the end-to-end deadline and simulation time were fixed at 250ms and 100s respectively. The traffic load is varied from 1 to 10.6 packet/s to emulate low data rate in IEEE 802.15.4. The simulation results in Figure 4 show that BIOSARP increases the delivery ratio by 3.5% to 6.5% as the packet rate is varied.



Fig. 4 Delivery Ratio of Different Packet Rate

The simulation results of WSNs Lifetime using BIOSARP Routing Protocol is given in Figure 5. The time was varied to analyze the effects of remaining power on the delivery ratio with network lifetime and total power consumption. In this simulation, end-to-end deadline and packet rate are fixed at 250 ms and 10 packets per sec respectively. The simulation results in Figure 5 shows that the BIOSARP consume bit more energy than RTLD because BIOSARP maintain global knowledge over the network.



Fig. 5 Energy per Packet (J)

5 Real Time Experiment

BIOSARP in WSN has been verified through a real time test bed experiment. The test bed performance in term of packet delivery ratio and average packet delay from the source to the destination are analyzed. The results are compared with the simulation output. In this work, 6 Telosb nodes are distributed in a 20m x 15m region as shown in Figure 6. Node 0 is the sink.

5.1 Performance and Analysis of Experiment

The network in the test bed has been configured similar to the network in the simulation. End-to-end deadline and the experiment time were fixed at 250 ms and 100s respectively. The results in Figure 7 show that in the simulation experiences slightly higher delivery ratio about 5% compared to the real test bed implementation.

This may be due to the propagation model in the simulation differs from the real test bed environment. In practice, many parameters in the propagation model affect the signal strength including fading, reflection, diffraction and interference.



Fig. 6 Network Testbed



Fig. 7 Delivery ratio of BIOSARP

6 Conclusion

In this manuscript, we have proposed an enhanced ant colony inspired self-optimized routing protocol for WSN. Our specified mechanism is based on link quality, energy and velocity parameters. The adopted cross layer architecture helps WSN in improving the overall data throughput; especially in the case of real time traffic. The cross layer design also assists WSN in better delivery ratio. The algorithm is also capable of avoiding permanent loops which promotes dead lock in the running networks. Simulation and Experimental results demonstrate the protocol efficiency. Finally, this autonomic routing mechanism will come up with better delivery ratio over WSN. Our immediate future work evolved to enhance our routing mechanism with self-protection system.

7 Acknowledgement

The authors wish to express their sincere appreciation, sincerest gratitude to Ministry of Higher Education Malaysia for their full support and Research Management Center (RMC), Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM) for their contribution. Special thanks to researchers in Telematic Research Group (TRG), UTM.

References:

[1] S. Balasubramaniam, D. Botvich, W. Donnelly, M. Foghluh, and J. Strassner, "Biologically Inspired Self-Governance and Self-Organisation for Autonomic Networks," in *Proceedings of the 1st international conference on Bio inspired models of network, information and computing systems*. vol. 275 Cavalese, Italy: ACM, 2006, p. 30.

[2] S. Balasubramaniam, W. Donnelly, D. Botvich, N. Agoulmine, and J. Strassner, "Towards integrating principles of Molecular Biology for Autonomic Network Management," in *Hewlett Packard university Association (HPOVUA) conference*, Nice, France., 2006.

[3] P. Boonma and J. Suzuki, "MONSOON: A Coevolutionary Multiobjective Adaptation Framework for Dynamic Wireless Sensor Networks," in *In Proc. of the 41st Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences (HICSS)* Big Island, HI, 2008.

[4] N. Mazhar and M. Farooq, "BeeAIS: Artificial Immune System Security for Nature Inspired, MANET Routing Protocol, BeeAdHoc," *Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg*, vol. LNCS 4628, pp. 370–381, 2007.

[5] G. Chen, T.-D. Guo, W.-G. Yang, and T. Zhao, "An improved ant-based routing protocol in Wireless Sensor Networks," in *Collaborative Computing: International Conference on Networking, Applications and Worksharing, 2006. CollaborateCom 2006.*, New York, NY, 2006, pp. 1-7.

[6] Y. Zhang, L. D. Kuhn, and M. P. J. Fromherz, "Improvements on Ant Routing for Sensor Networks," *M. Dorigo et al. (Eds.): ANTS 2004, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2004*, vol. LNCS 3172, pp. 154-165, 2004.

[7] Y. Lu, G. Zhao, and F. Su, "Adaptive Ant-based Dynamic Routing Algorithm," in *In Proceedings of the 5th World Congress on Intelligent Control and Automation*, Hangzhuo, China, 2004, pp. 2694-2697.

[8] R. G. Aghaei, M. A. Rahman, W. Gueaieb, and A. E. Saddik, "Ant Colony-Based Reinforcement Learning Algorithm for Routing in Wireless Sensor Networks," in *Instrumentation and Measurement Technology Conference - IMTC* Warsaw, Poland: IEEE, 2007.

[9] Y.-f. WEN, Y.-q. CHEN, and M. PAN, "Adaptive ant-based routing in wireless sensor networks using Energy*Delay metrics," *Journal of Zhejiang University SCIENCE A* vol. 9, pp. 531-538, 2008.

[10] W. Wang, D. Peng, I.-H. Youn, H. Wang, and H. Sharif, "Cross Layer Design and Implementation for Balancing Energy Efficiency in Wireless Sensor Networks," *Information Technology Jornal*, pp. 648-655, 2007.

[11] S. L. Y. B. M. S. Q. D. D. Qian, "CLEEP: A Novel Cross-Layer Energy-Efficient Protocol for Wireless Sensor Networks," in *4th International Conference on Wireless Communications, Networking and Mobile Computing, 2008. WiCOM '08*, 2008, pp. 1-4.

[12] S. Okdem and D. Karaboga, "Routing in Wireless Sensor Networks Using Ant Colony Optimization," in *Proceedings of the First NASA/ESA Conference on Adaptive Hardware and Systems (AHS'06)*, Istanbul, 2006.

[13] S. Okdem and D. Karaboga, "Routing in Wireless Sensor Networks Using an Ant Colony Optimization (ACO) Router Chip," *Sensors*, vol. 9, pp. 909-921, 2009.

[14] A. Ali, L. A. Latiff, M. A. Sarijari, and N. Fisal, "Real-time Routing in Wireless Sensor Networks," in *The 28th International Conference on Distributed Computing Systems Workshops*, Beijing, China, 2008.

[15] J. Zhao and R. Govindan, "Understanding Packet Delivery Performance in Dense Wireless Sensor Networks," in *Proceedings of the 1st international conference on Embedded networked sensor systems*, Los Angeles, USA, 2003.

[16] N. Chilamkurti, S. Zeadally, A. Vasilakos, and V. Sharma1, "Cross-Layer Support for Energy Efficient Routing in Wireless Sensor Networks," *Journal of Sensors, Hindawi Publishing Corporation*, vol. 2009, p. 9 pages, 2009.

[17] A. Ali, L. A. Latiff, and N. Fisal, "Simulation-based real-time routing protocol with load distribution in wireless sensor networks," *Wireless Communications and Mobile Computing*, vol. 9999, p. n/a, 2009.

[18] H. Peng, Z. Xi, L. Ying, C. Xun, and G. Chuanshan, "An Adaptive Real-Time Routing Scheme for Wireless Sensor Networks," in *21st International Conference on Advanced Information Networking and Applications Workshops, AINAW '07*. vol. 2, 2007, pp. 918 - 922.

[19] W. Su and T. L. Lim, "Cross-Layer Design and Optimization for Wireless Sensor Networks," in *IEEE conference 7th ACIS International Conference*, 2006, pp. 278 - 284.

[20] J.-D. Jhong, C.-Y. Chang, and H.-C. Chao, "Cross-layer ant based algorithm routing for MANETs," in *International Conference On Mobile Technology, Applications, And Systems archive*
Proceedings of the International Conference on Mobile Technology, Applications, and Systems table of contents, Yilan, Taiwan, 2008.

[21] S. Mccanne, S. Floyd, and K. Fall, "Network Simulator 2 (NS-2) version 2.28," in http://www-nrg.ee.lbl.gov/ns/, http://www.isi.edu/nsnam/ns.