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#### Abstract

The paper presents the determination of new points for the control and survey network based on the points from the existing National Geodesic Network, using satellite measurements and determinations, GNSS. The geodesic network us located on the administrative territory of Belotint, Arad county. The control and survey network of GNSS satellite measurements and determinations was used for measurements in the field of forest cadastre, applying the new ROMPOS technology, partially available at the moment of measurements, only on the GPS segment, but not on the GLONASS segment. Finally, some conclusions are drawn regarding the usage of this new technology in the forest cadastre.
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## 1. The Topo-Geodesic Works That Are Verified And Their Location

The objective of this paper is to determine new points for the high-density and survey network, based on the points of the existing National Geodesic Network, through satellite measurements and determinations. In the same time, a survey network was determined, developed in the high-density geodesic grid previously determined. The geodesic network is located in the administrative territory of Belotint, Arad county.

## 2. The Existing Geodesic Network

The existing geodesic points from the work area used for the determinations by GNNS measurements belong to the State Geodesic Networks and comprise 5 triangulation points, as follows:

| No. | Point label |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | IAGONITA (III) |
| 2 | NEGRILOT (III) |
| 3 | DAMBUL DOMANULUI(IV) |
| 4 | FRASINEL(IV) |
| 5 | BARZAVA CIMITIR (CEMETERY) (V) |

## 3. The Technical Project For Works Implementation

The technical project, drafted on the $1: 10000$ scale map was finalized after the field recognition of the points of the existing state geodesic network. The 5 points of the geodesic network were spatially determined using satellite methods. 4 points were projected for the high-density grid, labeled as follows: B1, REF1, REF2, REF3, and for the survey network this was not necessary, because the RTK method was used for measurements.

When choosing the location of the points, the following aspects were taken into account:

- the new points should be located near traverses that are easily accessible all year;
- the points should be located near the objectives which are going to be topographically surveyed;
- the physical obstacles, power lines or transformer stations should be avoided near the receptors (elevation angle $>15^{\mathrm{G}}$ )
- the conservation of points should be assured for a long time.


## 4. The Materialization Of The HighDensity Geodesic Grid Points

The materialization of new contour and highdensity points determined using GPS measurements was performed with FENO like boundary marks, according to regulations, accepted by the beneficiary.

## 5. The Equipment Used For Measurements

The measurements were performed using 4 LEICA SR20 L1 receptors, for the transcomputation polygon and 3 geodesic class L1 L2 GPS GLONAS, RTK LEICA 1230 receptors.

## 6. Performing Gps Measurements

The GPS measurements were carried on between 30.08.2008-20.10.2008 using the static method with GPS signal processing intervals of 5 seconds and the RTK cinematic methods in sessions of 3-20 periods of 5 seconds. The bases determined by the points where the GPS receptors were stationed have lengths between 20 m and 11 km.

The PDOP values fall withing the optimal limits $2-4$. During measurements, in certain bases the PDOP was larger because of intersection with the measurement period of other receptors, but for short time ( $<5 \mathrm{~min}$ ), or because of the bad configuration of satellites, and therefore these bases were removed from processing. The number of observed satellites varied between 4 and 11 .

## 7. The Computation And Compensation Of Gps Measurements

GPS measurement data processing, the computation and compensation of the highdensity geodesic grid were performed using LEICA Geo Office 6.0 L1 L2 GPS GLONASS software.

# Processing Summary <br> 10.09_belotint2_postprocesare 

(2) Project Information (8)

Project name:
Date created:
Time zone:
Coordinate system name:
Application software:
Start date and time:
End date and time:
Manually occupied points:
Processing kernel:
Processed:
(8) Processing Parameters
10.09_belotint2_postprocesare

11/07/2008 17:49:56
2h 00 '
belotint
LEICA Geo Office 6.0
10/09/2008 11:44:15
10/09/2008 16:59:46
1
PSI-Pro 2.0
11/07/2008 19:56:50

| Parameters | Selected |
| :--- | :--- |
| Cut-off angle: | $15^{\circ}$ |
| Ephemeris type: | Broadcast |
| Solution type: | Automatic |
| GNSS type: | GPS |
| Frequency: | Automatic |
| Fix ambiguities up to: | 80 km |


| Min. duration for float solution (static): |  |  | 5' $00{ }^{\prime \prime}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sampling rate: |  |  | Use all |
| Tropospheric model: |  |  | Hopfield |
| Ionospheric model: |  |  | Automatic |
| Use stochastic modelling: |  |  | Yes |
| Min. distance: |  |  | 8 km |
| Ionospheric activity: <br> 8 Baseline Overview |  |  | Automatic |
| FAGE - ref2 | Reference: FAGE | Rover: ref2 |  |
| Receiver type / S/N: | SR530 / 506850302 | GX1230GG / | 469264 |
| Antenna type / S/N: | LEIAT504 LEIS / - | AX1202 GG | Tripod / - |
| Antenna height: | 0.0000 m | 1.3460 m |  |
| Coordinates: |  |  |  |
| Easting: | 280960.6990 m | 266875.1717 |  |
| Northing: | 487749.9581 m | 512204.4025 |  |
| Ortho. Hgt: | 172.5644 m | 271.5630 m |  |
| Solution type: | Phase: all fix |  |  |
| GNSS type: | GPS |  |  |
| Frequency: | IonoFree (L3) |  |  |
| Ambiguity: | Yes |  |  |
| Time span: | 10/09/2008 11:44:15-10/09/2008 16:59:46 |  |  |
| Duration: | 5h 15' 31" |  |  |


| Quality: | Sd. E: 0.0018 m <br> Posn. Qlty: 0.0042 m | Sd. N: 0.0037 m <br> Sd. Slope: 0.0022 m | Sd. Hgt: 0.0012 m |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Baseline vector: | dX: -10244.2505 m |  |  | | dY: -20311.8625 m |
| :--- |
| Slope: 28220.3943 m |$\quad$ dZ: 16699.5279 m

DOPs (min-max): GDOP: 1.7-24.0
PDOP: 1.5-21.7
HDOP: 0.9-19.5 VDOP: 1.2-9.5

The precision imposed on processing this data is $5 \mathrm{~cm}+/-2 \mathrm{ppm}$. The points from the highdensity and survey network were determined based on at least three vectors. After processing the data using the LEICA Geo Office 6.0 software, the following standard deviation values of bases determination were obtained:

- $d x=4,3 \mathrm{~mm}, d y=2,7 \mathrm{~mm}$, for the high-density network, and $d x=1 \mathrm{~mm} d y=1 \mathrm{~mm}$ for the survey network.

The trans-computation of coordinates from the WGS '84 datum into the Stereographic'70
datum was performed using the LEICA Geo Office 6.0 (Datum/Map) software.

The computation of transformation coefficients was performed based on the common points chosen from the state geodesic network. This option was chosen because a good general precision of coordinates is obtained after transcomputation. This transformation yields a file with geocentric coordinates $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{Z}$ on the Krasovski ellipsoid.

The parameters of the transformation are:

## Transformation details

Height mode:
Orthometric

## 3D-Helmert transformation

Number of common points:

## 5

Sigma a priori:
1.0000

Sigma a posteriori:
Transformation model:
0.0432

Bursa-Wolf
No. Parameter
1 Shift dX
2 Shift dY

3 Shift dZ
$4 \quad$ Rotation about X
5 Rotation about Y

6 Rotation about Z
$7 \quad$ Scale

Value
-7.8016 m
-29.6288 m
156.0217 m
1.84569 "
-2.15343 "
-5.91821 "
$-5.2441 \mathrm{ppm}$
rms
31.3064 m
34.9499 m
31.4082 m
1.04721 "
1.14378 "
0.96991 "
3.9472 ppm

After the spatial transformation the reverse process of trans-computation begins. Thus, from geocentric coordinates $==>$ geographic coordinates $==>$ stereographic'70 coordinates,
both for the points from the state geodesic network and the points from the high-density and survey network.

## Coordinates Overview

## Project : final1

| User Name | Trimble Employee | Date \& Time <br> Zone | 16:43:59 8/3/2009 <br> stereo70 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Coordinate System | Stereo70 | Geoid Model |  |
| Project Datum | S-42 (Hungary) |  |  |
| Coordinate Units | Meter |  |  |
| Distance Units | Meter |  |  |
| Height Units | Meter |  |  |
| Angle Units | Degrees |  |  |


|  | Number of Points 6 <br> Point Information |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Point Name | Point Code | Point Info. | Fix | Adjusted | Local | Control |
| $\underline{B 01}$ | B01 |  | No | Yes | No | No |
| $\underline{B A R Z}$ | BARZ |  | No | Yes | No | No |
| $\underline{D O M A N}$ | DOMAN |  | No | Yes | No | No |
| $\underline{\text { FRAS }}$ | FRAS |  | No | Yes | No | No |
| $\underline{\text { IAGO }}$ | IAGO |  | Yes | Yes | No | Yes |
| $\underline{\text { NEG }}$ | NEG |  | No | Yes | No | No |


| WGS84-Cartesian Geocentric Coordinates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Point Name | X | Y | Z |
| B01 | 4112344.7869 m | 1657408.4673m | 4570155.5114 m |
| BARZ | 4107512.9054 m | 1658444.5527 m | 4573911.4886 m |
| DOMAN | 4113918.9177 m | 1658961.4429m | 4568117.1837m |
| FRAS | 4115677.9465 m | 1656726.5052 m | 4567308.2208m |
| IAGO | 4113653.8616 m | 1649848.2703m | 4571599.2673m |
| NEG | 4112324.0882 m | 1657407.1617m | 4570175.7195 m |
| WGS84-Geographical Coordinates |  |  |  |
| Point Name | Latitude | Longitude | Height |
| B01 | N 46 ${ }^{\circ} 03^{\prime} 36.24506^{\prime \prime}$ | E 21 ${ }^{\circ} 57{ }^{\prime} 03.72635{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 378.4047 m |
| BARZ | N 46 ${ }^{\circ} 06^{\prime} 36.11436^{\prime \prime}$ | E 21 ${ }^{\circ} 59^{\prime} 12.57881^{\prime \prime}$ | 244.9441m |
| DOMAN | N 460 02' $02.84974{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | E $21^{\circ} 57{ }^{\prime} 43.34227^{\prime \prime}$ | 327.3163m |
| FRAS | N 46 ${ }^{\circ} 01^{\prime} 26.09755^{\prime \prime}$ | E 21 ${ }^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 36.39189^{\prime \prime}$ | 298.0277 m |
| IAGO | N 46 ${ }^{\circ} 04^{\prime} 46.13348^{\prime \prime}$ | E 21 ${ }^{\circ} 51^{\prime} 14.62253 "$ | 304.2483m |
| NEG | N 46 ${ }^{\circ} 03^{\prime} 37.15827^{\prime \prime}$ | E 21 ${ }^{\circ} 57{ }^{\prime} 04.02997{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 379.2957 m |


| Point Name | Northing | Easting | Height | Elevation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B01 | 511224.5325 m | 264282.5889 m | 337.8180 m | 337.8180 m |
| BARZ | 516669.2247 m | 267260.6734 m | 204.4426 m | 204.4426m |
| DOMAN | 508310.1648 m | 265023.5407 m | 286.7293 m | 286.7293 m |
| FRAS | 507280.9051 m | 262251.5006 m | 257.3817 m | 257.3817 m |
| IAGO | 513672.9120 m | 256868.9890 m | 263.5300 m | 263.5300 m |
| NEG | 511252.4609 m | 264290.1906 m | 338.7093 m | 338.7093 m |
| National/Local Grid Control Coordinates |  |  |  |  |
| Point Name |  |  | Easting | Elevation |
| IAGO | 513672 |  | .9890m | 263.5300 m |

## 8. The Precision Of Determinations After Measurements Computation And Compensation

Based on the comparison of stereographic 1970 coordinates of triangulation points and the coordinates of the same points obtained as result of GPS determinations, it is shown that the differences between the coordinates of the points determined by the method of triangulation and of the points determined by the GPS method are smaller both on X and Y .The differences obtained between the coordinates of the state geodesic network and the coordinates determined by GPS measurements in case of spatial transformation are as follows:

| No | Point label | $\mathrm{dX}[\mathrm{m}]$ | $\mathrm{dY}[\mathrm{m}]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | IAGONITA (III) | -0.001 | -0.001 |
| 2 | NEGRILOT (III) | 0.010 | -0.020 |
| 3 | DAMBUL <br> DOMANULUI(IV) | -0.033 | 0.033 |
| 4 | FRASINEL(IV) | -0.017 | -0.020 |
| 5 | BARZAVA CIMITIR <br> (CEMETERY)(V) | -0.070 | 0.042 |

## 9. Conclusions

From technical geodesic-topographic point of view, the precisions imposed by the A.N.C.P.I. approved valid technical norms have been ensured. The points from the high-density and survey network determined using satellite methods can be used within this work, as well as during other topographic measurements performed in the area.
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