

Finite Difference Scheme for One Mixed Problem With Integral Condition

GIVI BERIKELASHVILI

A. Razmadze Mathematical Institute
Department of Differential Equations
1, M.Aleksidze str., Tbilisi 0193
Georgia
bergi@rmi.acnet.ge

DAVID GORDEZIANI

I. Javakhishvili Tbilisi State
University
1, University str., Tbilisi 0143
Georgia
dgord37@hotmail.com

SERGO KHARIBEGASHVILI

I. Javakhishvili Tbilisi State
University
1, University str., Tbilisi 0143
A. Razmadze Mathematical Institute
Department of Differential Equations
1, M.Aleksidze str., Tbilisi 0193
Georgia
kharibegashvili@yahoo.com

Abstract: A mixed problem with integral restrictions and with Dirichlet conditions on a part of the boundary for Poisson equation is considered. A unique solvability of the corresponding difference scheme is studied. It is proved that the difference scheme converges in the discrete $W_2^1(\omega, \rho)$ norm with the rate $O(h^2)$, when the solution of the problem belongs to the space $W_2^3(\Omega)$.

Key-Words: nonlocal boundary value problem; difference scheme; weighted space

Boundary value problems for differential equations with nonlocal condition occur in many applications. Problems with integral conditions were considered by various authors. In the present paper, a nonlocal boundary problem with integral condition is considered. The corresponding difference scheme is constructed. Under assumption that a solution to the original problem belongs to Sobolev spaces, the estimate of convergence rate

$$\|y - u\|_{W_2^1(\omega, \rho)} \leq ch^2 \|u\|_{W_2^3(\Omega)} \quad (1)$$

is obtained, where weight function $\rho(x_1) = 1 - x_1$. The error estimate is derived using certain well-known techniques (see, e.g., [1,2,3,4]) that employ the Bramble-Hilbert lemma.

Let $\Omega = \{(x_1, x_2) : 0 < x_k < 1, k = 1, 2\}$ be a unit square with boundary Γ , and $\Gamma_1 = \{(0, x_2) : 0 < x_2 < 1\}$, $\Gamma_0 = \Gamma \setminus \Gamma_1$.

Consider the nonlocal boundary value problem

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u &= f(x), \quad x \in \Omega, \quad u(x) = 0, \\ x \in \Gamma_0, \quad l(u) &= 0, \quad 0 < x_2 < 1, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where

$$l(u) := \int_{\xi}^1 u(x) dx_1, \quad \xi \in (0; 1).$$

Consider the following grid domains in $\bar{\Omega}$: $\bar{\omega}_\alpha = \{x_\alpha = i_\alpha h : i_\alpha = 0, 1, \dots, n, h = 1/n\}$, $\omega_\alpha = \bar{\omega}_\alpha \cap$

$(0, 1)$, $\omega_\alpha^+ = \bar{\omega}_\alpha \cap (0, 1]$, $\alpha = 1, 2$, $\omega = \omega_1 \times \omega_2$, $\bar{\omega} = \bar{\omega}_1 \times \bar{\omega}_2$, $\gamma = \bar{\omega} \cap \bar{\Omega}$, $\gamma_0 = \Gamma_0 \cap \bar{\omega}$.

For grid functions and difference ratios, we use the notation

$$v_{x_i} = (v^{(+1_i)} - v)/h, \quad v_{\bar{x}_i} = (v - v^{(-1_i)})/h,$$

where $v^{(\pm 1_1)}(x) = v(x_1 \pm h, x_2)$, $v^{(\pm 1_2)}(x) = v(x_1, x_2 \pm h)$. For simplicity let us assume, that the index written below grid functions corresponds to the first coordinate: $y_i = y(ih, x_2)$.

Let

$$\xi = (m + \theta)h, \quad 0 \leq \theta < 1,$$

where m is nonnegative integer $0 \leq m < n$.

Define the averaging operators

$$T_1 u = \frac{1}{h^2} \int_{x_1-h}^{x_1+h} (h - |x_1 - t|) u(t, x_2) dt,$$

$$T_2 u = \frac{1}{h^2} \int_{x_2-h}^{x_2+h} (h - |x_2 - t|) u(x_1, t) dt.$$

A set of grid functions given on $\bar{\omega}$ and satisfying the condition

$$y = 0, \quad x \in \gamma_0, \quad l_h(y) := \frac{h(1-\theta)}{2} ((1-\theta)y_m + (1$$

$$+\theta)y_{m+1}) + h \sum_{k=m+2}^{n-1} y_k + \frac{h}{2} y_{m+1} + \frac{h}{2} y_n = 0, \quad x_2 \in \omega_2$$

will be denoted by H . On the set H let us introduce the inner product and the norm

$$(y, v)_{\tilde{\omega}} = \sum_{\tilde{\omega}} h^2 yv, \quad \|y\|_{\tilde{\omega}} = (y, y)_{\tilde{\omega}}^{1/2}, \quad \tilde{\omega} \subseteq \bar{\omega}.$$

Let, moreover,

$$\|y\|_{\rho}^2 = \sum_{\omega} h^2 \rho y^2, \quad \|\nabla y\|^2 = \|y_{\bar{x}_1}\|_{(1)}^2 + \|y_{\bar{x}_2}\|_{(2)}^2,$$

$$\|y_{\bar{x}_1}\|_{(1)}^2 = (\rho y_{\bar{x}_1}, y_{\bar{x}_1})_{\omega_1^+ \times \omega_2},$$

$$\|y_{\bar{x}_2}\|_{(2)}^2 = (\rho y_{\bar{x}_2}, y_{\bar{x}_2})_{\omega_1 \times \omega_2^+}.$$

We approximate problem (2) by the difference scheme

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_h y &:= y_{\bar{x}_1 x_1} + y_{\bar{x}_2 x_2} \\ &= -\varphi(x), \quad x \in \omega, y \in H, \end{aligned} \quad (3),$$

where $\varphi = T_1 T_2 f$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} G_h y_i &= y_i, \quad i \leq m, \quad G_h y_i = \tilde{\rho}_i y_i + \frac{1}{n-m-\theta} P y_i, \\ & \quad i \geq (m+1), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\tilde{\rho}_i = \frac{2(n-i)+1}{2(n-m-\theta)}, \quad P y_i = -\sum_{k=i}^n y_k + \frac{1}{2} y_n,$$

Lemma 1. *The estimate*

$$(y, G_h y)_{\omega} \geq c \|y\|_{\rho}^2$$

is true for grid functions $y(x)$, satisfying the conditions $l_h(y) = 0$, $y(0, x_2) = 0$, $x_2 \in \omega_2$.

proof. Having noted that $y_i = P y_{i+1} - P y_i$ and $P y_i = \frac{1}{2}(P y_i + P y_{i+1}) - \frac{1}{2} y_i$, we receive

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=m+1}^{n-1} h y_i P y_i &= -\frac{h}{2} \sum_{i=m+1}^{n-1} y_i^2 + \frac{h}{2} \\ &\times \sum_{i=m+1}^{n-1} (P y_{i+1} + P y_i)(P y_{i+1} - P y_i) = \\ &-\frac{h}{2} \sum_{i=m+1}^{n-1} y_i^2 + \frac{h}{2} ((P y_n)^2 - (P y_{m+1})^2). \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account the nonlocal condition we have

$$P y_{m+1} = -\sum_{k=m+1}^n y_k + \frac{1}{2} y_n = \frac{1}{2} ((1-\theta)^2 y_m - \theta^2 y_{m+1}),$$

since

$$((1-\theta)^2 y_m - \theta^2 y_{m+1})^2 \leq (1-\theta)^4 y_m^2 + \theta^4 y_{m+1}^2$$

$$\begin{aligned} &+(1-\theta)^2 \theta^2 y_m^2 + (1-\theta)^2 \theta^2 y_{m+1}^2 = \\ &(((1-\theta)^2 + \theta^2)((1-\theta)^2 y_m^2 + \theta^2 y_{m+1}^2) \leq \frac{1}{2} ((1-\theta)^2 y_m^2 \\ & \quad + \theta^2 y_{m+1}^2). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$(P y_{m+1})^2 \leq \frac{1}{8} ((1-\theta)^2 y_m^2 + \theta^2 y_{m+1}^2).$$

Besides, due to $P y_n = -0.5 y_n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=m+1}^{n-1} h y_i P y_i &\geq -\frac{h}{2} \sum_{i=m+1}^{n-1} y_i^2 + \frac{h}{8} y_n^2 - \frac{h}{16} ((1-\theta)^2 y_m^2 \\ & \quad + \theta^2 y_{m+1}^2). \end{aligned}$$

This estimate, taking into account the form of operator G_h , proves the lemma.

Lemma 2. *For any $y \in H$ the inequality*

$$-(\Delta_h y, G_h y)_{\omega} \geq c \|\nabla y\|^2$$

holds.

Proof. It is not difficult to verify that

$$\begin{aligned} G_h y_i - G_h y_{i-1} &= h y_{\bar{x}_1, i}, \quad i \leq m, \\ G_h y_{m+1} - G_h y_m &= \frac{2(n-m)-1-\theta^2}{2(n-m-\theta)} h y_{\bar{x}_1, m+1}, \\ G_h y_i - G_h y_{i-1} &= h \tilde{\rho}_i y_{\bar{x}_1, i}, \quad i \geq m+2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, using summation by parts, we get

$$\begin{aligned} -\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} h y_{\bar{x}_1 x_1, i} G_h y_i &= \sum_{i=1}^n h y_{\bar{x}_1, i}^2 (\tilde{\rho}_i \\ & \quad - \frac{\theta^2}{2(n-m-\theta)} \delta_{i, m+1}) \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{\rho}_i = 1$ if $i \leq m$ and $\delta_{\cdot, \cdot}$ is the Kronecker delta. Therefore

$$-(y_{\bar{x}_1 x_1}, G_h y)_{\omega} \geq c \sum_{\omega_1^+ \times \omega_2} h^2 \rho (y_{\bar{x}_1})^2. \quad (4)$$

Besides, applying Lemma 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} -(y_{\bar{x}_2 x_2}, G_h y)_{\omega} &= (y_{\bar{x}_2}, G_h y_{\bar{x}_2})_{\omega_1 \times \omega_2^+} \\ &\geq c \sum_{\omega_1 \times \omega_2^+} h^2 \rho (y_{\bar{x}_2})^2, \end{aligned}$$

which with estimate (4) proves the Lemma 2.

Thus, if $\varphi(x) = 0$, $x \in \omega$, then $y(x) = 0$, $x \in \bar{\omega}$ and, consequently, the solution of difference scheme (3) exists and it is unique.

To investigate the convergence and accuracy of scheme (3), we consider the error of the method $z = y - u$, where y is a solution to problem (3) and $u(x)$ is a solution to problem (2). Substituting $y = u + z$ into (3), we obtain the problem

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_h z &= \psi, \quad x \in \omega, \quad z = 0, \quad x \in \gamma_0, \\ l_h(z) &= \chi(x_2), \quad x_2 \in \omega_2, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \psi &= \eta_{x_1}^{(1)} + \eta_{x_2}^{(2)}, \quad \chi = l(u) - l_h(u), \\ \eta^{(\alpha)} &= (u - T_{3-\alpha} u)_{\bar{x}_\alpha}, \quad \alpha = 1, 2. \end{aligned}$$

It is evident, that $\chi = 0$ for $u(x) = x_1$. Consequently, $l_h(x_1) = l(x_1) = (1 - \xi^2)/2$ and the substitution

$$z(x) = \tilde{z}(x) + \frac{2x_1}{1 - \xi^2} \chi(x_2) \quad (6)$$

turns problem (5) (in which the nonlocal condition is not homogeneous) into the problem with homogeneous conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_h \tilde{z} &= \tilde{\psi}, \quad x \in \omega, \quad \tilde{z} = 0, \quad x \in \gamma_0, \\ l_h(\tilde{z}) &= 0, \quad x_2 \in \omega_2, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where

$$\tilde{\psi} = \psi - \frac{2x_1}{1 - \xi^2} \chi_{\bar{x}_2 x_2}.$$

Lemma 3. *If the grid function y defined on $\bar{\omega}$ satisfies the conditions $l_h(y) = 0$, $y(0, x_2) = 0$, $x_2 \in \omega_2$, then*

$$|(\eta_{x_\alpha}, G_h y)|_\omega \leq c \|\eta\|_\omega \|y_{\bar{x}_\alpha}\|_{(\alpha)}, \quad \alpha = 1, 2,$$

where $\eta(x)$ is an arbitrary grid function.

The proof of this lemma for case $\alpha = 1$ is not difficult. When $\alpha = 2$, we use inequalities received by taking into account condition $l_h(y) = 0$:

$$|P y_{m+1}| \leq \frac{1}{2} (|y_m| + |y_{m+1}|),$$

$$|P y_{m+2}| \leq \frac{1}{2} |y_m| + |y_{m+1}|,$$

$$|P y_{i+1}| \leq |P y_i| + |y_i|, \quad i \geq m + 2,$$

whence

$$\sum_{i=m+1}^{n-1} (P y_i)^2 \leq (n - m - 1) \sum_{i=m}^{n-2} (n - i)(y_i)^2.$$

Thus

$$\sum_{i=m+1}^{n-1} \frac{h}{(n - m - \theta)^2} (P y_i)^2 \leq$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{h(n - m - 1)}{(n - m - \theta)^2} \sum_{i=m}^{n-2} (n - i)(y_i)^2 \leq \\ & \frac{h}{n - m - \theta} \sum_{i=m}^{n-2} (n - i)(y_i)^2 = \frac{h}{1 - \xi} \sum_{i=m}^{n-2} \rho_i (y_i)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 2 to the solution of problem (7) we come to

$$\|\nabla \tilde{z}\|^2 \leq c(\tilde{\psi}, G_h \tilde{z})_\omega.$$

Using Lemma 3 gives

$$\|\nabla \tilde{z}\| \leq c(\|\eta^{(1)}\|_{\omega_1^+ \times \omega_2} + \|\eta^{(2)}\|_{\omega_1 \times \omega_2^+} + \|\chi_{\bar{x}_2}\|_{\omega_2^+}). \quad (8)$$

For the error of the method, according to (6), we can write

$$\|\nabla z\| \leq \|\nabla \tilde{z}\| + c(\|\chi\|_{\omega_2} + \|\chi_{\bar{x}_2}\|_{\omega_2^+}),$$

which together with (8) gives

$$\|\nabla z\| \leq c(\|\eta^{(1)}\|_{\omega_1^+ \times \omega_2} + \|\eta^{(2)}\|_{\omega_1 \times \omega_2^+} + \|\chi\|_{\omega_2} + \|\chi_{\bar{x}_2}\|_{\omega_2^+}). \quad (9)$$

In order to estimate the convergence rate of finite-difference scheme (3), it is enough to estimate the norm of error functionals on right-hand side of (9). As a result, we arrive at the following

Theorem 4. *The finite difference scheme (3) converges, and for its convergence rate the estimate (1) holds.*

References:

- [1] A.A. Samarskii, R.D. Lazarov and V.L. Makarov, *Difference schemes for differential equations with generalized solutions*, Visshaya Shkola, Moscow, 1987 (in Russian).
- [2] R.D. Lazarov, V.L. Makarov, and W. Weinelt, On the convergence of difference schemes for the approximation of solutions $u \in W_2^m$ ($m > 0.5$) of elliptic equations with mixed derivatives, *Numer. Math.*, 44, 1984, pp. 223-232.
- [3] G. Berikelashvili, On a nonlocal boundary-value problem for two-dimensional elliptic equation, *Comput. Methods Appl. Math.* 3, 2003, No. 1, 35-44.
- [4] G. Berikelashvili, Construction and analysis of difference schemes for some elliptic problems, and consistence estimates of rate of convergence, *Mem. Differential Equations Math. Phys.*, 38, 2006, pp. 1-131.