

Real Time Medical Telemonitoring of da Vinci Surgical System

CARMEN AURORA BULUCEA¹

MARIUS CONSTANTIN POPESCU²

CORNELIA AIDA BULUCEA²

¹Faculty of General Medicine, Obstetrics and Gynecology Department
University of Medicine and Pharmacy of Craiova

²Faculty of Electromechanical and Environmental Engineering, University of Craiova
ROMANIA

abulucea@gmail.com, popescu.marius.c@gmail.com

Abstract. An important issue of medical world concerns the creation of systems for online medical parameters monitoring. The solution is to pervade into computing systems which have the capabilities of monitoring, data acquisition and data transfer from medical devices. We describe in this paper the use of integrated planning and simulation for robotic surgery. The various stages are illustrated by an application to cardiac surgery with the robot, coronary bypass using the left mammary artery. It is taken and used as alternative means of irrigation in order to remedy a blockage of the coronary inter-ventricular left. The two arms of the endoscope and the robot are introduced through trocars in the chest of the patient. The study aims to create a user-friendly interface software that enables the steering vector network analyzers vector via the Internet.

Key-Words: Biological parameters monitoring, Computing systems

1 Introduction

To reduce hospital expenditure sometimes it is reasonable to monitor patients at home rather than in hospital [1]. This study overviews the necessity of patients or elderly people to monitor constantly some biomedical parameters. A reason of use for automated data capture systems is a patient being monitored from home where they will be able to transfer their reading online without involving medical professionals. The medical measurement devices which are realized on basis of optoelectronics and microwave, and the adaptation and use of these devices or sensors in instrumentation systems and telecommunications are taken into account in this paper [2], [3]. To monitor patients at home it could be a sustainable and practical solution [1], by utilising existing medical devices and by using their connectivity together with appropriate software modules. It means that the developed software modules will allow building flexible systems for medical devices which are monitoring, measuring, recording, storing, processing and transmitting different human biological parameters [4], such as: blood pressure, oxygen saturation, body temperature, cardiac rhythm, respiratory rhythm, pH data, ECG channel, fetal heart rate and uterine contractions of labor.

With already over 210 devices in use throughout the United States, Europe, and Japan, Intuitive

Surgical is the leading company in the field of digital surgery with its da Vinci Surgical System. Approved in 2000 to perform advanced surgical techniques such as cutting and suturing, this system is the first operative surgical robotic system to be cleared by the FDA, giving it a first-mover advantage over its competitors. Though Intuitive Surgical has had to overcome many obstacles in order to dominate the digital surgery field, it is now a multimillion-dollar business that continues to grow.

2 System Overview

Making a one-centimeter keyhole incision to perform the operation, the surgeon is able to engage in minimally invasive surgery through this system (Fig.1). According to Ben Gong, Intuitive Surgical's vice president of finance, da Vinci reduces the average 2-3% infection probability to nearly zero.



Fig. 1 System Overview

There are four main components to da Vinci: the surgeon console, patient-side cart, EndoWrist Instruments, and Insite Vision System with high resolution 3D Endoscope and Image Processing Equipment (Fig. 2).




Fig. 2 da Vinci Surgical system in a general procedure setting

Surgeon Console. The surgeon is situated at this console several feet away from the patient operating table. The surgeon has his head tilted forward and his hands inside the system's master interface. The surgeon sits viewing a magnified three-dimensional image of the surgical field with a real-time progression of the instruments as he operates. The instrument controls enable the surgeon to move within a one cubic foot area of workspace (Fig. 3).



Fig. 3 Surgeon Console

Patient-side Cart. This component of the system contains the robotic arms that directly contact the patient. It consists of two or three instrument arms and one endoscope arm. The feedback as of today is limited to sensing tool-on-tool collision, so the surgeon needs to rely almost solely on the visual field when suturing or contacting soft tissue. As of 2003, Intuitive launched a fourth arm, costing

\$175,000, as a part of a new system installation or as an upgrade to an existing unit. It provides the advantages of being able to manipulate another instrument for complex procedures and removes the need for one operating room nurse (Fig. 4).



Fig. 4 Patient-side Cart

Detachable Instruments. The Endowrist detachable instruments allow the robotic arms to maneuver in ways that simulate fine human movements. Each instrument has its own function from suturing to clamping, and is switched from one to the other using quick-release levers on each robotic arm. The device memorizes the position of the robotic arm before the instrument is replaced so that the second one can be reset to the exact same position as the first. The instruments' abilities to rotate in full circles provide an advantage over non-robotic arms (Fig. 5). The seven degrees of freedom (meaning the number of independent movements the robot can perform) offers considerable choice in rotation and pivoting. Moreover, the surgeon is also able to control the amount of force applied, which varies from a fraction of an ounce to several pounds. The Intuitive Masters technology also has the ability to filter out hand tremors and scale movements. As a result, the surgeon's large hand movements can be translated into smaller ones by the robotic device. Carbon dioxide is usually pumped into the body cavity to make more room for the robotic arms to maneuver.




Fig. 5 Endowrist Instruments and Intuitive Masters

3-D Vision System. The camera unit or endoscope arm provides enhanced three-dimensional images. This high-resolution real-time magnification showing the inside the

patient allows the surgeon to have a considerable advantage over regular surgery. The system provides over a thousand frames of the instrument position per second and filters each image through a video processor that eliminates background noise (Fig. 6).



Fig. 6 Insite Vision and Navigator Camera Control

The endoscope is programmed to regulate the temperature of the endoscope tip automatically to prevent fogging during the operation. Unlike The Navigator Control, it also enables the surgeon to quickly switch views through the use of a simple foot pedal.

3 Market Information of Robot Surgical Systems

Just a few years ago, Intuitive Surgical was in the midst of a fierce legal battle with its competitor, Computer Motion. The series of events was offset by a lawsuit filed by Computer Motion for nine patent infringements. Intuitive Surgical then filed three lawsuits of its own and made a final blow by teaming with IBM to sue its competitor for infringing on its voice-recognition technology. Computer Motion lost the case for this integral component of all its devices including Zeus, its version of da Vinci. It faced a major problem since it would have to stop selling in the event that it could not receive a proper license from its competitor. On March 2003, Intuitive Surgical merged with its main competitor, ending a four-year legal power struggle that detracted from product advancement and funds. Intuitive Surgical paid \$150 million for Computer Motions and laid off around 90% of its employees following the merger. Intuitive now owns and will market Computer Motion's products (Zeus Surgical System, Hermes Control Center, Aesop Robotic Endoscope Positioner, and Socrates Telecollaboration System).

Table 1: Former computer motion systems that are now owned by intuitive surgical [7].

| Equipment | Costs | Company | Equipment Descriptions |
|--------------------------|-------------|--------------------|------------------------|
| da Vinci Surgical System | \$1 million | Intuitive Surgical | Robot-assistant, with |

| | | | |
|---|---------------------|-----------------|--|
| | | | arms to connect surgical instruments |
| Zeus Robot Surgical System | \$975,000 | Computer Motion | Robot-assistant, with arms to connect surgical instruments |
| Aesop 3000 | \$80,000 | Computer Motion | Voice-controlled endoscope-positioning robot |
| Hermes Control Center | Request price quota | Computer Motion | Centralized system used to network an intelligent OR |
| Socrates Robotic Telecollaboration System | Request price quota | Computer Motion | Allows shared control of Aesop 3000 from different locations |

3.1 Advantages and Disadvantages

The da Vinci Surgical System reduces hospital stays by about half, reducing hospital cost by about 33%. These fewer days in the intensive care unit are a result of less pain and quicker recovery. Though the size of the device is still not small enough for heart procedures in children, the minimally invasive nature of da Vinci does not leave a large surgical scar and still has some limited applications in children for the time being. Moreover, according to Intuitive Surgical, only 80,000 out of 230,000 new cases of prostate cancer undergo surgery because of the high risk invasive surgery carries, implying that more people may undergo surgery with this evolving technology. The main drawbacks to this technology are the steep learning curve and high cost of the device. Though Intuitive Surgical does provide a training program, it took surgeons about 12-18 patients before they felt comfortable performing the procedure. One of the greatest challenges facing surgeons who were training on this device was that they felt hindered by the loss of tactile, or haptic, sensation (ability to “feel” the tissue). The large floor-mounted patient-side cart limits the assistant surgeon’s access to the patient. However, there are also many who are unable to access the da Vinci based on the steep price. In a paper published by The American Journal of Surgery, 75% of surgeons claimed that they felt financially limited by any system that cost more than \$500,000. As of now,

surgery with the da Vinci Surgical System takes 40-50 minutes longer, but the FDA considered this a learning curve variable and expects time to improve with more use of the system.

3.2 Costs

Though Intuitive Surgical has faced some setbacks during its legal battles with Computer Motion, it has recovered quickly and has been growing at an unprecedented rate since the merger. The total sale for the first year of 2004 was \$138.8 million (a 51% increase from the previous year) with a total of \$60 million in revenue. This includes recurring revenue from instruments, disposable accessories, and services, which have also increased accordingly in response to the larger number of systems installed and greater usage in hospitals. In 2004 alone, 76 da Vinci Systems, each costing about \$1.5 million, were sold.

Table 2. Estimate of initial investment and cost savings per heart-valve surgery for da Vinci market price [8], [9].

| | |
|--|--|
| Maintenance/year Physician Training | \$1 million \$100,000 \$250,000 |
| Cost of one inpatient hospital day | \$2,000 |
| Reduced inpatient hospital days for heart procedures | 4.5 days |
| Cost saving per heart procedure due to reduced hospital stay | \$9,000 per heart valve |
| Extra procedure cost | \$2000 more per operation |
| Surgical assistance | \$175,000 for fourth arm (Compared to \$80,610 per year for extra OR nurse) |

Medical reimbursement by insurance companies is specific to each respective company. However, Medicare reimbursement is available for laparoscopic and thoracoscopic procedures since the da Vinci Surgical System has been FDA approved for commercial distribution in the United States.

Tabel 3. FDA Approval [10].

| Date | Procedure |
|---------------|---|
| April 2005 | Gynecological Laparoscopic Procedures |
| January 2003 | Totally Endoscopic Atrial Septal Defect (ASD) |
| November 2002 | Mitral valve repair surgery |
| November 2002 | Thoracoscopically-Assisted Cardiotomy Procedures, K022574 |

| | |
|------------|--|
| July 2000 | General Laparoscopic Surgery (gallbladder, gastroesophageal reflux and gynecologic surgery), K990144 |
| March 2001 | Thoracoscopic Surgery (IMA Harvesting for Coronary Artery Bypass and Lung surgery), K002489 |
| May 2001 | Laparoscopic Radical Prostatectomy, K011002 |
| July 1997 | Surgical Assistance, K965001 |

3.3 Fail Safe Mechanisms

Safety concerns remain the center of focus for Intuitive Surgical. To start the procedure, the surgeon's head must be placed in the viewer. Otherwise, the system will lock and remain motionless until it detects the presence of the surgeon's head once again. During the procedure, a zero-point movement system prevents the robotic arms from pivoting above or at the one-inch entry incision, which could otherwise be unintentionally torn. Included in the power source is a backup battery that allows the system to run for twenty minutes, giving the hospital enough time to reestablish power. Each instrument contains a chip that prevents the use of any instrument other than those made by Intuitive Surgical. These chips also store information about each instrument for more precise control and keep track of instrument usage to determine when it must be replaced.

Besides the cost, the da Vinci Surgical System still has many obstacles that it must overcome before it can be fully integrated into the existing healthcare system. From the lack of tactile feedback to the large size, the current da Vinci Surgical System is merely a rough preview of what is to come. More improvements in size, tactile sensation, cost, and telesurgery are expected for the future.

4 Materials and Methods

The chain requires cooperation between the radiologist, cardiologist, surgeons and researchers in robotics, and computer images [10]. The first step is to provide a model of the patient and the robot suitable for computer use. Then, in an interactive manner, the surgeon will describe these models on the aims of the intervention in order to translate them into mathematical criteria. Finally, an optimization of these criteria will lead to the location "ideal" points of incision and the position of the robot. These results, provided and verified automatically, are validated by the surgeon in virtually repeating the intervention. All stages of the approach detailed

below are integrated into one system, accessible through a single interface.

4.1 Modelling

The first step is to represent the working environment, since the positioning of the robot, and therefore the success of the intervention, depend on it. This is to provide geometric models (which are suitable for computer use) of the organs of the patient and the robot, and their geometric relationship to the operating theater.

Patient data. An acquisition scanner with injection of a contrast product, synchronized with the electrocardiogram, provides a comprehensive view of the chest of the patient. The data are processed with methods of image analysis to extract surface models corresponding to different anatomical entities involved: heart, chest, left mammary artery. Together, the left coronary tree can be modeled in 3D from a consideration of coronary angiography and may be subsequently merged with the surface model of the heart to enrich the procedure [10].

Modeling of the robot. A sufficiently realistic reproduction of the movements of the robot is obtained using the geometric model of the support and tools added some features, like the joints of the robot stops. In addition, an algorithm for detecting collisions provides contact between the manipulator arms.

4.2 Choice of Surgical Site

In order to optimize the location of incisions, the surgeon says on anatomical models reconstructed on patient the areas over which it wishes to operate, and a preferred direction. These target areas are represented in the simulator by cones indicating the direction and surface operating privileged as shown in Fig. 7a. They then delineate the parts on which cuts can be made. In the case of CABG, this area is limited to the intercostal spaces (see Fig. 7b), where each point is calculated normal to the surface of the skin.




Fig.7 a) The surgeon selected the areas where he wants to intervene with a suitable interface; b) the intercostal spaces are the potential entry points of the instruments

Location of incisions. Among the points of Fig. 7b, some will be selected as candidates for an incision, they are called *admissible points*. These items should check the following properties:

- the distance between the point of entry and target point (d in Fig.8a) must be understood within a typical manipulator arm;
- the angle between the line joining a point target candidate and the normal to the target (α in Fig.8a) is as small as possible to facilitate the surgical gesture;
- the angle between the line joining a point target candidate and the normal to the skin (in Fig. 8a) must be small enough not to damage the ribs.
- no obstacle should impede access to the targets, as is the case in Fig.8b. The method used to detect collisions based on the reference [8].

Among the eligible, the triplet of points that offer the best dexterity and comfort to the surgeon is sought. This triplet should under no circumstances lead to a crash or lock up. To do this, each eligible item is assigned a measure of dexterity, which corresponds to the angle α in Fig. 8a, valid for both tools and for manipulating the endoscope. The optimum location of the endoscope is first determined on this basis. The triplet is then completed by the pair of points allowable better dexterity that respects the symmetry of right and left arms of the surgeon.




Fig. 8 a) Different measures used to define points eligible; b) one of the tests of eligibility

Positioning of the robot. The problem of positioning of the robot is to find an initial configuration of the manipulator arms that can reach all the targets and to perform all the necessary paths to the operating performance of the gesture, without causing collisions (in the arms or with another anatomical entity such as the shoulder). This position of course should match the location of the previous section. To do so, these constraints are formalized and associated with a cost function, the cost of a collision is infinite. Some constraints are harder to express than others, for instance, collisions with the operating environment, which varies depending on the rooms. Then, this function is applied to randomly selected configurations in the space of configurations of the robot. A gradient

algorithm is used for hidden refine the selection of configurations and lead to minimizing the cost function and therefore corresponds to the highest position of the robot depending on the data. This method is described in more detail in [6].

4.3 Simulation

The ultimate validation of the proposed positioning is obtained by simulation (see Fig.9). With a navigation device in three dimensional (3D mouse or master console connected to the robot simulator), the user can move the virtual robot in the anatomical models of the patient. During this phase, the surgeon may also experiment with different operating strategies and "repeat" his gesture is familiar with the anatomy of the patient and the robotic tools. This feature will also be very useful for learning gesture.




Fig. 9 The interface in simulation mode, the illustration shows the external view and the endoscopic view, only available during the intervention

4.4 Registration and Monitoring

At surgery, the robot must be positioned relative to the patient as suggested by the results of planning. This registration is done by matching points identified on the patient and in the simulator. With an interface for connecting to the robot, we can use the robot to point these areas and determine their exact position (see [6]). We can place the patient in relation to the robot and the position in accordance with planned results. The accuracy of this shift is equal to the precision of position sensors of the robot. Consider a margin of error when planning allows to proceed safely. In addition, the statement is recorded and can be replayed later in the simulator. This recording is used for evaluation and archiving of the intervention.

4.5 Interface

All steps described above are integrated into one system with a single user interface (see Fig.9), which will be used by both the radiologist, the cardiologist and the surgeon [2]. This unification of tools

simplifies their use and gives more rigorous approach.

5 Results and Discussion

Our approach has been tested on a plastic phantom torso and heart, previously scanned, with the coronary arteries and mammary represented by a radio-opaque material. Types of locations were chosen on the heart and breast to identify targets of intervention and get the best positioning of the robot. This position was automatically checked and validated successfully in simulation. Then the key stages of the intervention, the dissection of the mammary artery and the coronary bypass, were performed by the surgeon from the master console on the plastic model. The evaluation of positioning by the surgeon was very satisfactory, and no collision or other problems were observed. The Figs. 10 and 11 show the location of incisions and the corresponding positioning of the robot in virtual mode and the ghost. These results are very close to those obtained by an empirical investment made by the surgeon. However, we must not forget that in the case of this experiment, the surgeon is working on a ghost transparent and pliable, which he could test and adapt the positioning of the robot. This is not the case with a patient, because the goal will be to do that three incisions. We must therefore ensure that the procedure will be possible through these incisions without the robot is in collision or stop.




Fig.10 : Result of the port location and positioning of the robot



Fig. 11 Application of the results of planning ghost

Although dexterity obtained is very encouraging, it should be noted that this experiment was performed on a simple phantom (chest or shoulder without

diaphragm). It remains to be complexify the ghost to take into account possible limitations in the choice of eligible points, which makes optimization more difficult. The ghost is being improved to become more realistic, allowing for more complete validation of our approach. It is also important to note that the shift remains to refine and simplify.

6 Conclusions

The primary objective of this study is to realize a link between Computer Science and Medical Equipments, in order to establish a reliable health care environment. The main purpose is to make possible to connect the medical measurement and monitoring devices to any type of personal computer and retrieve the measurements directly from devices.

The main distinguishing aspects of the device are a combination of self-training and mentoring strengthened introduction of a course of long-term - during the patient's education and training, consisting of modules for distance education easy opening a training system by issuing modules Independent.

Three other objectives are covered through this distance learning: the strengthening of educational exchange within the network R2A by pooling various modules, the creation of partnership school / company around research and development projects carried by enterprises, development of the offer continuing education in Medicine Faculties.

The method described is part of robotic surgical procedures minimally invasive. Today, these interventions are applied only the most adapted to the structure of the robot and the skills of surgeons. One could highlight that this method offers a systematization of planning, integrating expertise multidisciplinary radiology, cardiology, surgery, robotics and image-vision. It also allows the simulation and the archiving of interventions and serves as a learning tool and validation preoperatively. One could hope that this work will ultimately generalize the system to be more sensitive and reduce the rate of conversion to conventional surgery procedures that are blocked because of a bad investment or a collision. This integrated system should also allow to test new types of procedures.

References

[1] Djumanov D., Dabnichki P., Arezina R., *Pervasive computing systems for medical monitoring*, 1st WSEAS International Conference on BIOMEDICAL ELECTRONICS and BIOMEDICAL INFORMATICS, Rhodes, Greece, August 20-22, 2008, pp.168-171.

[2] Popescu M.C., *Utilisation des ordinateurs*, Editura Universitaria, Craiova, 2004.

[3] Costin H., Rotariu C., Morancea O., *Complex Telemonitoring of Patients and Elderly People for Telemedical and Homecare Services*, 1st WSEAS Int. Conference on BIOMEDICAL ELECTRONICS and BIOMEDICAL INFORMATICS, Rhodes, Greece, August 20-22, 2008, pp.183-187.

[4] European Commission, IST Directorate General, *Resource Book of Helth Projects, Sixth Research and Development Farmework Programme*, 2006

[5] M.C. Popescu, *Transmission infrarouge par fibre optique*, Rapport de recherche entre Institut Supérieure de Technique Avance Saint Etienne et Faculté Jean Monnet, France, 12 june, 2002.

[6] Popescu M.C., *Mesures à l'admittancemètre*, Rapport de recherche entre Institutée Supérieure de Technique Avance Saint Etienne et Faculté Jean Monnet, France, 9 pg., 28 june, 2002.

[7] *Journal of Healthcare Management* 46:4 July/August 2003.

[8] *Journal of Healthcare Management* 46:4 July/August 2003 Salary Survey 2004.

[9] *Nursing Management* 35: 7 July 2004 Pages 28-32 American Heart Association's Scientific Sessions 2002

[10] www.intuitivesurgical.com/news_room/fda_clear.html

[11] Bulucea C.A., Popescu M.C., Bulucea C.A., Patrascu A., Manolea Gh., *Real Time Medical Telemonitoring of Sustainable Health Care Measuring Devices*, Proceedings of the 8th WSEAS Int. Conf. on Artificial Intelligence, Knowledge Engineering & Data Bases (AIKED '09), Cambridge, UK, pp.202-207, February 21-23, 2009 ISSN: 1790-5109 202, ISBN: 978-960-474-051-2.

[12] Popescu M.C., Bulacu T., *Industrial and Mobile Robots Tutorial*, 16th Int. Workshop Robotics in Alpe-Adria-Danube Region (RAAD'09), Brasov, may 25-27, 2009.

[13] Popescu M.C., Petrisor A., *Roboți- Sisteme de control pentru roboti*, Editura Universitaria Craiova, pp. 111-139, 2009.