Processing Transducers’ Signals when Determining Mathematical Models of Force and Torque in Drilling 2MoNiCr175 Steel

MIHAIELA ILIESCU, AURELIAN VLASE, SIME MATURIN
Manufacturing Department
“POLITEHNICA” University of Bucharest
Splaiul Independentei no. 313 Street, District no. 6, zip code 060042
ROMANIA

jomi@clicknet.ro; bvlase@yahoo.com

Abstract: - Whenever experimental research involves some devices for measuring certain characteristics, there are used special elements, such as transducers, and high importance has to be given when processing their “exit” signals. This article points out the importance of resistive transducers’ signals processing when new mathematical models of axial force and torque are determined, in drilling 2MoNiCr175 stainless steel.
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1 Introduction
Stainless steels have been used for the last 100 years because of their performances – specially, when mechanical resistance, high temperature stability and good various chemical agents corrosion protection are need. Another reason, for their extensive use is their aspect – always shining, not scratched and good looking [4].

Nowadays research is directed toward stainless steels constitutive elements, as to get better performances in quality and machinability but, anyway, economical efficiency is always on target.

Once obtained, many times, stainless steels parts need machining, such as turning, drilling, milling, etc. Machining these materials is difficult because of some facts, such as: material is hardening while cutting chip is forming; low thermal conductivity and, thus, temperature into the cutting zone “gets” high; intensive cutting tool wear is going on; relevant values of cutting forces can be observed.

As mentioned above, and as presented by specific literature, there are a lot of factors involved in appreciating one material’s machinability (cutting tool wear, optimum cutting speed value, surface roughness, etc.), among which, an important one being the values of cutting force and/or cutting torque. Research has been done so as to get minimum of their values, while cutting [2].

In order to measure forces and moments [4], [5], while machining, there have to be used special devices, equipped with elements sensitive to loading, meaning, with transducers – resistive, inductive, capacitive, etc., ones. A correct “translation” of their “exit signals” into real force / torque values involves appropriate signal processing.

There are many cases when stainless steel parts’ configuration involves wholes – small or, large in diameter. A high percentage of these are obtained by drilling so, determining real values of cutting force (mainly, its axial component), as well as of cutting torque represent important step in studying material’s machinability.

This paper points out how signals processing was carried on, so as to get fitted mathematical models of axial cutting force and torque, in drilling 2MoNiCr175 stainless steel – a material widely used in real life applications.

2 Research Methodology
When experimentally determining mathematical relationship of a machining process specific variables, it has to be mentioned which of them are the independent and which are the dependent ones [1]. Then, the appropriate design of experiments must be considered and, finally, the corresponding regression models should be determined.

The mathematical relations of axial cutting force and torque in drilling stainless steel materials, presented by most of the articles and books dealing with this problem [4], are as:

\[ F = C_F D^{x_F} a_f^{y_F} \text{ [N]} \]  
\[ M = C_M D^{x_M} a_f^{y_M} \text{ [Nm]} \]

where: F is the axial component of the cutting force; M – drilling torque; D – drilling tool diameter, [mm]; \( a_f \) – cutting feed, of the drilling tool, [mm/rot]; \( x_F, y_F, x_M, y_M \) - polytropic exponents; \( C_F, C_M \) - constants.
Once the values of $C_F$, $C_M$, $x_F$, $y_F$, $x_M$, $y_M$ settled, when further experimenting, there has been noticed that same values of cutting tool’s diameter, D, and cutting feed, $a_f$, but, various cutting speed values, $v$, involve different axial force and torque values [3]. So, it should be supposed that the parameter not mentioned by relations (1) and (2), meaning cutting speed, should play an important role in prediction of drilling axial force and torque values.

Thus, another mathematical relationship of the axial cutting force and, respectively, of the torque, is recommended, where one more independent variable appear, meaning the cutting speed $v$ [mm/rot]. So, the new, original proposed mathematical models are:

$$F = C_F D^{x_F} a_f^{y_F} v^{z_F} \quad [N] \quad (3)$$

$$M = C_M D^{x_M} a_f^{y_M} v^{z_M} \quad [Nm] \quad (4)$$

where: $v$ is peripheral rotational speed of the drilling tool, usually mentioned as cutting speed [m/min];

$z_F$, $z_M$ - polytropic exponents;

For obtaining the constants and polytropic exponents’ values, relations (3) and (4) must be linear ones, so, by logarithm they turn into linear equations system:

$$\lg F = \lg C_F + x_F \lg D + y_F \lg a_f + z_F \lg v \quad (5)$$

$$\lg M = \lg C_M + x_M \lg D + y_M \lg a_f + z_M \lg v \quad (6)$$

### 3 Experiments

Experiments were carried out under specially designed conditions, most important of them being stated below.

♦ Drilling machine tool was the one coded with GC032DM3, its electric motor being of 3,5 kW power. Machine plateau dimensions were 420 × 480 (mm) and the main spindle had a no. 4 Morse cone. Rotational speed range values of the main spindle were 70 ÷ 1400 [rot/min], with 12 geometrical ratio levels variation and possible cutting feed values of 0.12; 0.20; 0.32; 0.50 [mm/rot].

♦ While drilling, there has been used a cooling / lubricating fluid, 20% P emulsion.

♦ Cutting tools were helix drilling ones, made of Rp5 material, with Rockwell hardness, HRC 62. Edge angle was $2\gamma = 140^\circ$ and the diameter' values considered were of 8 and 12 mm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical Structure</th>
<th>C [%]</th>
<th>Mo [%]</th>
<th>Ni [%]</th>
<th>Cr [%]</th>
<th>Mn [%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>4.30</td>
<td>12.60</td>
<td>17.60</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si [%]</td>
<td>Ti [%]</td>
<td>S [%]</td>
<td>P [%]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mechanical Characteristics</th>
<th>Tensile Strength, $R_m$ [N/mm²]</th>
<th>Flow Strength, $R_{0.2}$ [N/mm²]</th>
<th>Relative Elongation $\delta$ [%]</th>
<th>Hardness, HB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>661</td>
<td>482</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>174</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

♦ Experimental conditions were according to R1370/2-69 Standard, type A.

♦ Material was 20MoCr130, its chemical structure and mechanical characteristics being presented in Table 1 and, respectively, in Table 2

♦ Measuring axial cutting forces and torques, in drilling, was possible due to the fact that there has been designed and manufactured a special rotational device – see figure 1.

![Fig.1 Schematic representation of the device for measuring axial force and torque, in drilling](image-url)
It should be mentioned that device’s most important element is the elastic sleeve, on which there are attached four resistive transducers, each inclined by 45° with respect to horizontal and vertical axes.

The transducers type was, as follows:
- for axial force measuring - KM120, of 120 Ω resistance and 2.07 constant, half bridge connected, R2/4;
- for torque measuring - SM120, of 120 Ω resistance and 1.91 constant, complete bridge connected, R2/4.

♦ “Exit” cables of the device were connected to a IEMI type electronic bridge (N2300.14), succeeded by an amplifier (N2314) and data printing unit (N2322).

♦ Better and more precisely experimental results were obtained by using a data acquisition system (AT-MIO-16L-9) with ± 10 V measuring interval and 12 bits resolution. Its measuring precision was calculated by relation (7):

\[
\text{precision} = \frac{\text{amplitude of measuring field}}{2^\text{resolution}}
\]

\[
= \frac{20}{2^{12}} = 4.88 \text{ mV}
\]  

♦ LabVIEW graphical programming software enabled complete and accurate processing of a large number of experimental data, it’s customized scheme being presented in figure 2.

An image of the experimental stand is shown by figure 3, while the drilling force’s components value and variation can be noticed in a “computer screen” print – see figure 4.

Before experimenting, all the system (device, data acquisition, LabVIEW program) has been calibrated. So, experimental values obtained for the axial force and torque, in drilling 2MoNiCr175 stainless steel are shown in Table 3.
There were carried out researches on axial cutting force and torque in drilling 2MoCrNi175 stainless steel. It can be stated that, for the obtained mathematical models, the higher influence on the dependent variable (F, or M) was that of cutting tool diameter, D, while, the lowest influence, was that of cutting speed, v, but, it was a reverse one. Both mathematical models, for axial drilling force and drilling torque, are, somewhat, correlated, meaning it resulted the same, similar, influences of the independent variables studied (D, s, v).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exp. No.</th>
<th>Cutting Tool Diameter, D [mm]</th>
<th>Cutting Feed, (a_f) [mm/rot]</th>
<th>Rotational Speed, (n) [rot/min]</th>
<th>Cutting Speed, (v) [m/min]</th>
<th>Axial Force and Torque Exit Channels Values</th>
<th>Real Axial Force and Torque Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>560</td>
<td>14.07</td>
<td>12.71</td>
<td>1652</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>560</td>
<td>14.07</td>
<td>16.82</td>
<td>2187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>22.61</td>
<td>10.61</td>
<td>1379</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>560</td>
<td>21.10</td>
<td>17.71</td>
<td>2428</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

where: \(n\) is the rotational speed of the machine tool’s main spindle

\[ n = \frac{1000v}{\pi D} \quad \text{[rot/min]} \]

4. Mathematical Models
Considering the experimental results, and the research methodology mentioned [4], equation systems necessary for determining the proposed mathematical models are:

\[
\begin{align*}
\lg 1652 &= \lg C_F + x_F \lg 8 + y_F \lg 0.12 + z_v \lg 14.07 \\
\lg 2187 &= \lg C_F + x_F \lg 8 + y_F \lg 0.20 + z_v \lg 14.07 \\
\lg 1379 &= \lg C_F + x_F \lg 8 + y_F \lg 0.12 + z_v \lg 22.61 \\
\lg 2428 &= \lg C_F + x_F \lg 12 + y_F \lg 0.12 + z_v \lg 21.10 \\
\end{align*}
\]

(8)

Solving the equations systems, force and torque models obtained are:

\[
\begin{align*}
F &= 911.40 \cdot D^{1.33} a_f^{0.55} v^{-0.38} \quad \text{[N]} \quad (10) \\
M &= 0.495 \cdot D^{1.95} a_f^{0.64} v^{-0.27} \quad \text{[Nm]} \quad (11)
\end{align*}
\]

4 Conclusion
Stainless steels represent very important materials, with special characteristics that require lot of research. One aspect of interest is their machinability so, the values, as well as dependence relations of cutting forces and torques are worth to be determined. When the above mentioned elements have to be studied, special devices are designed and, a key role in obtaining good results is that of appropriate signals processing.

So, when determining mathematical models of cutting force and torque, a very important attention has to be paid in designing the measuring device, specially, to transducers type, position and coupling.

There were carried out researches on axial cutting force and torque in drilling 2MoCrNi175 stainless steel. It can be stated that, for the obtained mathematical models, the higher influence on the dependent variable (F, or M) was that of cutting tool diameter, D, while, the lowest influence, was that of cutting speed, v, but, it was a reverse one. Both mathematical models, for axial drilling force and drilling torque, are, somewhat, correlated, meaning it resulted the same, similar, influences of the independent variables studied (D, s, v).

Further research should be developed so as, to improve the experiment design, the regression analysis and, even, implement the obtained results into an automated optimization system of the manufacturing process.
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