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#### Abstract

Over the last decade has been a lot of interest in new types of computable machines, due partly over the fears on conventional, classical, computing. Research by leading physicists, mathematicians and computer scientists has shown quantum computers could be the future, as they may be able to solve some computationally difficult problems much faster than is currently possible on a classical computer. David Deutsch has already created a working model for a quantum computer, the quantum Turing machine or QTM Deutsch [1985]. This has been proved as a model for computation on a quantum and has allowed algorithms to be developed. Deutsch-Josza's algorithm, as all known quantum algorithms that provide exponential speedup over classical systems do, answers a question about a global property of a solution space. This paper describes the generalization of the Deutsch-Josza algorithm to $n d$-dimensional quantum systems or qudits.
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## 1 Introduction

A qudit is a general state in a d-dimensional Hilbert space $H_{d}$ i. e. $|\Psi\rangle=\sum_{m=0}^{d-1} c_{m}|m\rangle$, which reduces to $|\Psi\rangle=c_{0}|0\rangle+c_{1}|1\rangle$, for the qubit case space.

An $n$-qudit is a state in the tensor product Hilbert space. The computational basis of $H$ is the orthonormal basis given by the $d^{n}$ classical $n$-qudits:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|m_{1}\right\rangle \otimes\left|m_{2}\right\rangle \otimes \ldots \otimes\left|m_{n}\right\rangle=\left|m_{1} m_{2} \ldots m_{n}\right\rangle \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0 \leq m_{n} \leq d-1$.
The general state in $H$ is a superposition:

$$
|\Psi\rangle=\sum \Psi_{m_{1} m_{2} . . m_{n}}\left|m_{1} m_{2} \ldots m_{n}\right\rangle
$$

where $\|\Psi\|^{2}=\sum\left|\Psi_{m_{1} m_{2} . . m_{n}}\right|^{2}=1$.
We say $\Psi$ is decomposable when it can be written as a tensor product of qudits:
$\left|m_{1} m_{2} \ldots m_{n}\right\rangle=\left|m_{1}\right\rangle \otimes\left|m_{2}\right\rangle \otimes \ldots \otimes\left|m_{n}\right\rangle=\bigotimes_{i=0}^{n}\left|m_{i}\right\rangle=|m\rangle$
where $\left|m_{i}\right\rangle$ is a general state in a d-dimesional Hilbert space for one qudit.

### 1.1 The Deutsch-Jozsa problem for $\mathbf{n}$-qudits

The algorithm Deutsch-Josza are generalize Deutsch algorithm and the function is: $f:\{0,1, \ldots, d-$ $1\}^{n} \longrightarrow\{0,1, \ldots, d-1\}$.

Taking matters the fact that exist $n$-qudits as input data, we put a global problems if the function $f(x)$ is constant or balanced. If the function will be balanced, then mean that the entire exit will be 0 for exactly half of the inputs.

Classic speacking that represent the evaluation of the function $f(x)$ for much more that half of inputs, because we must see with certitude when the function is balanced and when is constant.


Figure 1: Quantum circuit to solve the Deutsch-Jozsa problem for n -qudits

Let us analyze this circuit now.

1. First we need to apply Hadamard gates $H$, to register with $n$ qudits. We can now apply the Hadamard operator to each qudit of the product state:

$$
\begin{align*}
& H^{\otimes n}|m\rangle=H^{\otimes n}\left(\left|m_{1}\right\rangle \otimes\left|m_{2}\right\rangle \otimes \ldots \otimes\left|m_{n}\right\rangle\right)= \\
& =H^{\otimes n}\left|m_{1}\right\rangle \otimes H^{\otimes n}\left|m_{2}\right\rangle \otimes \ldots \otimes H^{\otimes n}\left|m_{n}\right\rangle \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

In general, the Hadamard operator acting on a single qudit of dimension $d$ is defined as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H|x\rangle=\frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \sum_{y=0}^{d-1}(-1)^{x \cdot y}|y\rangle \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, we generalize the latter to $H^{\otimes n}$ the notation pays off since the above form can immediately be generalized by summing over all possible combinations of qudit basis states, i.e., over all $n$-qudit states

$$
H^{\otimes n}|x\rangle=\frac{1}{\sqrt{d^{n}}} \sum_{i=0}^{n} \sum_{y_{i}=0}^{d-1}(-1)^{x_{i} \cdot y_{i}}\left|y_{i}\right\rangle
$$

where

$$
x_{i} \cdot y_{i}=x_{1} y_{1}+x_{2} y_{2}+\ldots+x_{n} y_{n}
$$

For register with $n$ qudits, the equation (3) become:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{d^{n}}} \sum^{n} \sum_{y_{1}=0}^{d-1}(-1)^{x_{1} \cdot y_{1}}\left|y_{1}\right\rangle\right) \otimes \\
\otimes\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{d^{n}}} \sum^{n} \sum_{y_{2}=0}^{d-1}(-1)^{x_{2} \cdot y_{2}}\left|y_{2}\right\rangle\right) \otimes \\
\ldots \otimes\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{d^{n}}} \sum^{n} \sum_{y_{n}=0}^{d-1}(-1)^{x_{n} y_{n}}\left|y_{n}\right\rangle\right)= \\
=\frac{1}{\sqrt{d^{n^{2}}}} \sum^{n}\left[\left(\sum_{y_{1}=0}^{d-1}(-1)^{x_{1} \cdot y_{1}}\left|y_{1}\right\rangle\right) \otimes\right. \\
\otimes\left(\sum_{y_{2}=0}^{d-1}(-1)^{x_{2} \cdot y_{2}}\left|y_{2}\right\rangle\right) \otimes \\
\left.\quad \ldots \otimes\left(\sum_{y_{n}=0}^{d-1}(-1)^{x_{n} y_{n}}\left|y_{n}\right\rangle\right)\right]= \\
=\frac{1}{\sqrt{d^{n^{2}}} \sum_{i=0}^{n}\left(\bigotimes_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{y_{i}=0}^{d-1}(-1)^{x_{i} \cdot y_{i}}\left|y_{i}\right\rangle\right)=} \\
=\frac{n-1}{\otimes}\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{d^{n}}} \sum_{i=0}^{n} \sum_{y_{i}=0}^{d-1}(-1)^{x_{i} \cdot y_{i}}\left|y_{i}\right\rangle\right]=\left|\Psi_{1}\right\rangle
\end{gathered}
$$

More generally, given $|\Psi\rangle=\underset{\substack{\otimes \\ i=0}}{n-1}\left|\Psi_{i}\right\rangle$, where each $\left|\Psi_{i}\right\rangle \in H_{d}$, the state $H^{\otimes n}|\Psi\rangle$ can be computed in linear time by:

$$
H^{\otimes n}|\Psi\rangle=\stackrel{n-1}{i=0} H\left|\Psi_{i}\right\rangle
$$

2. In next step of the Deutsch-Josza algorithm, we should evaluate the $U_{f}$ operator effect. The operation of $U_{f}$ gate is completely defined by its action on the computational basis for each qudit:

$$
|x\rangle|y\rangle \xrightarrow{U_{f}}|x\rangle|y \oplus f(x)\rangle
$$

where $|x\rangle$ and $|y\rangle \in\{|0\rangle,|1\rangle, \ldots,|d-1\rangle\}$ denote the state of control and auxiliary qudits.

Using $U_{f}$ operator, we now transform the $n$ qudits of the upper lines and 1-qudit of the lower line, as:


$$
\begin{gathered}
{\left[\bigotimes_{i=0}^{n-1}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{d^{n}}} \sum_{i=0}^{n} \sum_{x_{i}=0}^{d-1}(-1)^{f(x)}(-1)^{z_{i} \cdot x_{i}}\left|x_{i}\right\rangle\right)\right]} \\
\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \sum_{y=0}^{d-1}(-1)^{t \cdot y}|y\rangle\right)=\left[\bigotimes_{i=0}^{n-1}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{d^{n}}} \sum_{i=0}^{n} \sum_{x_{i}=0}^{d-1}(-1)^{f(x)+x_{i} \cdot z_{i}}\left|x_{i}\right\rangle\right)\right] \\
\cdot\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \sum_{y=0}^{d-1}(-1)^{y \cdot t}|y\rangle\right]=\left|\Psi_{2}\right\rangle
\end{gathered}
$$

3. Finally we apply another $H^{\otimes n}$ transform to obtain:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|\Psi_{3}\right\rangle=\sum_{i=0}^{n}\left[\stackrel{n-1}{\otimes}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{d^{n}}} \sum_{i=0}^{n} \sum_{x_{i}=0}^{d-1}(-1)^{f\left(x_{i}\right)+x_{i} \cdot z_{i}}\left|x_{i}\right\rangle\right)\right] \\
\cdot\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \sum_{y=0}^{d-1}(-1)^{y \cdot t}|y\rangle\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

We measure the probability amplitude of $x_{i}=$ $\left|m_{i}\right\rangle^{\otimes n}$.

For constant $f\left(x_{i}\right)$, the sum over $x_{i}$ is independent of $x_{i}$ and $x_{i} \cdot z_{i}$ must also be equal to zero and hence $(-1)^{x_{i} \cdot z_{i}+f\left(x_{i}\right)}$ is either -1 or +1 for all values of $x_{i}$, where -1 holds for $f\left(x_{i}\right)=1$ and 1 holds for $f\left(x_{i}\right)=0$.

In this case the amplitude for $x_{i}=\left|m_{i}\right\rangle^{\otimes n}$ is:

$$
\stackrel{n}{\otimes}\left( \pm \sum_{x_{i}=0}^{d-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{d^{n}}}\right)= \pm 1
$$

since $\left|\Psi_{3}\right\rangle$ is normalized to 1 and the amplitude of $x_{i}=\left|m_{i}\right\rangle^{\otimes n}$ already gives probability 1 , there can be no other component in $\left|\Psi_{3}\right\rangle$, all other amplitudes must be zero. Hence when we measure the first $n$ qudits in the query register, we will obtain a zero $\left(\left|0_{i}\right\rangle^{\otimes n}\right.$.

If $f(x)$ is balanced then $(-1)^{x_{i} \cdot z_{i}+f\left(x_{i}\right)}$ will be +1 for some values of $x_{i}$ and -1 for other values of $x_{i}$. The amplitude of the all states $x_{i}=\left|m_{i}\right\rangle^{\otimes n}$ is then:

$$
\stackrel{n}{\otimes}\left(+\sum_{x_{i_{1}}=0}^{d-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{d^{n}}}-\sum_{x_{i_{2}}=0}^{d-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{d^{n}}}\right)=0
$$

where $x_{i_{1}}$ is the set of $x_{i}$ 's such that the function $f\left(x_{i}\right)$ has a plus sign and $x_{i_{2}}$ is the set of $x_{i}$ 's where $f\left(x_{i}\right)$ has a minus sign.

We say that $f$ has a balanced parity when an even value for exactly half of $x_{i}$ and a odd value for the other half.

## 2 Conclusion

The above quantum circuit resolves deterministically the Deutsch-Jozsa problem performing a single application of $U_{f}$, while for the classical case it is necessary (in the worst case) $d^{n+1}+1$ applications of $f$ to assure that $f$ is constant or balanced ( $f$ must be calculated with different entrances until finding two different values or until calculating the half plus one of the values). Because the complexity of an algorithm is measured by the complexity of the worst case, the deterministic classical solution to determine if $f$ is constant or balanced has an exponential complexity, while the quantum algorithm to solve the same problem has a polynomial complexity.
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