

Conservation of a Wetland Near a City: A Case Study

MAISARAH ALI¹

AMNAH SHURFA BINTI MOHAMMED SHUKRY¹

NOOR SHAKILAH BT MOHD RADZI¹

KAMARUZAMAN JUSOFF²

¹Kulliyah of Architecture and Environmental Design

International Islamic University Malaysia

Jalan Gombak, 53100 Kuala Lumpur

²Faculty of Forestry

Universiti Putra Malaysia

UPM 43400 Serdang Selangor

MALAYSIA

maisarah@iiu.edu.my

kamaruz@aeroscan.com.my <http://www.upm.edu.my>

Abstract: - Wetlands provide an important ecological function that contributes to watershed functions, most notably in pollutant removal, flood attenuation, groundwater recharge and discharge, shoreline protection, and wildlife habitat. However, despite of the many watershed services wetlands provide, the pressure from the development of a city is great. This research is carried out to identify the threats that will affect wetlands and the surrounding area undergoing development by using several methodologies, namely observations, photograph analysis and interviews. The area chosen for the study is a wetland in the township of Kota Damansara, a suburb of Kuala Lumpur, the commercial city of Malaysia. The undergoing development of the area, reclaiming 17.66 acres of the total 45.89 acres water retention lake to build 6 blocks of apartment and commercial units will create adverse impacts to the area down stream. Moreover, it is believed that the collapse of a bund in the wetland in 2006 had compounded towards the massive flood situation in other cities located down stream. This event more or less provides an attestation to the function and the role of this wetland and the impacts that may occur if it were to undergo any changes. There is a need to compromise between conservation and development as conservation of wetlands is of great significance in preventing flooding.

Key-Words: - wetland, conservation, development, flood, wildlife habitat, watershed

1 Introduction

Many wetlands have become degraded and depressingly for some, they are still being degraded by people who should know better. Despite the hundred lessons that should have been learnt across the world, people are still slow in learning the importance of the wetlands and their long-term value. The principle of sustainable development of these wetlands is crucial and essential. Too often, water is treated as a free good for use and is misused as a rubbish disposal area. Everywhere,

somebody ends up paying for this, and it is often our children and grandchildren who will pay the cost.

In demonstrating the importance of wetlands and the role it plays in preventing hazards, the study area chosen is a pond functioning as a retention area in the township of Kota Damansara, Selangor, Malaysia.

2 Research Problem

Public and political awareness of wetland values continues to increase, but sectoral

organization and responsibilities for wetland management is still lagging behind. Underlying causes includes a lack of understanding of wetland hydrology and ecology by stakeholders and poor coordination of the many different agencies responsible for wetland management. No specific guidance exists for managing wetlands in the context of local watershed plans, and local governments usually have insufficient knowledge and tools to effectively protect especially wetlands within the area of development. Wetlands should not be managed separately from other water resources because they are an integral part of water resource management.

3 Goal

The aim of this paper is to acknowledge the importance of wetlands protection in current development scenarios especially in the urban area.

4 Objectives

- i) To identify the current and potential threats that will affect the natural wetlands in current development areas;
- ii) To identify the effectiveness of conservation and protection practices in the natural wetlands within the development area; and
- iii) To propose effective construction and design methods for urban wetlands.

5 Methodology

The methodology for this study comprises several stages that are observation and data collection, photograph analysis, interviews and data analysis.

5.1 Observations

Observational research techniques solely involve making observations. There are many positive aspects of the observational research approach. Namely, observations are flexible and do not necessarily need to be structured around a hypothesis.

5.2 Photograph analysis

In order to aid the researcher, a digital camera was used to take photographs of the surrounding environment during the site visit. The photographs are used for data analysis.

5.3 Interviews

An interview is a series of questions a researcher addresses personally to respondents (Macionis & Plummer, 1998). An interview may be structured (where clearly defined questions are asked) or unstructured, where some of the questioning is led by the responses of the interviewee. The unstructured interview was carried out to people who were deemed to be able to answer the critical questions that cannot be obtained by observations.

6 Study Area

Kota Damansara is a township in the Klang Valley region in Selangor, Malaysia. It is located in Damansara mukim (subdistrict) of the Petaling district. This township is owned by Selangor State Development Corporation (PKNS) Kota Damansara and is a 4,000 acre township in the fast-growing northern region of Petaling Jaya. The land is jointly developed through privatisation, joint ventures, and by PKNS itself. Of the total area, 1,500 acres have been earmarked for housing.

The Kota Damansara water retention pond area is located in Jalan Teknologi, Taman Sains Selangor 1, Seksyen 3, Kota Damansara, Mukim Damansara, Daerah Petaling, Selangor Darul Ehsan. This retention pond reserve is part of a comprehensive river and drainage network for Kota Damansara and the greater upstream PJ Utara areas. It is also used for storm water management.

This water retention pond of 45.89 acres and its surrounding strips of land were actually zoned for water retention and recreational purposes. The whole catchment for Rumpit River and 30% of Tambul River directly contribute to the water retention pond area (refer to Fig.1).

PKNS had initially reserved 45.89 acres for the retention pond (refer to Fig.2). However, due to high maintenance, they decided to develop 17.66 acres of the area for residential and commercial development. Through their research they found out that only 33 acres are required for the water retention pond area (refer to Fig.2). Thus, according to their estimations, the 45.89 acres reserved area is more than required.

Moreover, a detailed study for the adequacy of area of the existing detention

pond and studies on 100 years floods had been carried out. The maintenance cost is estimated at RM400,000 a year. Some aquatic species that function as pollutant removal will be planted. In the future, the pond will provide multifunctional services in terms of recreational opportunities and storm water management.

The normal water level for the area is 11.80m, while from the analysis the water level for 100 years flood is 17.80 m. The water level for new development is 18.00m.





Fig.1: Location of Study Area in Kota Damansara
Source: PKNS (Town Planners Department)



7 Data Analysis and Findings

Fig.2: The water retention pond before development

Fig.3: The water retention pond proposed for development

7.1 Total Area of Retention Pond

Based on the master plan, the researchers had calculated the area and it was found that the area reserved for the development on the current water retention pond is 17.66 acres (refer to Fig.4). The actual leftover area for the water retention pond after development is 16.23 acres (refer to Fig.5) and total green area left after development is 12 acres (refer to Fig.6).




Fig.4: The area reserved for development is 17.66 acres




Fig.5: The actual area left over for the water retention pond is 16.23 acres




Fig.6: Total left over for green area

From this, it is apparent that the total water retention pond area is actually less than 28.23 acres. Only 16.23 acres of this total is reserved for the water retention pond while the remaining 12 acres is reserved for landscape features in achieving the proposed plan of making it a multi-purpose recreational area. Thus, there is a reduction of up to 17 acres of land from the water retention pond thus this will have great impacts on the total water retention pond capacity.

The reduction of more than 17 acres out of the total water body of this pond will inevitably affect the efficiency of its ability to retain surface and storm water run-off and the overall flood mitigation master plan would be affected. Detailed studies need to be conducted not only for the said development site, but the entire water catchments area network, both upstream and downstream to ascertain the impact of such reduction.

7.2 Discharge Point

The pond discharge point is from Rumpit River towards Damansara River (refer to Fig.7).




Fig.7: The pond discharges to Rumput River and into Damansara River

On 26 February 2006, the bund structure of the water retention pond collapsed. It is believed that the collapse – a 45.89 acres water retention pond at Section 3, Kota Damansara – resulted in water volumes of 10 to 15 feet of water depth multiplied by 45.89 acres water body to gush out from the broken bank of the water retention pond through the Damansara River to low-lying areas in Subang and Shah Alam area, thus

compounding the flood situation. The massive flood had invaded 3,000 houses and forced 9,015 people to evacuate their homes in Shah Alam. In many places, flood water hovered around 1 metre high. It rose to about 2.3 metres in a few areas, almost reaching the roof of single storey house. Thus this shows how important the water retention pond area is.



Fig.8: Broken bund on the site



Fig.9: The pond was drained out



Fig.10: (TTDI Jaya)



Fig.11: (Shah Alam Stadium)



Fig.12: (Shah Alam)



Fig.13: (Shah Alam)

7.3 Other Threats on Water Retention Pond after Development

7.3.1 Impacts on Birds

Most bird species rely on wetland habitats during some portion of their life cycle. The development has degraded the existing habitats used for breeding, nesting or feeding and changed competitive interactions among and between species and will modify the population. Richter and Azous (1995) reported a correlation between watershed urbanization and declines in bird species richness as well as a higher number of non-native bird species. They also found that most of the bird species that were less tolerant to urbanization were found in forested areas within 1,640 to 3,280 feet of existing wetlands. Dowd (1992) investigated that forested wetlands in New York that were surrounded by urban land were dominated by urban residents and human attracted bird species. If restoration techniques for the water retention pond area are not applied appropriately, this bird species may not return.



Fig.14: Currently the marsh area has become a foreground and breeding area for birds

7.3.2 Increased storm water runoff

The new development may increase the storm water runoff to the wetland area. There will be more water runoff from upland development. For example, Schueler

(2000) reported that the total runoff volume from a one-acre parking lot is about 16 times greater than that produced by an undeveloped meadow. Storm water runoff to a down gradient area can increase dramatically especially if storm water is directly discharged into the pond area through a ditch, channel or storm drain pipe. These human alterations to land surfaces change the physical and biological features that affect the hydrologic processes. Increased storm water runoff from the impervious cover may lead to increased pond depth within the pond area and this may lead to changes in the wetland type, function and quality and also nutrient enrichment in the urban wetlands may increase the overall productivity of wetland but favours the spread of invasive wetland plants.



Fig.15: Drains from the new development
Date: 30 January 2007

7.3.3 Reduction of wetland as public open space and wildlife corridor

Retention ponds are considered as part of the open space network in a development. Therefore, in any planning submission, retention ponds are deemed to contribute to 30% of the overall open space required by the Local Planning Authority guidelines for open space which is 10% of the total land area. Through the elimination of 17.66 acres of retention pond area, this essentially reduces the open space network for the entire development. Moreover, the

development totally changes the existing land use of the area where it is actually designated as a recreational area. In the Town and Country Planning Act guidelines, it is stated that the local authorities should not encourage or approve applications for development outside designated areas for recreation.

On 11 September 2005, hundreds of Damansara Indah residents gathered in front of the lake to protest over the proposed development. Reclaiming 17.66 acres of the water retention lake to build 6 blocks of apartment and commercial units is just not right, as this area was zoned for water retention/recreational purposes.



Fig. 16: The residents protest against the development

8 Conclusion

This research has proven the importance of wetlands in an urban area. Although planning guidelines and estimates may prove a certain total area of wetland is sufficient to function as a retention area, planners and decision-makers cannot assume such procedures can fully guarantee this. As what has occurred with the broken bund of the water retention pond in Kota Damansara, the flow of water from the pond into the Sungai Damansara had

compounded to the massive floods in Shah Alam. Such development also affects the fauna attracted to the wetland, as well as increased storm water run off that will in turn affect the hydrological processes of the wetland.

As a conclusion, Table 1 shows eight tools for the protection of wetlands recommended by Wright et al (2006).

References:

- [1] Dowd, C., Effect of development on bird species composition of two urban forested wetlands and Staten Island, New York, *J. Field Ornithol*, Vol. 63, No. 4, 1992, 455-461.
- [2] Macionis, J.J. & Plummer, K., *Sociology a global introduction*, Pearson Education Australia, 1998.
- [3] Richter, K.O. & A.L., Azous, *Amphibian occurrence and wetland characteristics*, 1995.
- [4] Schueler, T., *The practice of watershed protection: Techniques for protecting and restoring urban watersheds*, Center for Watershed Protection, 2000.
- [5] Wright, T., Tomlinson, J., Schueler, T., Capiela, K., & Hirshman, D., *Direct and indirect impacts of urbanization on wetland quality*, 2006.

Table 1: Eight tools for protection of wetlands

Watershed Protection Tool	Strategies Applied In or Near Wetlands
1. Land Use Planning	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Incorporate wetland management into local watershed plans • Adopt a local wetland protection ordinance • Adopt floodplain, stream buffer, or hydric soil ordinance to indirectly protect wetlands
2. Land Conservation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Identify priority wetlands to be conserved • Select techniques for conserving wetlands
3. Aquatic Buffers	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Require vegetated buffers around all wetlands • Expand wetland buffers to connect wetlands with critical habitats
4. Better Site Design	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Encourage designs that minimize the number of wetland crossings
5. Erosion and Sediment Control	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Require perimeter control practices along wetland buffer boundaries • Encourage more rapid stabilization near wetlands
6. Storm Water Treatment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prohibit use of natural wetlands for storm water treatment • Discourage constrictions at wetland outlets • Restrict discharges of untreated storm water to natural wetlands
7. Non-Storm Water Discharges	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Actively enforce restrictions on dumping in wetlands and their buffers • Promote alternative mosquito control methods to reduce insecticide inputs to wetlands
8. Watershed Stewardship	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Incorporate wetlands into watershed education programs • Post signs to identify wetlands, buffers, and wetland CDA boundaries • Manage invasive wetland plants • Establish volunteer wetland monitoring and adoption programs • Encourage wetland landowner stewardship • Establish partnerships for funding and implementing wetland projects

Source: Wright, et al. (2006)