

INPAR, CMT, and RCM seismic moment solutions compared for the strongest damaging events ($M \geq 4.8$) occurred in the Italian region in the last decade.

GUIDARELLI¹, M., PANZA^{1,2}, G.F.

¹Department of Earth Sciences
University of Trieste
Via E.Weiss, 4, 34127 Trieste
ITALY

² The Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics,
ESP-SAND Group,
Strada Costiera, 11, 34014 Trieste,
ITALY
<http://www.dst.units.it>

Abstract: - We consider moment tensor inversion solutions for a set of damaging earthquakes, with moment magnitude ≥ 4.8 , that occurred in the Italian region during the period 1997-2005. The source parameters have been retrieved using a robust methodology (INPAR method), that performs a dynamic relocation of the hypocentre (latitude, longitude and depth) simultaneously with the determination of the focal mechanism. The INPAR method had successfully been applied over a quite broad range of magnitudes (1.5-6.0) and within a wide variety of tectonic, volcanic, and geothermal environments. The main methodological outcome is that INPAR is particularly useful for regional and local studies of seismic source moment tensor, especially when a limited number of stations makes it difficult to analyse relatively small magnitude events with global scale methodologies.

Key-Words: - Moment tensor inversion, Italian region, focal depth, CLVD component.

1 Introduction

To help constrain stress conditions and tectonic features in the Italian region it is necessary to supplement the information provided by global seismology (e.g. CMT-Harvard solutions, USGS) with regional and local broadband studies. In fact the Italian region is seismically very active but most of the relevant seismicity is concentrated in the magnitude range 5-6, with a little number of events with $M_w > 6.0$. The study of earthquakes with $M_w < 6.0$ is necessary to obtain information about the tectonic structures and it is important in the framework of seismic risk assessment, because even moderate magnitude events can contribute to the seismic risk due to the uniqueness of the cultural heritage of Italy.

For earthquakes with low magnitude, it is necessary to analyse local and regional waveforms. The determination of focal mechanisms at a regional scale is now possible because of the increasing amount of high-quality broadband data available in Europe and surrounding areas. In this paper we analyse a set of earthquakes, with magnitude between 4.8 and 6.0 that occurred in the Italian region during the period 1997-2005. We selected those earthquakes according to the CMT database for the same period. To determine the source parameters, we apply the methodology called INPAR [22, 24] that

performs a full waveform inversion to obtain the source moment tensor. The INPAR method had been successfully applied within a wide variety of tectonic [5, 7, 10, 11, 20, 25], volcanic, and geothermal environments [4, 6, 12, 13, 15, 21] and it has been shown that it can handle earthquakes in a wide range of magnitudes, from $M_w = 1.5-2.0$ for events in volcanic environments [12], up to $M_w = 6.0$ in tectonic settings [10, 25]. A significant feature of the INPAR method is the possibility of retrieving useful information about the seismic source even in case of a limited number of records available [25]. Therefore the INPAR method is particularly useful for regional and local studies, especially when few stations are available because of logistic problems or sparse seismometric networks. It follows that such methodology can be complementary to global scale methodologies (e.g. CMT), mainly when it permits a reliable estimation of focal depth, fixed a priori in CMT inversion, and can confidentially be extended to the analysis of smaller events.

2 Inversion of source mechanisms

We report here the results of the inversion for a set of events recorded within the Italian Peninsula in the period

1997-2005 with magnitude $M_w \geq 4.8$: we include also two events recorded in Slovenia, near the border with Italy, due to their relevance for the Italian tectonic setting. The moment magnitude threshold has been selected because it corresponds to the minimum magnitude of events reported by the Centroid Moment Tensor (CMT) Catalogue [8,]: therefore it is possible to compare the fault plane solutions obtained using INPAR method with those reported by the CMT Catalogue. We used waveform data from IRIS consortium, ORFEUS, and MedNet network recorded at local and regional distance. We inverted a set of 22 events and the results of the inversions are reported in Table 1 and Table 2, while the fault plane solutions are plotted in Fig. 1. To retrieve information about the error of the solution we use the posterior probability density function to mark confidence zones of the model parameters [22]. From the confidence areas for each mechanism, shown in Fig. 2, we can see that most of the fault mechanisms are well resolved. Table 2 lists the nodal planes and the percentages of the moment tensor components, Double Couple (DC) and Compensated Linear Vector Dipole (CLVD), corresponding to each event. We observe in several cases a departure from a pure double couple mechanism and the presence of a significant CLVD component.

In 1997 a major seismic sequence started in Central Italy, with the 26 September $M_w=5.6$ event. We inverted seven of the events in the sequence (event n°1-7), and all of them have a normal faulting mechanism, (event 6 shows a strike slip component), that defines a fault system extending along a NW-SE trend. The hypocentral depth for events 1,2,4,5,7 varies within the uppermost 10 km of the crust, while it is about 20 km for event 3. For event 6 the hypocentral depth is about 50 km, thus we are probably dealing with an event occurred in the mantle lid. The normal faulting character for the events in Central Italy and the presence of mantle lid seismicity agree with the results proposed by [7]. The extensional character of the Central Apennine area is reported also by the ZS9 new seismic zonation of the Italian region [26] as well. The active tectonic region localized at the border between Italy and Slovenia was affected by two earthquakes, recorded in 1998 and 2004, with moment magnitude 5.7 and 5.3 (events n°8 and n°20) respectively. The mechanism for the event of 1998 is a strike slip and seems to correlate with the NW-SE trend of the Dinaric structures [3]; the fault plane solution of the 2004 event shows a dominant thrust component, that matches the mean focal mechanism for the area (ZS9 seismic zonation, [26]) that is characterized by the convergence of the Adriatic and European plates. Both of the events are shallow, with a considerable CLVD component in case of event 8. Quite large confidence regions characterize the mechanism of event 20; nevertheless the dominant thrust component is confirmed.




Fig. 1. Map with fault plane solutions determined for the events analysed in this study.

N°	Date	Time	Lat	Lon	Depth	Mw
1	26.9.1997	0:33:12.25	42.90±0.19	12.88±0.19	3±3	5.6
2	26.9.1997	9:40:26.90	43.10±0.07	12.78±0.19	6±2	6.0
3	3.10.1997	8:55:21.50	43.10±0.10	12.90±0.20	20±1	5.2
4	6.10.1997	23:24:52.50	42.90±0.15	13.00±0.02	6±3	5.5
5	12.10.1997	11:8:36.30	42.81±0.06	12.93±0.08	5±2	5.2
6	26.3.1998	16:26:11.50	43.07±0.05	12.80±0.14	50±20	5.5
7	3.4.1998	7:26:36.60	43.18±0.01	12.79±0.02	10±1	5.2
8	12.4.1998	10:55:32.50	46.27±0.01	13.55±0.01	10±4	5.7
9	18.5.1998	17:19:4.80	39.27±0.04	15.30±0.19	278±1	5.3
10	9.9.1998	11:27:59.30	39.65±0.01	15.71±0.19	20±10	5.6
11	10.5.2000	15:09:0.81	44.33±0.03	11.90±0.16	8±7	4.9
12	21.8.2000	17:14:31.10	44.90±0.01	8.25±0.04	7±1	5.0
13	17.4.2002	6:42:54.30	39.60±0.16	16.90±0.29	10±3	5.2
14	6.9.2002	1:21:28.60	38.50±0.01	13.68±0.06	40±1	6.0
15	27.9.2002	6:10:44.90	38.10±0.13	13.64±0.16	21±6	5.0
16	31.10.2002	10:32:58.77	41.59±0.02	14.80±0.17	7±1	5.8
17	1.11.2002	16:52:9.81	41.72±0.04	14.99±0.17	15±10	5.6
18	14.9.2003	21:42:51.86	44.20±0.17	11.55±0.02	32±1	5.4
19	5.5.2004	13:39:43.86	38.47±0.13	14.67±0.14	230±20	5.2
20	12.7.2004	13:04:07.16	46.27±0.01	13.64±0.01	7±1	5.3
21	24.11.2004	22:59:40.05	45.70±0.18	10.72±0.07	10±3	5.2
22	22.8.2005	12:2:8.60	41.30±0.40	12.53±0.08	12±4	4.8

Table 1. Source parameters retrieved after the inversion using the INPAR method. Mw is the magnitude from scalar seismic moment.

Two of the analysed earthquakes are located in north western Italy. Event n° 12, in the Monferrato area, is characterized by a normal source mechanism, but large confidence areas indicate uncertainty in the solution. Event n° 21 occurred near the city of Brescia and the Garda Lake with a dominantly thrust mechanism. Event n° 11 and event n° 18 are located in the region around the city of Bologna. Event n°18 presents a thrust mechanism while event n° 11 a thrust mechanism with a small strike slip component. They evidence the compressional environment of the Northern Apenninic chain that can originate thrust faulting earthquakes, in

agreement with the indications contained in ZS9 seismic zonation [26]. Two earthquakes were recorded in the Calabria region: the first one in 1998 (event n°10) shows a normal mechanism with a strike slip component; even if the average mechanism is affected by large uncertainties it is in agreement with the prevalent faulting mechanism defined by the ZS9 zonation [26].

N°	Nodal planes	DC(%)	CLVD(%)	N° of waveforms
1	333 42 -57/112 56 -116	56	44	14
2	299 42 -123/160 56 -64	13	87	13
3	353 51 -56/126 50 -124	87	13	13
4	349 61 -100/189 31 -73	25	75	10
5	342 70 -78/131 23 -119	74	26	10
6	120 89 127/211 37 1	76	24	11
7	320 65 -86/130 25 -99	25	75	13
8	216 67 -20/314 72 -156	31	69	14
9	50 20 77/244 71 95	87	13	12
10	233 44 -1/324 89 -134	73	27	12
11	106 82 75/350 17 153	36	64	13
12	343 50 -105/187 42 -73	68	32	8
13	54 39 101/219 52 81	38	62	6
14	85 62 65/310 37 129	77	23	8
15	68 75 73/298 23 138	52	48	9
16	261 85 173/352 83 5	71	29	7
17	262 54 -171/167 82 -37	96	4	18
18	227 56 89/49 34 91	44	56	8
19	182 15 -128/41 78 -81	44	56	11
20	101 36 122/243 60 69	89	11	8
21	231 47 64/87 49 115	82	18	6
22	6 76 137/109 48 19	65	35	12

Table 2. Nodal planes and moment tensor component percentages for the studied events in the Italian Peninsula; DC(%): percentage of the double couple component; CLVD(%) percentage of the CLVD component. In the last column is reported the number of records used in the inversion for each event.

For the other event (n° 13) we obtained a thrust mechanism that may indicate a compressional environment. A significant seismic sequence occurred in the late 2002 in Southern Italy (Molise). The first event took place on 31st October 2002 (event n°16) with a magnitude $M_w=5.8$. Another event occurred the following day, 1st November 2002, with magnitude $M_w=5.6$ (event n°17). Both of the events are associated with a dominantly strike slip mechanism, very close to a pure double couple, originated in a faulting system with an E-W trend. The most recent event (22) is located offshore Central Italy and it is characterized by a thrust with strike-slip component mechanism. Four events located at the border between the Southern Tyrrhenian Sea and Northern Sicily have been analysed (ev. 9, 14, 15, 19). Events 14 and 15 have a very similar thrust mechanism that indicates a compressional environment. Events 9 and 19 are intermediate-depth events (278 and 230 km) both occurring on an almost vertical fault plane.




Fig. 2. Fault plane solutions of the studied earthquakes with their confidence error areas.

3 A comparison with CMT and RCMT database solutions

For all the events inverted a Harvard CMT solution is available, therefore it is possible to compare the results. We can also compare our solutions to the solutions proposed by the Mediterranean-European RCMT [9, 18, 19], which is an extension of the standard CMT algorithm for regional events. Fig. 3 shows the fault plane solutions obtained in this study and the Harvard CMT solutions, together with the RCMT solutions when available. There is good agreement between the three sets of solutions, both as source mechanisms (best double couple) and moment magnitude. A strike orientation different with respect to the CMT solutions is found for events 14, 15 and 18, but the thrust character of the mechanisms is confirmed. A difference in strike orientation is also found for event 4: our solution is not in agreement with the trend found for the Central Italy sequence (events 1-7), but the solution for event 4 has large confidence areas. This particular case shows that the use of the posterior probability density function to mark confidence zones of the model parameters is very valuable in the validation of the focal mechanisms solution. In a similar way, large confidence regions characterize event 10 and event 12, which show mechanisms different with respect to the CMT solutions. The main differences among the solutions are related to the hypocentral depths. The value reported in the CMT catalogue is often fixed for shallow earthquakes in order to minimize the instabilities in the moment tensor components that usually increase at shallow depths [2]. In fact, in the CMT inversion algorithm the partial

derivatives of the synthetic spectra with respect to the moment tensor components and hypocentral coordinates




Fig. 3. Comparison between the fault plane solutions obtained in this study (first column), the Harvard CMT solutions (second column) and the Mediterranean-European RCMT catalogue (third column).

are calculated, and by an iterative procedure convergence is achieved to the best fitting parameters [8]. In the INPAR algorithm, no partial derivative of the synthetic seismograms is computed, but we search for the best depth which minimizes the L2 distance between observed and corresponding computed seismograms [24]. The first step in the INPAR methodology is a linear inverse problem and the solution is obtained solving a system of normal equations. Within the set of events analysed in this study, in 18 cases the depth reported by CMT is fixed a priori. For the remaining events, the results are comparable.

In several of the solutions obtained in this study we observe the presence of a significant CLVD component. The CLVD component is in most cases higher in our solutions than in CMT and RCMT solutions. Excluding events with large confidence areas, six events have CLVD components between 44% and 75%, all but one with hypocentral depth ≤ 32 km. Unambiguous interpretations of the CLVD components resulting from moment tensor inversion are still matter of debate. [16] showed that the CLVD components may be artefacts of the inversion process, caused by sparse station

distribution and the use of inaccurate Earth models for inversion while, [14] explain CLVD components also as the effect of poor resolution for two moment tensor components for shallow earthquakes. If the CLVD components represent real source effects, they can be explained by the occurrence of sub events with different pure double-couple mechanisms very close in time (within a few seconds). Therefore, we analysed the events with relevant CLVD components and repeated the inversion dividing the source time function into two subintervals, following the procedure described by [23] and [6]. As a general result, we obtained for each earthquake analysed two sub-events with very small CLVD (less than 20%) and different mechanisms (best double couple) both not too far from the best double couple retrieved in the inversion with a single source time function and reported in Fig.1. Inversions at lower periods (down to 10 s), as it is possible using the INPAR method, allows to better retrieve the details of the source mechanisms. We show in Fig.4, as example, the results of the inversion for event 1 for which we split the source time function into almost equal parts of about 1.7 s each.




Fig. 4. Results of the inversion for event 1 with the source time function divided into two subintervals. (a) Source mechanism and source time function for the first inversion, (b) for the interval 0-1.75 s, (c) for the interval 1.75-3.4 s.

4 Inversion of earthquakes not reported in the CMT database

We extend the analysis to two earthquakes not contained in the CMT catalogue: the 17 July 2001 event and the 14 February 2002 event, located in the North eastern regions of Italy. The results of the inversions and the confidence areas for the mechanisms retrieved are reported in Fig. 5 and Fig.6, while the source parameters are in Table 3 and Table 4, together with the solutions of the Mediterranean-European RCMT catalogue as comparison. The 17 July 2001 fault plane solution is a well resolved strike-slip mechanism, in perfect

agreement with the RCMT solution. For the 14 February 2002 event the thrust character is more pronounced than in the RCMT mechanism, and it is in better agreement with the thrust mechanisms obtained for the 1976-1977 Northern Italy seismic sequence [1, 17] and the average focal mechanism proposed by the ZS9 seismogenetic zonation [26].

Date	Time	Lat.	Lon.	Depth	Mw
17.7.2001	15:6:15.24	46.67±0.04	11.10±0.04	14±8	5.0
14.2.2002	3:18:1.39	46.38±0.08	13.11±0.12	3±3	4.8

Table 3. Table with the source parameters for the 17 July 2001 and the 14 February 2002 events retrieved after the inversion using the INPAR method. Mw is the magnitude from scalar seismic moment.

Date	Time	Nodal Planes	DC(%)	CLVD(%)	N° of waveforms
17.7.2001	15:6:15.24	207 64 -5/299 85 -154	74	26	11
14.2.2002	3:18:1.39	50 62 74/ 262 32 118	71	29	15

Table 4. Nodal planes and moment tensor component percentages for the 17 July 2001 and the 14 February 2002 events; DC(%): percentage of the double couple component; CLVD(%) percentage of the CLVD component; in the last column is reported the number of records used in the inversion for each event.

The previous results confirm the results reported in the foregoing sections. Our systematic comparison of the solutions obtained using the INPAR methodology with those contained in the Harvard-CMT catalogue and the Mediterranean-European RCMT catalogue for regional distance earthquakes, justify the intensive application of the INPAR to regional and local events. We used for these events less waveforms with respect to those used by RCMT. Therefore methodology can be applied in Italy but also in regions where logistical problems make it difficult the simultaneous operation of many instruments and the relevant seismicity is characterized by low-to-medium magnitude events, i.e. in all those cases when the global scale methodologies does not ensure reliable high quality results.

5 Conclusions

We have analysed a set of events recorded in the Italian Peninsula in the period between 1997 and 2005. The goal of our study is to compare the results of the inversion using the INPAR method and the solutions reported in the CMT Catalogue in order to assess the reliability of the methodology. The fault plane solutions reported in this paper are in agreement with the CMT solutions. We

found that our results compare well to the results of the Mediterranean-European RCMT algorithm, the extension of the standard CMT algorithm to regional studies. As the INPAR method proved to be particularly useful for regional and local analysis, especially when a limited number of stations is available, it follows that such methodology can be complementary to global scale methodologies (e.g. CMT), since it permits a refinement of focal depth, mainly when depth values are fixed a priori as in CMT and RCMT inversions, and it can confidentially be extended to the analysis of smaller events down to magnitude 1.5-2.0, including an exploratory analysis of the significance of CLVD components.




Fig. 5. Map with the location of the 17 July 2001 event and the 14 February 2002 event, located in the North eastern regions of Italy.




Fig. 6. Results of the inversion for the 17 July 2001 event and the 14 February 2002 event: (a) fault plane solution obtained in this study with the confidence error area; (b) fault plane solution obtained in this study; (c) fault plane solution from the Mediterranean-European RCMT catalogue.

References:

[1] Aoudia, A., A., Saraò, B., Bukchin, P., Suhadolc, The 1976 Friuli (NE Italy) thrust faulting earthquake: a reappraisal 23 years later, *Geophysical Research Letters*, Vol.27, N°4, 2000, pp.577-580.
 [2] Arvidsson, R., G., Ekström, Global CMT analysis of moderate earthquakes $M_w \geq 4.5$ using intermediate period surface waves, *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*, Vol.88, 1998, pp.1003-1013.
 [3] Bajc, J., A., Aoudia, A., Saraò, P., Suhadolc, The 1998 Bovec-Krn mountain (Slovenia) earthquake

sequence, *Geophysical Research Letters*, Vol.28, 2001, pp.1839-1842.

[4] Campus, P., G., Cespuglio, G.F., Panza, 1993. Full moment tensor retrieval and fluid dynamics in volcanic areas: the case of Phlegraean Fields (south Italy), *Proceedings of the International Conference Large Explosive Eruptions (The problem of eruptions, forecasting and warning; limits and possibilities)*, Accademia dei Lincei, Rome, 1993.

[5] Campus, P., Suhadolc, G.F., Panza, J., Šílený, Complete moment tensor retrieval for weak events: application to orogenic and volcanic areas, *Tectonophysics*, Vol.261, 1996, pp.147-163.

[6] Cespuglio, G., P., Campus, J., Šílený, Seismic moment tensor resolution by waveform inversion of a few local noisy records. II. Application to Phlegraean Fields (southern Italy) volcanic tremors, *Geophysical Journal International*, Vol.126, 1996, pp.620-634.

[7] Chimera, G., A., Aoudia, A., Saraò, G.F., Panza, Active tectonics in Central Italy: constraints from surface wave tomography and source moment tensor inversion, *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors*, Vol.138, 2003, pp.241-262.

[8] Dziewonski, A.M., T.A., Chou, J.H., Woodhouse, Determination of earthquake source parameters from waveform data for studies of global and regional seismicity, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, Vol.86, 1981, pp.2825-2852.

[9] Ekström, G., A., Morelli, E., Boschi, A.M., Dziewonski, Moment tensor analysis of the central Italy earthquake sequence of September-October 1997, *Geophysical Research Letters*, Vol.25, 1998, pp.1971-1974.

[10] Guidarelli, M., G.F., Panza, Determination of the seismic moment tensor for local events in the South Shetland Islands and Bransfield Strait, *Geophysical Journal International*, Vol.167, 2006, pp.684-692.

[11] Guidarelli, M., M., Russi, M.P., Plasencia Linares, G.F., Panza, The Antarctic Seismographic Argentinean-Italian Network and the progress in the study of structural properties and stress conditions in the Scotia Sea region, *Terra Antartica Reports*, Vol.9, 2003, pp.25-34.

[12] Guidarelli, M., A., Saraò, G.F., Panza, Surface wave tomography and seismic source studies at Campi Flegrei (Italy), *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors*, Vol.134, 2002, pp.157-173.

[13] Guidarelli, M., A., Zille, A., Saraò, M., Natale, C., Nunziata, G.F., Panza, Shear-wave velocity models and seismic sources in Campanian volcanic areas: Vesuvio and Campi Flegrei. *VESUVIUS: Education, Security and Prosperity*. Editor Flavio Dobran, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2006.

[14] Henry, C., J.H., Woodhouse, S., Das, Stability of earthquake moment tensor inversions: effect of the

double-couple constraint, *Tectonophysics*, Vol.356, 2002, pp.115-124.

[15] Kravanja, S., F., Batini, A., Fiordelisi, G.F., Panza, Full moment tensor retrieval from waveform inversion in the Larderello geothermal area, *Pure and Applied Geophysics*, Vol.157, 2000, pp.1379-1392.

[16] Panza, G.F., A., Saraò, Monitoring volcanic and geothermal areas by full seismic moment tensor inversion: are non-double-couple components always artefacts of modelling? *Geophysical Journal International*, 143, 2000, pp.353-364.

[17] Pondrelli, S., G., Ekström, G., A., Morelli, Seismotectonic re-evaluation of the 1976 Friuli, Italy, seismic sequence, *Journal of Seismology*, Vol.5, 2001, pp.73-83.

[18] Pondrelli, S., A., Morelli, G., Ekström, S., Mazza, E., Boschi, A.M., Dziewonski, European-Mediterranean regional centroid-moment tensors: 1997-2000, *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors*, Vol.130, 2002, pp.71-101.

[19] Pondrelli, S., A., Morelli, G., Ekström, European-Mediterranean regional centroid-moment tensor catalog: 2001 and 2002, *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors*, Vol.145, 2004, pp.127-147.

[20] Radulian, M., L., Ardeleanu, P., Campus, J., Šílený, G.F., Panza, Waveform inversion of weak Vrancea (Romania) earthquakes, *Studia Geophysica et Geodaetica*, Vol.40, 1996, pp.367-380.

[21] Saraò, A., G.F., Panza, G.F., E., Privitera, O., Cocina, Non double couple mechanisms in the seismicity preceding 1991-1993 Etna volcano eruption, *Geophysical Journal International*, Vol.145, 2001, pp.319-335.

[22] Šílený, J., 1998. Earthquake source parameters and their confidence regions by a genetic algorithm with a "memory", *Geophysical Journal International*, Vol.134, 1998, pp.228-242.

[23] Šílený, J., P., Campus, G.F., Panza, Seismic moment tensor resolution by waveform inversion of a few local noisy records-I. Synthetic tests, *Geophysical Journal International*, Vol.126, 1996, pp.605-619.

[24] Šílený, J., G.F., Panza, P., Campus, Waveform inversion for point source moment tensor retrieval with optimization of hypocentral depth and structural model, *Geophysical Journal International*, Vol.109, 1992, pp.259-274.

[25] Vuan A., M., Russi, G., Costa, G.F., Panza, Moment tensor waveform inversion in the sub-Antarctic Scotia Sea region: feasibility tests and preliminary results, *Terra Antartica*, N°1, N°2, 2001, pp.55-62.

[26] ZS9 working group, Redazione della mappa di pericolosità sismica prevista dall'Ordinanza PCM del 20 marzo 2003, *Rapporto conclusivo per il Dipartimento della Protezione Civile*, INGV, Milano-Roma, 2004.