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#### Abstract

In this work, multiple intelligence theory proposed by Gardner, a professor of education at Harvard University, is tried to be modeled by Bayesian Theorem under two hypotheses. Howard Gardner initially formulated a list of seven intelligences, and then added two more. As a different approach, if set theory for multiple intelligences is used, the structure of multiple intelligences to set theory under four properties of intelligence algebra can be generalized. Assuming that the number of intelligences increases, n, Boolean algebra in set theory can be applicable. At this point, Bayesian theorem, application of conditional probability, generates a good structure for multiple intelligences. In this work, Bayesian Theorem was applied to two hypotheses, mutually intersections of n intelligences are empty and non-empty sets, and using conditional probability, it can be shown that multiple intelligences and Bayesian Theorem are in good harmony or multiple intelligences can be clarified by Bayesian theorem.
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## 1 Introduction

Intelligence in old periods was rather defined as "speed thinking". Even, humans called people who exhibited superior success in daily life as "superior intelligence". Intelligence is the integration of abilities and skills peculiar to each person in order to be able to adapt to life and changes in the changing world. According to Binet, intelligence is the capacity of good reasoning, good judging and self-criticizing [1]. According to Wechsler, intelligence is the capacity used by an individual to behave purposeful, think intelligently and cope with his environment effectively [2]. According to Woolfolk, intelligence is the ability of an individual to use his inherited or learned mental functions to acquire knowledge, remember, recall, solve problems or adapt to world [3]. According to Piaget, intelligence is a definite harmonized behavior model that means organization of action with thought and its reorganization [4]. According to Gardner, intelligence is the integration of abilities and skills peculiar to each person in order to be able to live in the changing world and adapt to changes [5]. Gardner grouped intelligence in eight kinds; verbal, musical, visual, logical, mathematical, bodily, interpersonal, intrapersonal and naturalist. Thorndike grouped intelligence in three kinds; abstract, mechanical and social [6]. Guilford determined 120 intelligence factors. According to the Group Factor Theory of Thurnstone, intelligence can be divided into primary abilities in some definite number. "The primary ability" term contains seven factors; numeric problem
solving, oral recognition, memorizing, general reasoning, oral smoothness (word producing etc), recognizing shape relations, sensitive speed. According to Stenberg, intelligence consists of various combinations. These are; experiencing and learning ability from life, abstract thinking or reasoning ability, adaptation ability to caprices of changing and unclear world, and fast performing ability of the works that must be performed and motivation ability [7]. While psychologists define intelligence as a capacity, educators define it as ability [8]. "Frames of mind": The theory of the multiple intelligences" (Thinking style: multiple intelligence theorem) suggested by Harward Gardner in 1983 eliminated the influence of intelligence on societies and education that lasted for years; that is the traditional intelligence test and intelligence definition that only considers the language and mathematic intelligence [9]. Gardner defended that intelligence has eight directions instead of two. So he claimed that not only the people who are successful in language and mathematic but also people who are successful in music, sport, dance, communication, nature, painting are intelligent [10].

## 2 Modeling of multiple intelligences

Intelligence is the capacity to solve problems in different cases experienced throughout of life and to create new products. For this reason, intelligence must be defined with clear dimensions as in mathematical operation. A mathematical operation requires
mathematics to establish a system and can be defined as a system in that matter as it ensures the features of system, so intelligence can also be defined with its clear dimensions. Gardner stated that each of abilities can not be considered intelligence and in order abilities can be defined as intelligence, 4 features must exist. These are:

1. to have a series symbols
2. to include a value in cultural structure (or to have value in society)
3. to be able produce a service or goods through its intervention (to make production through the ability used)
4. to be able to solve a problem inside it ( to be able to produce solutions to problems included in the ability principle content it contains) In this case, 8 intelligence kinds defined by Gardner within such features can be evaluated separately from each other. They are defined as follows:
$\mathrm{B}_{1}=$ Verbal/Linguistic Intelligence
$\mathrm{B}_{2}=$ Mathematical/Logical Intelligence
$B_{3}=$ Visual/Spatial Intelligence
$\mathrm{B}_{4}=$ Musical/ Rhythmical Intelligence
$\mathrm{B}_{5}=$ Bodily/Kinesthetic Intelligence
$\mathrm{B}_{6}=$ Social/Interpersonal Intelligence
$\mathrm{B}_{7}=$ Intrapersonal Intelligence
$\mathrm{B}_{8}=$ Naturalist Intelligence $[11,12,13,14,15,16]$. However, if we consider intelligence as theoretical sets, we must accept that sets may be extended on condition they will have the above said features.

In that case, $\mathrm{B}_{9}, \mathrm{~B}_{10}, \ldots, \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{n}}$ ( $\mathrm{n}=$ finite) can be accepted as separate intelligences. Gardner explained in an article in 2003 that there were new intelligences and he worked on them [11]. According to the multiple intelligences theorem, an individual owns all the intelligences and uses them in some definite rates. Then, the total intelligence of an individual will be combination of $B_{1}, B_{2}, \ldots, B_{n}$ intelligences.
$\mathrm{U}=\mathrm{B}_{1} \cup \mathrm{~B}_{2} \cup \mathrm{~B}_{3} \cup \cdots \cup \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{n}}$

Besides, each of intelligences is a separate ability having 4 features; situation of intelligences in respect to each other can be established on two hypotheses. First of them depends on the hypothesis that mutual intersections are empty set.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{i}} \cap \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{j}}=\{ \} \text { for } \forall \mathrm{i} \neq \mathrm{j} \tag{2a}
\end{equation*}
$$

Second one depends on the hypothesis that mutual intersections are not empty set.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{i}} \cap \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{j}} \neq\{ \} \text { for } \forall \mathrm{i} \neq \mathrm{j} \tag{2b}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.1 Hypothesis 1: For $B_{1}, B_{2}, \ldots, B_{n}$ intelligences of each individual, $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{i}} \cap \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{j}}=\{ \}$ for $\forall \mathrm{i} \neq \mathrm{j}$.

The basic thought in hypothesis 1 is depended on the view of Gardner. Neuro psychology and development expert Garner who examined damaged brains after an accident or disease observed separate abilities that worked independently from each other in a manner when one of them was damaged others remained healthy. It was concluded that human brain consisted of different sections each having special functions. As a result of the research findings on mental disorders resulting from brain damages it was concluded that when some section of human brain was damaged, people could show performance in some definite fields and continued their life with the remaining sections of their brain. Losing of one of the abilities because of damage on brain or its isolation is independent from other abilities [17]. Depending on this thought, we can say that intelligences are separate from each other. As the intelligence levels in $B_{1}, B_{2}, \ldots, B_{n}$ intelligences of different individuals will be different, for instance intelligence levels for X individual in age of k can be shown as $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{k} 1}\right), \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{k} 2}\right), \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{k} 3}\right), \ldots, \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{kn}}\right)$. Total intelligence of $X$ individual in age of $k$ is;
$\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{k} 1} \cup \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{k} 2} \cup \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{k} 3} \cup \cdots \cup \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{kn}}$
And total intelligence level of x individual in age of k is;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)=\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{k} 1}\right)+\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{k} 2}\right)+\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{k} 3}\right)+\ldots+\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{kn}} .\right. \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we try to explain the total intelligence level of X individual in age of k through Bayesian Theorem; whereas $S$ indicates the total intelligence of $x$ individual in age of k and $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{S})$ indicates his intelligence level. His intelligence he used in each intelligence level will be $\mathrm{S} \cap \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{i}}$ and his intelligence level will be $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{S} \cap \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$. Then, the total intelligence used by x individual in age of k is;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{S}=\left(\mathrm{S} \cap \mathrm{~B}_{1}\right) \cup\left(\mathrm{S} \cap \mathrm{~B}_{2}\right) \cup\left(\mathrm{S} \cap \mathrm{~B}_{3}\right) \cup \ldots \cup\left(\mathrm{S} \cap \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

his intelligence level is:
$\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{S})=\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{S} \cap \mathrm{B}_{1}\right)+\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{S} \cap \mathrm{B}_{2}\right)+\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{S} \cap \mathrm{B}_{3}\right)+\ldots+\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{S} \cap \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$

The individuals who are criticized with some definite intelligence level in the social evaluation (no numeric intelligence) are in fact considered with their intelligence levels they got from abilities not with the potential intelligences they own. That case is the part of intelligence level owned by X individual in age of k and the conditional probability is:
$\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{S} \cap \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)}{\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)}$

This rate expresses which percentage is explained or which percentage is shown from $B_{i}$ intelligence in total $S$ intelligence used by X individual in age of k . Then, this demonstrated intelligence level is not the intelligence of individual but it is his $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{i}}$ intelligence share in his S total. This event is shown with Venn diagram in Fig. 1.
Let's try to explain the above statement for $B_{3}$ intelligence by using Fig. 1. The rate of $\mathrm{B}_{3}$ intelligence of individual in S total intelligence can be defined as the ratio of $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{EFGH})$ to $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{ABCD})$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{B}_{3}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{EFGH})}{\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{ABCD})} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we reconsider the (7) equation that is the explanation of intelligence with conditional probability; the rate will be;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~S} \cap \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)}{\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we draw $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{S} \cap \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ from that equation, it will be;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~S} \cap \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$S$ indicates the total intelligence of X individual in age of k and $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{S})$ indicates the measure of his intelligence. Then;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~S})=\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~S} \cap \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$



Fig. 1 Indication of intelligences separately from each other

If (10) equation is written in place of (11) equation, then;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~S})=\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~S} \cap \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, by considering the intelligences acquired from abilities, let's try to find the intelligence of individual belongs to only one of the abilities from the intelligence used by x individual in age of k . Total of intelligences owned by X individual in age of k belong to all the abilities he have was $S$. In case $S$ is known, its share in $B_{i}$ can be found with conditional probability in the following equation;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{i}} / \mathrm{S}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~S} \cap \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)}{\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~S})} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{S} \cap \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ is drawn from (13) equation, then;
$\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{S} \cap \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{S}) \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{i}} / \mathrm{S}\right)$
If the equations (10) and (14) are equalized;

$$
\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~S} \cap \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \text { and } \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~S} \cap \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~S}) \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{i}} / \mathrm{S}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~S}) \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{i}} / \mathrm{S}\right)=\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{i}} / \mathrm{S}\right)$ is drawn from here, then;
$\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{i}} / \mathrm{S}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)}{\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{S})}$

This statement shows that Bayesian Theorem is compatible with the multiple intelligences or multiple intelligences can be explained with Bayesian Theorem. As in fact the intelligence level used by X individual in age of k consists of his abilities is different from his actual intelligence level, this supports that the intelligence suggested by Gardner can be changed and developed. When $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{S})$ intelligence level can be extended, $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ intelligence level will also be extended.

Example: To be able to be a teacher, $25 \% \mathrm{~B}_{1}$ intelligence, $30 \% \mathrm{~B}_{2}$ intelligence, $10 \% \mathrm{~B}_{3}$ intelligence and $35 \% \mathrm{~B}_{4}$ intelligence are required. Mr. Kaya is known as a teacher. Mr. Kaya uses $2 \%$ of $B_{1}$ intelligence, $7 \%$ of $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ intelligence, $5 \%$ of $\mathrm{B}_{3}$ intelligence and $12 \%$ of $\mathrm{B}_{4}$ intelligence. Mr. Kaya exhibits behavior like a teacher. What is the probability of such behavior that may result from $\mathrm{B}_{3}$ intelligence?
Let's consider the behavior exhibited by Mr. Kaya is S .

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{3} / \mathrm{S}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{3}\right) \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{B}_{3}\right)}{\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~S})} \\
& =\frac{\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{3}\right) \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{B}_{3}\right)}{\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{1}\right) \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{B}_{1}\right)+\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{2}\right) \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{B}_{2}\right)+\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{3}\right) \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{B}_{3}\right)+\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{4}\right) \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{B}_{4}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{B}_{1}\right)=0.25, \quad \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{B}_{2}\right)=0.30, \quad \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{B}_{3}\right)=0.10, \quad \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{B}_{4}\right)=0.35$, $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{B}_{1}\right)=0.02, \quad \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{B}_{2}\right)=0.07$, $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{B}_{3}\right)=0.05, \quad \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{B}_{4}\right)=0.12$, $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{B}_{3} / \mathrm{S}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{B}_{3}\right) \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{B}_{3}\right)}{\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{B}_{1}\right) \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{B}_{1}\right)+\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{B}_{2}\right) \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{B}_{2}\right)+\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{B}_{3}\right) \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{B}_{3}\right)+\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{B}_{4}\right) \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{B}_{4}\right)}$
$\frac{(0.10)(0.05)}{(0.25)(0.02)+(0.30)(0.07)+(0.10)(0.05)+(0.35)(0.12)}$ $=\frac{5}{73}=0.0685$

The probability of behavior exhibited by Mr. Kaya that may result from $\mathrm{B}_{3}$ intelligence is $6.85 \%$.

### 2.2 Hypothesis 2: For $B_{1}, B_{2}, \ldots, B_{n}$ intelligences of each individual, $\forall \mathrm{i} \neq \mathrm{j}$ için $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{i}} \cap \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{j}} \neq\{ \}$.

When we examine the principles of multiple intelligence theory;

- People have many different intelligence kinds.
- Each people have a special intelligence mixture consists of actively used intelligences. Each people have a peculiar intelligence profile.
- Each of intelligences has a different development process.
- All the intelligences are dynamic.
- Intelligences can be defined and developed.
- Each people have the chance to develop and recognize his own intelligence.
- Development of each intelligence can be evaluated within itself.
- Each of intelligence has a different system with respect to memory, attention, cognition and problem solving.
- While using one of intelligences other intelligences can also be used.
- Personal substructure, culture, heritage and beliefs have an effect on development of intelligence.
- All intelligences are different and special sources in way of realization of himself of each individual.
- All the scientific theories that evaluate human development support the intelligence theory.
- There may be intelligences different from the existing intelligence kinds.
- Of one of the statements above, the statement "While using one of intelligences other intelligences can also be used "means there are common values between two intelligences [18]. Intelligences generally work together by establishing confusing structures. Because none of intelligences in real life works alone except the idiots and brain damaged patients. Intelligences always in interaction. The eight intelligence kinds work together
peculiar to each person [19]. From such statements we can say that intelligences can not be separated from each other.

As the intelligence levels of different individuals in their $\mathrm{B}_{1}, \mathrm{~B}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{n}}$ intelligences will be different, the intelligence levels of X individual in age of k can be shown as $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{k} 1}\right)$, $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{k} 2}\right), \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{k} 3}\right), \ldots, \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{kn}}\right)$. Total intelligence of X individual in age of k is;
$\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{k} 1} \cup \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{k} 2} \cup \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{k} 3} \cup \cdots \cup \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{kn}}$
Total intelligence level of $x$ individual in age of $k$ is
$\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)<\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{k} 1}\right)+\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{k} 2}\right)+\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{k} 3}\right)+\ldots+\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{kn}}\right)$
If we try to explain the total intelligence level of X individual in age of k through Bayesian Theorem; whereas $S$ indicates the total intelligence of $x$ individual in age of $k$ and $P(S)$ indicates his intelligence level. His intelligence he used in each intelligence level will be $\mathrm{S} \cap \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{i}}$ and his intelligence level will be $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{S} \cap \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$. Then, the total intelligence used by X individual in age of k is;

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\left(S \cap B_{1}\right) \cup\left(S \cap B_{2}\right) \cup\left(S \cap B_{3}\right) \cup \ldots \cup\left(S \cap B_{n}\right) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

his intelligence level is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~S})<\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~S} \cap \mathrm{~B}_{1}\right)+\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~S} \cap \mathrm{~B}_{2}\right)+\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~S} \cap \mathrm{~B}_{3}\right)+\ldots+\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~S} \cap \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we consider hypothesis 2 for two intelligences like $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ to explain the statement in simpler way, Fig. 2 will establish.


Fig. 2 Indication of $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ intelligences
The rate of $B_{1}$ intelligence of an individual in his $S$ total intelligence;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{B}_{1}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~S} \cap \mathrm{~B}_{1}\right)}{\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{1}\right)} \text { and } \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~S} \cap \mathrm{~B}_{1}\right)=\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{1}\right) \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{B}_{1}\right) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $S$ is known, its share in $B_{1}$ will be found from the equation below.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{1} / \mathrm{S}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~S} \cap \mathrm{~B}_{1}\right)}{\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~S})}=\frac{\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{DEKMFH})}{\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{~S})} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{S} \cap \mathrm{B}_{1}\right)$ is drawn from (22) equation, then:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~S} \cap \mathrm{~B}_{1}\right)=\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~S}) \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{1} / \mathrm{S}\right) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, if S intelligence of individual is known, it shares in $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ intelligence will be found as follows.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{2} / \mathrm{S}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~S} \cap \mathrm{~B}_{2}\right)}{\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~S})}=\frac{\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{HEKLMF})}{\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{~S})} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we draw $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{S} \cap \mathrm{B}_{2}\right)$ from (24) equation, then;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~S} \cap \mathrm{~B}_{2}\right)=\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~S}) \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{2} / \mathrm{S}\right) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

As intersection of $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ intelligences is not empty, the intelligence level of intersection will be $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{B}_{1} \cap \mathrm{~B}_{2}\right)=\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{BJGA})$ and
$\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{S})=\mathrm{P}\left[\left(\mathrm{S} \cap \mathrm{B}_{1}\right) \cup\left(\mathrm{S} \cap \mathrm{B}_{2}\right)\right]$
$\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{S})=\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{S} \cap \mathrm{B}_{1}\right)+\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{S} \cap \mathrm{B}_{2}\right)-\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{S} \cap \mathrm{B}_{1} \cap \mathrm{~B}_{2}\right)$
If we show such intelligence levels as an area ;

$$
\mathrm{P}\left[\left(\mathrm{~S} \cap \mathrm{~B}_{1}\right) \cup\left(\mathrm{S} \cap \mathrm{~B}_{2}\right)\right]=\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{DEKMF})+\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{HEKLM}) \mathrm{F}-\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{HEKMF}
$$

If we equalize equations (21) and (23), then; $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{S} \cap \mathrm{B}_{1}\right)=\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{B}_{1}\right) \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{B}_{1}\right)$ and $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{S} \cap \mathrm{B}_{1}\right)=\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{S}) \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{B}_{1} / \mathrm{S}\right)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~S}) \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{1} / \mathrm{S}\right)=\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{1}\right) \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{B}_{1}\right) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{B}_{1} / \mathrm{S}\right)$ is drawn from here, then;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{1} / \mathrm{S}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{1}\right) \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{B}_{1}\right)}{\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~S})}=\frac{\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{1} \cap \mathrm{~S}\right)}{\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~S} \cap \mathrm{~B}_{1}\right)+\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~S} \cap \mathrm{~B}_{2}\right)-\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~S} \cap \mathrm{~B}_{1} \cap \mathrm{~B}_{2}\right)} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, let's try to explain in which percentage the intelligence of X individual in age of k consists of his existing abilities uses his total intelligence. The Venn diagram of intersections of $\mathrm{B}_{1}, \mathrm{~B}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{~B}_{7}, \mathrm{~B}_{8}, \ldots .$. intelligences that are not empty is given in Fig. 3.
If we write (22) equation for any $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{i}}$, the following equation is found.
$\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{i}} / \mathrm{S}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{S} \cap \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)}{\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{S})}$


Fig. 3 Indication of intelligences of which intersections are not empty

The difficulty here, as it can be seen in equation (22), is that there is no $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{S})$. As the intelligences to be operated increase, the term number in calculation of $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{S})$ will also increase. For example, for $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ intelligences, the term number in calculation of $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{S})$ is $\binom{2}{1}+\binom{2}{2}=3$ and for $B_{1}, B_{2}, B_{3}$ and B4 intelligences, the term number in calculation of $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{S})$ is $\binom{4}{1}+\binom{4}{2}+\binom{4}{3}+\binom{4}{4}=15$. Similarly, the term number necessary in calculation of $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{S})$ for 8 intelligences is totally 245 . In other words; the expression of

$$
\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~S})=\mathrm{P}\left[\left(\mathrm{~S} \cap \mathrm{~B}_{1}\right) \cup\left(\mathrm{S} \cap \mathrm{~B}_{2}\right) \cup\left(\mathrm{S} \cap \mathrm{~B}_{3}\right) \cup \ldots . . .\left(\mathrm{S} \cap \mathrm{~B}_{7}\right) \cup\left(\mathrm{S} \cap \mathrm{~B}_{8}\right)\right]
$$

must be calculated. This means calculation will be very difficult.

Example: To be able to be an architect, $65 \% \mathrm{~B}_{1}$ and $50 \% \mathrm{~B}_{2}$ intelligences are required. Mr. Altan is known as an architect and can use $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ intelligences together in rate of $15 \%$. Architect Mr. Altan exhibits a behavior for which he does not use $10 \%$ of $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ intelligence and $5 \%$ of $B_{2}$ intelligence. What is the probability of that behavior that may result from $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ intelligence?
Let's consider that behavior exhibited by Mr. Altan is S event.
$\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{B}_{1} / \mathrm{S}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{B}_{1}\right) \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{B}_{1}\right)}{\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{S})}=\frac{\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{B}_{1} \cap \mathrm{~S}\right)}{\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{S} \cap \mathrm{B}_{1}\right)+\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{S} \cap \mathrm{B}_{2}\right)-\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{S} \cap \mathrm{B}_{1} \cap \mathrm{~B}_{2}\right)}$
$\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{B}_{1} / \mathrm{S}\right)=\cdot \frac{0,55}{0.55+0.45-0.15}=\frac{55}{85}=0.647$
The probability of that behavior that may result from $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ intelligence is $64.7 \%$.

## 3 Conclusion

Although there are many applications of Bayesian Theorem in different fields in literature, such as business, engineering, marketing and so on, multiple
intelligence theory has not been modeled mathematically yet, even Bayesian Theorem. In this study, it is shown that multiple intelligence theory can be modeled mathematically with Bayesian theorem. It is also shown that the number of multiple intelligences is generalized to n . Depending on the hypothesis of Gardner that intelligences must be considered separate from each other, a modeling is made separated intelligences and depending on the hypothesis of Armstrong that intelligences always interacted from each other and eight different intelligences work together peculiar to each individual, a modeling for none-separated intelligences are made. While the modeling process of each of intelligences that is separate from each other is realized very clearly, modeling when intersections of intelligences are not empty requires great number of operations. The modeling is suitable for the intelligences to be established and also supports the statement given by Gardner in 2003 in the article that he continued his studies on multiple intelligences. As no clear opinion was made about which of the hypothesis that were discussed with the other academicians is definitely valid, both of hypothesis are included in the study.
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