

# Design and Evaluation of a New Multicast Protocol in Micro-Mobility Environments\*

HO-SEOK KANG & YOUNG-CHUL SHIM  
 Department of Computer Engineering  
 Hongik University  
 Mapogu Sangsudong  
 SEOUL, KOREA

*Abstract:* - To reduce the control message overhead due to frequent handoffs in mobile IP, micro-mobility protocols have been proposed. In this paper we present a new approach for providing multicast services in micro-mobility environments. The proposed protocol builds a shared multicast tree around a core. When a node moves to a new cell, it is attached to the tree using the remote subscription mechanism if necessary. But with an efficient packet forwarding mechanism the packet loss during hand-offs is minimized. The performance of the protocol is evaluated through simulation.

*Key-Words:* - Multicast, Mobile IP, Handoff, Micro-mobility, Performance

## 1 Introduction

Many applications require multicasting services. Mobile IP is the current standard for supporting macro-mobility in IP networks and provides a framework for allowing users to roam outside their home networks. Whenever a mobile node moves between neighboring base stations, its movement should be notified to its home agent. If the mobile node is highly mobile, overhead due to this registration becomes excessive. To reduce overhead in mobility management, micro-mobility protocols such as TeleMIP[1] and HAWAII[2] have been proposed. In a micro-mobility environment, a mobile network consisting of a large number of cells is called a domain. There are many domains that are connected to the Internet core. Intra-domain handoffs are handled by micro-mobility protocols and are not visible outside the domain while inter-domain handoffs are processed by macro-mobility protocols that are mobile IP.

In this paper we present a new multicast routing protocol in a micro-mobility environment. The proposed multicast routing protocol builds a shared multicast tree and does not assume any unicast micro-mobility protocols.

When a multicast group member node moves to a new cell, the protocol attaches the member node to the multicast tree using the remote subscription mechanism to guarantee the minimum cost packet delivery path to the member node. But to avoid the packet loss due to the set-up time associated with the remote subscription, we use the packet forwarding mechanism using the crossover router or a base station as a forwarding agent.

The rest of paper is organized as follows. Section 2 explains related works. Section 3 describes the proposed multicast routing algorithm. Section 4 presents results of performance evaluation using simulation and is followed by the conclusion in Section 5.

## 2 Related Works

IETF proposes two approaches to support mobile multicast: bi-directional tunneling and remote subscription[3]. With bi-directional tunneling, mobile hosts send and receive all multicast mobile IP tunnels from their home agents. This approach handles source mobility as well as recipient mobility, and in fact hides host mobility from all other members of the group. But, the drawbacks are two-fold: triangle routing problem and tunnel convergence problem. Due to the first problem the routing path for multicast delivery can be far from optimal. The second, problem limits its scalability. Home agents with multiple mobile group members away from home must replicate and deliver

---

\* This research was supported by the MIC, Korea, under the ITRC support program supervised by the IITA (IITA-2006-C1090-0603-0028) and also by the grant No. R01-2006-000-10073-0 from the Basic Research Program of the Korea Science & Engineering Foundation

tunneled multicast packets to each of them, regardless of at which foreign networks they reside. Harrison et al proposed a protocol called MoM to solve the tunnel convergence problem in bi-directional tunneling[4].

Remote subscription on a foreign network is the simplest option for obtaining multicast services since it does not have any special encapsulation requirements, and only operates using existing protocols. With this option, the mobile host is required to re-subscribe to the multicast group on each foreign network and must use a co-located care of address. If the mobile host is highly mobile, however, packets will be lost owing to the set-up time associated with multicast subscription.

### 3 Description of Multicast Routing Protocol

In this section we describe the proposed multicast routing protocol in a micro-mobility environment. We first explain the micro-mobility environment then the multicast routing protocol.

As in Figure 1, a micro-mobility domain consists of a collection of paging areas that are connected to the domain root router(DRR). A paging area consists of many cells, each of which is managed by a base station(BS). A collection of BSs belonging to a paging area forms a tree with the paging area router (PAR) at the root. PARs are connected to the DRR of a

micro-mobility domain through connecting routers. DRR, connecting routers, and PARs form an arbitrary topology. The purpose of introducing paging areas is to reduce the control messages required to handle handoffs. If a mobile node is idle and moves from one cell to another in the same paging area, it does not notify its movement and, therefore, saves control messages. Regarding an idle mobile node, a domain root router knows only the paging area in which the mobile node resides but does not know which cell it belongs to. When a message has to be delivered, the exact location of the mobile node is found using a paging mechanism.

Multicast members build a multicast delivery tree in the form of a bidirectional shared tree around a core. The core is determined by the group initiator that creates the multicast group. If the group initiator can predict domains in which multicast members will reside, it finds the geographical center of DRRs of those domains in the Internet backbone using the algorithm proposed in [5]. If the group initiator cannot predict such domains but can assume that multicast members will be uniformly distributed among domains, it randomly selects one among candidate geographical centers of all DRRs. Candidate centers can be calculated a priori.

A mobile node wishing to join a multicast group as a receiver or sender notifies its BS by sending a join request toward the core. The join message travels




Figure 1 Micro-Mobility Environment




Figure.2 Intra-Paging Area Handoff

until it reaches a multicast tree node (BS or router) or the core. When a mobile node has messages to multicast, it sends them to its BS, which deliver them along the bidirectional multicast tree to all group members.

There are three cases in handoffs: intra-paging area, inter-paging area, and inter-domain. They are depicted as ho1, ho2, and ho3, respectively in Figure 1. We first explain how the intra-paging area handoff works with Figure 2.

```

M notifies new BS of its arrival;
if (M is the first group member in new BS) {
    New BS requests crossover BS (starred BS in
    the figure 2) of old and new BSs to tunnel
    multicast packets so that it can relay them to
    M;
    New BS connects to multicast tree by sending
    join request;
    After receiving join ack, new BS asks
    crossover BS to stop tunneling;
    /* Now multicast packets arrive through the
    new path */}
else {
    /* New BS is already connected to the tree. So
    nothing to do */}
    
```

If there already exists a group member in the new cell, a mobile node, M, receives packets from the new BS. Otherwise the new BS receives multicast packets from the crossover BS through tunneling and relays them to M. Therefore, the crossover BS is used as a forwarding agent of multicast packets and this happens to be the closest forwarding agent from M.

Because we assume that each BS knows the topology of the paging area to which it belongs, the crossover BS can be located without spending much time. In the meantime, the new BS sends join request toward the core. After it is connected to the tree, it asks the forwarding agent to stop tunneling because multicast packets are arriving through the new path. After detecting M's leaving, the old BS checks if M was the last member in its cell. If so, the old BS waits for some time and then sends a leave message toward the core.

Inter-paging area hand-offs and inter-domain handoffs are handled similarly. The only difference is that the forwarding agent becomes PAR of the old BS: PAR1 in case of ho2 and PAR2 in case of ho3 in Figure 1. So far we explained handoffs for receiver members. Handoffs of sender members are processed similarly except that the direction of the data delivery is reversed.


The proposed algorithm hides node mobility within a domain to the outside and increases the stability of the multicast delivery tree. It uses remote subscription mechanism to make the delivery path to the mobile node as short as possible and at the same time uses the packet forwarding mechanism using the nearest base station or router as a forwarding agent to minimize the packet loss during the remote subscription being processed.

#### 4 Performance Evaluation


As we described in Section 2 that IETF proposed two protocols for multicasting in mobile environments: remote subscription and bi-directional tunneling. We compare the performance of our proposed protocol with these two IETF protocols through simulation using ns2.

The logical environment for simulation consists of a sender, a home agent, and a single domain consisting of two paging areas as in Figure 3 (a). Each paging area is composed of 25 base stations and the physical topology of these 25 bases stations within a paging area is shown in Figure 3 (b). The bandwidth of a wired link is set to 10 Mbps and the bandwidth of a wireless link is assumed to be 1 Mbps. In the simulation we increased the number of mobile nodes from 1 to 30 and the speed of a mobile node from 1m/s to 20m/s. We also assume that there is only one multicast group with the sender node residing outside the foreign domain.

The metrics used to compare the performance of protocols are the packet delivery success ratio and the total number of nodes that a single multicast packet



(a) Logical Topology




(b) Physical Topology of Base Stations


Figure 3. Simulation Environment

from the sender visits until it is delivered to all the group members. The success ratio and the node count are obtained for each multicast packet and then averaged over all the multicast packets.


Figure 4 shows the simulation results for measuring success ratios of three protocols. It shows that the proposed protocol has the best success ratio while the bi-directional tunneling has the worst success ratio. It also shows that the success ratio decreases as the speed of a node gets faster. In case of bi-directional tunneling, when a node moves to a new cell, it has to register to its home agent and build a new tunneling path between its home agent and itself and this process takes quite a long time. Until this process is completed,



(a) Remote Subscription



(b) Bi-directional tunneling



(c) Proposed Algorithms

Figure 4. Packet Delivery Success Ratio




Figure 5. Node counts

multicast packets cannot be delivered to the mobile node, therefore, resulting in the worst success ratio. In case of remote subscription, when a node moves to a new cell, it sends a join request to the multicast tree if necessary. Until this join request is processed, the multicast packets are not delivered to the mobile node. In general processing a join request takes a long time (although it takes less time than building a new bi-directional tunnel) and, therefore, it results in poor success ratio. The proposed protocol sends a join request like the remote subscription but it also sends a packet forwarding request to a nearby forwarding agent. In general handling packet forwarding consumes much less time than processing a join request, therefore, the proposed protocol achieves the best success ratio.

Figure 5 shows the simulation results for node counts. Bi-directional tunneling suffers from not only the triangular routing problem but also the duplicated tunnel problem and has the worst node counts. Remote subscription always builds the near optimal multicast tree and it achieves the best node counts. In case of the proposed protocol, when a node moves to a new cell, packets are forwarded from a forwarding agent until the join request is processed, which results in a slightly longer delivery path until the join request is completed. But the simulation result shows that this effect is negligible. Although remote subscription has the best node counts, it suffers from the critical drawback that it cannot receive packets until a join request is processed.

## 5 Conclusion

To reduce the control message overhead due to location management, micro-mobility protocols have been proposed. In this paper we presented protocols for efficiently providing multicasting services in micro-mobility environments. The proposed multicast routing protocol builds a shared multicast tree and does not assume any unicast micro-mobility protocols. Node mobility within a domain is hidden to the outside and the protocol increases the stability of the multicast tree. It uses the remote-subscription approach and the mechanism of packet forwarding via nearby forwarding agent to provide seamless packet delivery to group members in spite of frequent handoffs. Through the simulation it is shown that the proposed protocol achieves high packet delivery success ratio and increases the length of the packet delivery path only slightly longer than the optimal case.

Although we did not include MoM in our simulation, we can infer that the success ratio and the node count of MoM are worse than those of the proposed protocol because MoM does support fast packet forwarding during handoffs and still suffers from the triangular routing problem.

### References:

- [1] S. Das et al, *TeleMIP: Telecommunication-Enhanced Mobile IP Architecture for Fast Intradomain Mobility*, IEEE Personal Communications, August 2000.
- [2] R. Ramjee et al, *HAWAII: A Domain-Based Approach for Supporting Mobility in Wide-Area Wireless Networks*, IEEE/ACM Trans. on Networking, 10(3), June 2002.
- [3] G. Xylomenos & G. Polyzos, *IP Multicast for Mobile Hosts*, IEEE Communications Magazine, Jan. 1997
- [4] T. Harrison, C. Williamson, W. Mackrell & R. Bunt, *Mobile Multicast (MoM) Protocol: Multicast Support for Mobile Hosts*, Proc. of ACM MOBICOM, 1997.
- [5] Y.-C. Shim & S.-K. Kang, *New Center Location Algorithms for Shared Multicast Trees*, Networking 2002, LNCS 2345, 2002.
- [6] Y.-C. Shim, H.-A. Kim, and J.-I. Lee, *Design and Evaluation of a New Micro-mobility Protocol in Large Mobile and Wireless Networks*, ICCSA 2005