# Similarity solutions of a MHD boundary-layer flow of a non-Newtonian fluid past a continuous moving surface 
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Abstract: The present paper deals with a theoretical and numerical analysis of similarity solutions of the two-dimensional boundary-layer flow of a power-law non-Newtonian fluid past a permeable surface in the presence of a magnitic field $B(x)$ applied perpendiculaire to the surface. The magnetic field $B$ is assumed to be proportional to $x^{\frac{m-1}{2}}$, where $x$ is the coordinate along the plate measured from the leading edge and $m$ is a constant. The problem depends on the power law exponent $m$, the power-law index, $n$, and the magnetic parameter $M$ or the Stewart number. It is shown, under certain circumstance, that the problem has an infinite number of solutions.

## 1. Introduction

The prototype of the problem under investigation is

$$
\begin{gather*}
\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(\left|\frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial y^{2}}\right|^{n-1} \frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial y^{2}}\right)+\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} \frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial y^{2}}-\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} \frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial x y}  \tag{1.1}\\
+u_{e} \frac{\partial u_{e}}{\partial x}-\sigma B^{2}\left(\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y}-u_{e}\right)=0
\end{gather*}
$$

with the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y}(x, 0)=u_{w}(x), \quad \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x}(x, 0)=v_{w}(x) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{y \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y}(x, 0)=u_{e}(x) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the unknown function is the streamfunction $\psi, u_{e}$ is the free stream velocity, $k, \rho, \sigma$ and $n$ are permeability, fluid density, electric conductivity and power-law index, respectively. The above problem is a model for the first approximation to two-dimensional laminar incompressible flow of an electrically conducting non-Newtonian power-law fluid pat a moving plate surface. Here the $x \geq 0$ and $y \geq 0$ are the

Cartesian coordinates along and normal to the plate with $y=0$ is the plate, the plate origin located at $x=y=0$. The magnetic field is given by $B(x)=B_{0} x^{\frac{m-1}{2}}, B_{0}>0$, and is assumed to be applied normally to the surface.
Problem (1.1)-(1.3) is deduced from the boundary-layer approximation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial v}{\partial y}=0 \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+v \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \\
& =\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\right|^{n-1} \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\right)+u_{e} \frac{\partial u_{e}}{\partial x}-\sigma B^{2}\left(u-u_{e}\right) \tag{1.5}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& u(x, 0)=u_{w}(x), \quad v(x, 0)=v_{w}(x) \\
& \lim _{y \rightarrow \infty} u(x, y)=u_{e}(x) \tag{1.6}
\end{align*}
$$

according to $u=\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y}$, and $v=-\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x}$, where $u$ and $v$ represent the components of the fluid velocity in the direction of increasing $x$ and $y$. Here, it is assumed that the flow behavior of the nonNewtonian fluid is described by the Ostwald-de Waele power law model, where the shear stress is
related to the strain rate $\partial u / \partial y$ by the expression [7], [13], [20],

$$
\tau=K\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\right|^{n-1} \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}
$$

where $K$ is a positive constant and $n>0$ is called the power-law index. The case $n<1$ is referred to as pseudo-plastic fluids (or shear-thinning fluids), the case $n>1$ is known as dilatant or shear-thickening fluids. The Newtonian fluid is, of course, a special case where the power-law index $n$ is one. The stretching, suction/injection velocities and the free stream velocity are assumed to be of the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{w}(x)=u_{w} x^{m}, \quad v_{w}(x)=-v_{s} x^{\frac{m(2 n-1)-n}{n+1}} \\
& u_{e}(x)=u_{\infty} x^{m} \tag{1.7}
\end{align*}
$$

where $u_{w}$ and $u_{\infty}$ are positive constants and $v_{s}$ is a real number with $v_{s}<0$ for injection and $v_{s}>0$ for suction.

The magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) flow problems find applications in many physical, geophysical and industrial fields. Pavlov [17] was the first who examined the MHD flow over a stretching wall in an electrically conducting fluid, with an uniform magnetic field. Further studies in this direction are those of Chakrabarti and Gupta [8], Vajravelu [26], Takhar et al. [25, 22], Kumari et al. [14], Andersson et al. [3] and Watanabe and Pop [27]. The possibility of obtaining similarity solutions for the MHD flow over a stretching permeable surface subject to suction or injection was considered by [8], [26] for some values of the mass transfer parameter, say, $f_{w}$ and by Pop and Na [18], for large values of $f_{w}$ and where the stretching velocity varies linearly with the distance and where the suction/injection velocity is constant. The MHD flow over a stretching permeable surface with variable suction/injection velocity can be found in [9] A complet physical interpretation of the problem can be found in [8], [19], [21], [24].

In the present paper, we will examine semilarity solutions to (1.1)-(1.3) in the usual form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(x, y)=\lambda x^{s} f(\eta), \quad \eta=\gamma \frac{y}{x^{r}} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s$ and $r$ are real numbers, $\lambda>0$ and $\gamma>0$ are such that

$$
\lambda \gamma=u_{\infty}, \quad \alpha \lambda^{n-2} \gamma^{2(n-1)}=1
$$

Using (1.1) and (1.8) we find that the profile function satisfies
$\left(\left|f^{\prime \prime}\right|^{n-1} f^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime}+s f f^{\prime \prime}+m\left(1-f^{2}\right)+M\left(1-f^{\prime}\right)=0$,
if and only if

$$
m=s-r, \quad s(2-n)+r(2 n-1)=1
$$

which leads to

$$
s=\frac{1+m(2 n-1)}{1+n}
$$

In equation (1.9) the primes denote differentiations with respect to the similarity variable $\eta \in$ $(0, \infty)$ and the unknown function $f$ denotes the similar stream function and its derivative, after suitable normalisation, represents the velocity parallel to the surface. The parameter $M=\frac{\sigma B_{0}^{2}}{u_{\infty} \rho}$ is the magnetic parameter. Equation (1.9) will be solved subject to the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(0)=a, f^{\prime}(0)=b \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}(\infty)=\lim _{\eta \rightarrow \infty} f^{\prime}(\eta)=1 \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The parameters $a$ and $b$ are given by where $a=(n+1) v_{s}\left(\alpha u_{\infty}^{2 n-1}\right)^{-1 /(n+1)}$ and $b=\frac{u_{w}}{u_{\infty}}$. For the Newtonian fluid $(n=1)$ The ODE reads

$$
\begin{align*}
& f^{\prime \prime \prime}+s f f^{\prime \prime}+m\left(1-f^{\prime 2}\right)+M\left(1-f^{\prime}\right)=0 \\
& s=\frac{m+1}{2} \tag{1.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Numerical and analytical solutions to (1.12), in the absence of the free stream function $\left(f^{\prime}(\infty)=\right.$ $0)$ were obtained in [9], [11], [18], [23]. Numerical solutions, in the presence of the free stream velocity can be found in [4], [19], [24], for both momentum and heat tranfers.
In a physical different but mathematically identical context, equation (1.12), with $M=-m$, which reads (by a scaling)

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime \prime \prime}+(1+m) f f^{\prime \prime}+2 m f^{\prime}\left(1-f^{\prime}\right)=0 \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

has been investigated by Aly et al. [2], Brighi et al. [5], Brighi and Hoernel [6], Guedda [12], Magyari and Aly [15] and Nazar et al. [16]. This equation with the boundary condition $(a=0, b=$ $1+\varepsilon$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(0)=0, \quad f^{\prime}(0)=1+\varepsilon, \quad f^{\prime}(\infty)=1 \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

arises in the modeling the mixed convection boundary-layer flow in a porous medium. In [2] it is found that if $m$ is positive and $\varepsilon$ takes place in the rang $\left[\varepsilon_{0}, \infty\right)$, for some negative $\varepsilon_{0}$, there are two numerical solutions. The case $-1 \leq m \leq 0$ is also considered in [2]. The authors studied the
problem for $\varepsilon_{c} \leq \varepsilon \leq 0.5$, for some $\varepsilon_{c}<0$. It is shown that there exists $\varepsilon_{t}$ such that the problem has two numerical solutions for $\varepsilon_{c} \leq \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_{t}$. In [12] Guedda has investigated the theoritical analysis of (1.13), (1.14). It was shown that, if $-1<m<0$ and $-1<\varepsilon<1 / 2$, there is an infinite number of solutions, which indeed motivated the present work. Some new interesting results on the uniqueness of concave and convex solutions to (1.13) (1.14), for $m>0$ and $\varepsilon>-1$ were reported in [6].

Most recently Aly et al. [1] have investigated the numerical and theoritical analysis of the existence, the uniqueness and non-uniqueness of solutions to (1.13), (1.14). It is shown that the problem has a unique concave solution and a unique convex solution for any $m>0$ and $M \geq 0$. The case where the free stream is being retarded (increasing pressure) is also considered. The authors proved that, for any $-\frac{1}{3}<m<-M<0$ and any real number $a$, the problem (Newtonian case ) has an infinite number of solutions. The multiplicity of solutions is also examined for $-\frac{1}{2}<m<-M<0$ provided $b>\frac{M}{m+1}$ and $a \geq \frac{b}{\sqrt{(m+1) b-M}}$.

The purpose of the this note is to examine problem (1.9)-(1.11) for $<m<-M<0$.

## 2. Existence of infinitely many solutions

The interest in this section will be in the existence question of multiple solutions of problem (1.9)-(1.11), where $-1<m(2 n-1), m<0$ and $m+M<0$. The existence result will be established by means of a shooting method. Hence, the boundary condition at infinity is replaced by the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime \prime}(0)=\tau, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma$ is the shooting parameter which has to be determined. Local in $\eta$ solution to (1.9), (1.10), (2.1) exists for every $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$, and it is unique. Denote this solution by $f_{\tau}$. Let us describe what conditions will be imposed for $f_{\tau}$ to be global and satisfies (1.11). Note that the real number $\tau$ has a physical meaning. This parameter originates from the local skin friction coefficient, $c_{f}$, and the local Reynolds numbers, $R e_{x}$,
$\frac{1}{2} c_{f} R e_{x}^{1 / n+1}=\left[\frac{m(2 n-1)+1}{n(n+1)}\right]^{n /(n+1)}\left|f_{\tau}^{\prime \prime}(0)\right|^{n-1} f_{\tau}^{\prime \prime}(0)$,
On the other hand, since $a \geq 0$ and $b>0$ we may assume $f_{\tau}, f_{\tau}^{\prime}>0$ on some $\left(0, \eta_{0}\right), 0<\eta_{0}<\eta_{\tau}$.

Hence, the function $E$ is monotonic decreasing on $\left(0, \eta_{0}\right)$. This implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left(\eta_{0}\right) \leq E(0) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which shows that $E\left(\eta_{0}\right) \leq 0$, tanks to (2.3). If $f_{\tau}^{\prime}\left(\eta_{0}\right)=0$, we get $E\left(\eta_{0}\right)=E(0)=0$, and then $E(\eta)=0$ for all $0 \leq \eta \leq \eta_{0}$. Therefore $f_{\tau}^{\prime \prime} \equiv 0$ on $\left(0, \eta_{0}\right)$, and this implies $\tau=0$ and $b=0$ or $b=\Gamma$, a contradiction. Hence $f_{\tau}$ is monotonic striclty increasing.
To show that $f_{\tau}$ is global, we use again the function $E$ to deduce

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{n+1}\left|f_{\tau}^{\prime \prime}\right|^{n+1}-\frac{m}{3} f_{\tau}^{\prime 3}-\frac{M}{2} f_{\tau}^{\prime 2}+(M+m) f_{\tau}^{\prime} \\
& \quad \leq \frac{1}{n+1}|\tau|^{n+1}-\frac{m}{3} b^{3}-\frac{M}{2} b^{2}+(M+m) b \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore $f_{\tau}^{\prime \prime}$ and $f_{\tau}^{\prime}$ are bounded. Hence, $f_{\tau}$ is bounded on $\left(0, \eta_{\tau}\right)$, if $\eta_{\tau}$ is finite, which is absurd. Consequently $\eta_{\tau}=\infty$; that is $f_{\tau}$ is global. Moreover, $f_{\tau}$ has a limit, say $L \in(0, \infty]$, at infinity, since $f_{\tau}^{\prime}$ is positive. To demonstrate that $L$ is infinite, we assume for the sake of contradiction that $L<\infty$. Hence, there exists a sequence $\left(\eta_{r}\right)$ converging to infinity with $r$ such that $f_{\tau}^{\prime}\left(\eta_{r}\right)$ tends to 0 as $n$ tends to infinity. Clearly,

$$
\begin{gathered}
-\frac{m}{3} f_{\tau}^{\prime}\left(\eta_{r}\right)^{3}-\frac{M}{2} f_{\tau}^{\prime}\left(\eta_{r}\right)^{2}+(M+m) f_{\tau}^{\prime}\left(\eta_{r}\right) \\
\leq E\left(\eta_{r}\right) \leq E(0), \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}
\end{gathered}
$$

which implies $0 \leq E(\infty) \leq E(0)$. As above, we get a contradiction. It remains to show that the second derivative of $f_{\tau}$ tends to 0 at infinity, which is the case if $f_{\tau}^{\prime \prime}$ is monotone on some interval $\left[\eta_{0}, \infty\right)$, since $f_{\tau}^{\prime \prime}$ and $f_{\tau}^{\prime}$ are bounded. Assume that $\left|f_{\tau}^{\prime \prime}\right|^{n-1} f_{\tau}^{\prime \prime}$ is not monotone on any interval $\left[\eta_{0}, \infty\right)$. Then, there exists an increasing sequence $\left(\eta_{r}\right)$ going to infinity with $r$, such that $\left(\left|f_{\tau}^{\prime \prime}\right|^{n-1} f_{\tau}^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime}\left(\eta_{r}\right)=0,\left|f_{\tau}^{\prime \prime}\right|^{n-1} f_{\tau}^{\prime \prime}\left(\eta_{2 r}\right)$ is a local maximum and $\left|f_{\tau}^{\prime \prime}\right|^{n-1} f_{\tau}^{\prime \prime}\left(\eta_{2 r+1}\right)$ is a local minimum. Setting $\eta=\eta_{r}$ in equation (1.9) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
s f_{\tau}^{\prime \prime}\left(\eta_{r}\right)=-\frac{m\left(1-f_{\tau}^{\prime}\left(\eta_{r}\right)^{2}\right)+M\left(1-f_{\tau}^{\prime}\left(\eta_{r}\right)\right.}{f_{\tau}\left(\eta_{r}\right)} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because $f_{\tau}^{\prime}$ is bounded and $f(\eta)$ tends to infinity with $\eta$, we get from (2.6) $f_{\tau}^{\prime \prime}\left(\eta_{r}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and (then) $f_{\tau}^{\prime \prime}(\eta) \rightarrow 0$ as $\eta \rightarrow \infty$.

In the next result we shall prove that $f_{\tau}^{\prime}(\eta)$ goes to 1 as $\eta$ approaches infinity and this shows that problem (1.9)-(1.11) has an infinite number of solutions.

Lemma 2.2. Let $f_{\tau}$ be the (global) solution of (1.9), (1.10), (2.1) obtained in Lemma 2.1.

Then

$$
\lim _{\eta \rightarrow \infty} f_{\tau}^{\prime}(\eta)=1
$$

Proof. First we show that $f_{\tau}^{\prime}$ has a finite limit at infinity. From the proof of Lemma 2.1 the function $E$ hase a finite limit at infinity, $E_{\infty}$, say, and this limit takes place in the interval $\left[\frac{4 m+3 M}{6}, 0\right]$. Since $f_{\tau}^{\prime \prime}$ goes to 0 , we deduce that $-\frac{m}{3} f_{\tau}^{\prime 3}-\frac{M}{2} f_{\tau}^{\prime 2}+(M+m) f_{\tau}^{\prime}$ tends to $E_{\infty}$ as $\eta \rightarrow \infty$. Let $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ be two nonnegative real numbers given by

$$
L_{1}=\liminf _{\eta \rightarrow \infty} f_{\tau}^{\prime}(\eta) \text { and } L_{2}=\limsup _{\eta \rightarrow \infty} f_{\tau}^{\prime}(\eta)
$$

and satisfy

$$
E_{\infty}=-\frac{m}{3} L_{i}^{3}-\frac{M}{2} L_{i}^{2}+(M+m) L_{i}, \quad i=1,2
$$

Suppose that $L_{1} \neq L_{2}$ and fix $L$ so that $L_{1}<L<$ $L_{2}$. Let $\left(\eta_{r}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence tending to infinity with $n$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f_{\tau}^{\prime}\left(\eta_{r}\right)=L$. Using the function $E$ we infer

$$
E_{\infty}=-\frac{m}{3} L^{3}-\frac{M}{2} L^{2}+(M+m) L
$$

for all $L_{1}<L<L_{2}$, which is impossible. Then $L_{1}=L_{2}$. Hence, $f_{\tau}^{\prime}(\eta)$ has a finite limit at infinity. Let us note this limit by $L$, which is nonnegative. Assume that $L=0$. Then $E_{\infty}=0$. Since $E$ is a decreasing function, we deduce

$$
E \equiv 0
$$

and get a contradiction. Hence $L>0$. Next, we use identity (2.2) to deduce, as $\eta$ approaches infinity,
$\left|f_{\tau}^{\prime \prime}\right|^{n-1} f_{\tau}^{\prime \prime}(\eta)=-(M+m) \eta+M L \eta-s L^{2} \eta+\frac{1+3 n m}{n+1} L^{2} \eta+$
$\left|f_{\tau}^{\prime \prime}\right|^{n-1} f_{\tau}^{\prime \prime}(\eta)=\left[m L^{2}+M L-(M+m)\right] \eta+o(1)$, and this is only satisfied if $m L^{2}+M L-(M+$ $m)=0$, which implies $L=1$, since $L$ is positive. This ends the proof of the lemma and the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Lemma 2.2 shows also that $E_{\infty}=\frac{4 m+3 M}{6}<$ 0 . We finish this paper by a non-existence result in the case $m(2 m-1) \leq-1, n>\frac{1}{2}$ and $b \geq \Gamma$.
Theorem 2.2. Problem (1.9)-(1.10) has no nonnegative solution for $M>0, m<-M, m(2 n-$ $1)<-1$ and $b \geq \Gamma$.

Proof. Let $f$ be a nonnegative solution to (1.9)-(1.10)). As above, the function $E$ satisfies $E^{\prime}=-\frac{1+m(2 n-1)}{n+1} f f^{\prime \prime 2}$, which is nonnegative. Clearly, $E(0) \leq \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} E(t)$, hence

$$
-\frac{m}{3} b^{3}-\frac{M}{2} b^{2}+(M+m) b \leq \frac{4 m+3 M}{6}<0
$$

and this is not possible.

## 3. Numerical results

Now we presents the numerical results for differents values of $n m$ and $M$ :


Figure 1: $\mathrm{n}=1.5, \mathrm{M}=1$, and $\mathrm{m}=-2.5$


Figure 2: $\mathrm{n}=1.5, \mathrm{M}=1.2$, and $\mathrm{m}=-1.5$
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Figure 3: $\mathrm{n}=0.5, \mathrm{M}=1$, and $\mathrm{m}=-2.5$


Figure 4: $\mathrm{n}=0.5, \mathrm{M}=1.2$, and $\mathrm{m}=-1.5$
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