

# Algorithm for Defining the Distribution of Zeros of Random Polynomials

EFRAIM SHMERLING

The College of Judea and Samaria

Department of Computer Science and Mathematics

P.O. Box 3, Ariel 44837

ISRAEL

*Abstract:* - The problem of defining the distribution of real zeros for random n-th order polynomials whose coefficients have given continuous joint probability density function considered. A new algorithm for defining the distribution of real zeros via multiple integration presented. A theorem validating the algorithm proved. Realization and compatibility of the algorithm discussed.

*Key-Words:* - Random polynomial , Fourier- Budan theorem, Convex polyhedron, Multiple integral, Numerical method

## 1 Introduction

Analysis of behavior of zeros of random polynomials has been a subject of active research for several decades motivated by various applications in statistics , spectral analysis, physics, economy and other fields (some new results on random polynomials and their applications are described in [1],[5],[8],[11]). One of the main problems in the theory of random polynomials is defining the distribution or real zeros (see [10],[11]). Computer simulation remains the only available general method for solving the problem for arbitrary continuous joint probability density function (jpdf) of polynomial coefficients even for polynomials of relatively low degrees. Unfortunately, standard simulation algorithms and software can't be effectively utilized for solving the problem, therefore developing specific methods for defining the distribution of real zeros of random polynomials seems highly desirable. The latter motivated us to develop the algorithm presented in the current article

In order to describe the algorithm and prove its validity we introduce some notations.

Let  $\vec{a} = (a_0, \dots, a_n)$  denote a vector in (n+1)-dimensional Euclidean space  $R^{n+1}$ . Let  $F_n(\vec{a}, x)$  denote the polynomial  $a_0x^n + a_1x^{n-1} + \dots + a_{n-1}x + a_n$  , the coefficients of which are elements of  $\vec{a}$  .

Let  $S = \{\vec{a} : |a_0| < s_0, |a_1| < s_1, \dots, |a_n| < s_n\}$  ,  $i = \overline{0, n}$  denote a cube in  $R^{n+1}$  which defines the boundaries for the coefficients.

Let  $B$  denote the union of  $k$  nonintersecting intervals  $B_i = (l_i, d_i), i = \overline{1, k}$  in  $R$ .

Let  $\vec{a}(\omega) = (a_0(\omega), a_1(\omega), \dots, a_n(\omega))$  denote an  $(n+1)$  – dimensional random vector ,whose elements are random variables, not necessarily independent, which are coefficients of the random polynomial  $F(\vec{a}(\omega), x) = a_0(\omega) + a_1(\omega)x + \dots + a_n(\omega)x^n$

Let  $p(\vec{a})$  denote the joint probability density function of random coefficients.

Let  $Q_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k}(B) \in S$  denote the set of all vectors  $\vec{a}$  in  $S$  for which the corresponding polynomials  $F(\vec{a}, x)$  have  $n_1$  zeros in  $B_1, n_2$  zeros in  $B_2, \dots, n_k$  zeros in  $B_k$  and

$F(\vec{a}, l_i) \neq 0$  ,  $F(\vec{a}, r_i) \neq 0, i = \overline{1, k}$  is satisfied.

Obviously,  $n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_k \leq n$  must be satisfied.

Let  $P_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k}(B)$  denote the probability that  $F(\vec{a}(\omega), x)$  has  $n_1$  zeros in  $B_1$  ,  $n_2$  zeros in  $B_2$ , ...,  $n_k$  zeros in  $B_k$  .

Let  $Q_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k}^*(B)$  denote the set of vectors  $\vec{a}$  in  $S$  for which  $l_i, r_i, i = \overline{1, k}$  are not zeros of  $F(\vec{a}, x)$  ,

the number of zeros of  $f(\vec{a}, x)$  belonging to  $B_1$  equals  $n_1$  or equals  $n_1$  plus an even integer, the number of zeros that belong to  $B_2$  equals  $n_2$  or equals  $n_2$  plus an even integer, etc.

Let  $P_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k}^*(B)$  denote the probability that  $F(\vec{a}(\omega), x)$  has  $n_1$  zeros in  $B_1$  or  $n_1$  plus an even number of zeros in  $B_1$ ,  $n_2$  zeros or  $n_2$  plus an even number of zero in  $B_2$ , etc.

Since the Euclidean measure of the set of vectors  $\vec{a}$  which have one of the numbers  $l_i, r_i, i = \overline{1, k}$  as their zero, obviously equals zero, we have

$$(1) P_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k}^*(B) = \int_{Q_{n_1, \dots, n_k}(\vec{a})} p(\vec{a}) d\vec{a}$$

$$(2) P_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k}^*(B) = \int_{Q_{n_1, \dots, n_k}^*(\vec{a})} p(\vec{a}) d\vec{a}$$

In order to calculate the integral (2), we need to examine  $Q_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k}^*(B)$ .

## 2 Statement and Proof of the Theorem

We formulate the theorem which validates the algorithm presented in section 3.

**Theorem 1**  $Q_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k}^*(B)$  belongs to a union of a finite number (which is less than  $2^{(2n+2)k}$ ) of nonintersecting convex polyhedrons in  $S$ .

**Proof:** The proof of the Theorem 1 is based on the well-known Fourier-Budan theorem (see [4]).

**Fourier-Budan theorem** For any real polynomial  $p(x)$  of degree  $n$  and any real  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  such as  $\alpha < \beta$ ,  $\rho(\alpha) \neq 0$  and  $\rho(\beta) \neq 0$  the number of zeros in the interval  $[\alpha, \beta]$  (each zero counted with proper multiplicity) equals  $v(\alpha) - v(\beta)$  minus an even nonnegative integer, where  $v(x)$  denotes the number of sign changes in the sequence  $\{p(x), p'(x), \dots, p^n(x)\}$ .

Let's first examine the set  $Q_{n_1}(B_1)$  in  $S$  consisting

of all vectors  $\vec{a}$  for which the corresponding polynomial has exactly  $n_1$  zeros in  $B_1$ .

Consider the set  $SMP = \{SMP_i(n_1)\}_{i=1}^{N_1}$  of all sequences of pluses and minuses which consist of 2

subsequences  $SMP_i^l(n_1)$  and  $SMP_i^r(n_1)$  for which  $v(SMP_i^l(n_1)) - v(SMP_i^r(n_1))$  equals  $n_1$  or equals  $n_1$  plus an even integer. The number  $N_1$  of all distinct sequences belonging to  $SMP$  can be easily calculated. For each sequence  $SMP_i(n_1)$  from  $SMP$  we have a corresponding system of linear inequalities  $SYS(SMP_i(n_1), B_1)$ . The first inequality that belongs to  $SYS(SMP_i(n_1), B_1)$  has the form  $F(\vec{a}, l_1) = a_0 l_1^n + \dots + a_n > 0$  if the first element of  $SMP_i(n_1)$  is "+" or has the form  $F(\vec{a}, l_1) < 0$  if the first element of  $SMP_i(n_1)$  is "-". The second inequality in  $SYS(SMP_i(n_1), B_1)$  has the form  $F^1(\vec{a}, l_1) = n a_0 l_1^{n-1} + (n+1) a_1 l_1^{n-2} + \dots + a_{n-1} > 0$  if the second element of  $SMP_i(n_1)$  is "+" or the form  $F^1(\vec{a}, l_1) < 0$  if the second element of  $SMP_i(n_1)$  is "-". The following inequalities up to  $n$ -th are defined analogously.

The  $(n+1)$ -th inequality in  $SYS(SMP_i(n_1), B_1)$  is  $F^n(\vec{a}, l_1) = n! a_0 > 0$  or  $F^n(\vec{a}, l_1) < 0$  according to the corresponding element of  $SMP_i(n_1)$ . The inequalities with ordinary numbers from  $n+2$  to  $2n+2$  are defined analogously to the previous ones, we just substitute  $r$  instead of  $l$  in each of the previous inequalities. The double inequalities  $-s_j < a_j < s_j, j = \overline{0, n}$  are also included in  $SYS(SMP_i(n_1), B_1)$ . In view of Fourier-Budan

theorem a polynomial  $F(\vec{a}, x)$  has  $n_1$  or  $n_1$  plus an even integer zeros in  $B_1$  if its coefficients satisfy one of the systems of inequalities  $SYS(SMP_i(n_1), B_1), i = \overline{1, N_1}$ . The system of inequalities  $SYS(SMP_i(n_1), B_1)$  defines a convex polyhedron in  $S$ . Let  $PH_i(n_1, B_1)$  denote the set of points  $\vec{a} \in R^{n+1}$  that satisfy  $SYS(SMP_i(n_1), B_1)$ . We have

$$Q_{n_1}^*(B_1) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{N_1} PH_i(n_1, B_1). \text{ Analogously}$$

$$Q_{n_2}^*(B_2) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{N_2} PH_i(n_2, B_2), \dots,$$

$$Q_{n_k}^*(B_k) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{N_k} PH_i(n_k, B_k).$$

$$Q_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k}^*(B) \subset \bigcap_{j=1}^k \bigcup_{i=1}^{N_j} PH_i(n_i, B_i) \quad , \text{ from which}$$

follows that it belongs to the union of a finite number of nonintersecting convex polyhedrons since the intersection of two or more convex polyhedrons that belong to  $S$  is a convex polyhedron.

**Corollary 1** (2) equals the sum of a finite number of multiple integrals, each of which is calculated over a convex polyhedron defined by a system of linear inequalities, the polyhedrons have no intersections, and the integrand is

$$p(\vec{a}) * I(Q_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k}^*(B)), \text{ where}$$

$$I(Q_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k}^*(B)) = \begin{cases} 1, & \vec{a} \in Q_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k}^*(B) \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

**Corollary 2** In order to state Corollary 2 we just need to substitute (1) instead of (2) in the statement of Corollary 1.

We do not find it necessary to give the proof, but just note that, obviously, the probability that

$F(\vec{a}(\omega), x)$  has exactly  $n_1$  zeros in  $B_1$ ,  $n_2$  or more zeros in  $B_2$ ,  $n_3$  or more zeros in  $B_3$ , etc., equals

$$(3) P_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k}^*(B) - P_{n_1+2, n_2, \dots, n_k}^*(B)$$

and the probability that  $F(\vec{a}(\omega), x)$  has exactly  $n_1$  zeros in  $B_1$ , exactly  $n_2$  zeros in  $B_2$ ,  $n_3$  or more zeros in  $B_3$ ,  $n_4$  or more zeros in  $B_4$ , etc., can be expressed as

$$(4) P_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k}^*(B) - P_{n_1, n_2+2, \dots, n_k}^*(B) - (P_{n_1+2, n_2, \dots, n_k}^*(B) - P_{n_1+2, n_2+2, \dots, n_k}^*(B))$$

which shows how the formula which gives an explicit expression for (1) as a sum of multiple integrals over convex polyhedrons can be obtained.

### 3. Algorithm Description

Corollary 2 enables one to present the following algorithm for defining the probability that a random

polynomial  $F(\vec{a}(\omega), x)$  has exactly  $n_1$  zeros in  $B_1$ ,  $n_2$  zeros in  $B_2, \dots, n_k$  zeros in  $B_k$  provided that the given joint probability density function of coefficients is continuous (joint probability density function may take non-zero values in some bounded

domain  $D$  in  $R^{n+1}$  or be positive for any  $\vec{a} \in R^{n+1}$  which is the case for Gaussian random variables).

**Step 1:** Define the boundaries for random variables  $a_0(\omega), a_1(\omega), \dots, a_n(\omega)$ . If the probability density function takes non-zero values in some bounded domain  $D$  in  $R^{n+1}$ , the minimal possible (n+1)-dimensional cube of the form  $S$  has to be defined which includes  $D$ . If the probability density

function is positive for any  $\vec{a} \in R^{n+1}$ , the minimal cube  $S$  should be defined which satisfies  $\int_S p(\vec{a}) d\vec{a} > 1 - \varepsilon$ , where  $\varepsilon$  denotes the accuracy

required in calculating (1).

**Step 2:** Define all the systems of inequalities (polyhedrons), the integration over which is necessary in order to calculate the desired probability.

**Step 3:** Calculate the multiple integrals over the defined polyhedrons.

**Step 4:** Summarize the calculated integrals.

### 4. Algorithm Realization

The main advantage of the presented algorithm is a significant restriction of the integration domain.

In many cases the volume of  $Q_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k}^*(B)$  is  $10^{n+1}$  times smaller than the volume of  $S$ .

In order to realize the presented algorithm, the software for multiple integration over convex polyhedrons (step 3) must be combined with software for realization of step 2. Recently developed routines for multiple integration over complicated domains are described in [7] and [12], and a routine designed for integration over convex polyhedrons is presented in [9]. All these routines can be utilized in realization of the presented algorithm with nonsignificant modifications.

### 5. Conclusive Remarks

**Remark 1** The number of integrals which need to be calculated according to the presented algorithm grows rapidly with the growth of  $n$ , but for relatively small values of  $n$  the calculation of probabilities (1) via computer routines implementing the algorithm appear to be effective and compatible.

**Remark 2** Developing the software routines implementing the presented method, defining the maximum value of  $n$  for which the algorithm is realizable, estimation of its effectiveness and comparison with alternative methods are the main fields of planned future research.

Proceedings of the 7<sup>th</sup> WSEAS International Conference on Automatic Control, Modeling and Simulation, ASMOS '05.

*References:*

- [1] Yvan Castin, Zoran Hadzibabic, Sabine Stock, Jean Dalibard, and Sandro Stringari. *Quantized Vortices in the Ideal Bose Gas: A Physical Realization of Random Polynomials*. Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 04005 (2006)
- [2] A. Dembo, B. Poonen, Q. M. Shao and O. Zeitouni. *Random polynomials having few or no real zeros*. J. Amer. Math. Soc. 15, pp 857-892, 2002
- [3] A. Edelman and E. Kostian. "How many zeros of random polynomial are real?" Bull. Amer. Math. Soc (N.S) vol. 32 pp 1-37, 1995.
- [4] P. Henrici. *Applied and Computational Complex Analysis*, vol. 1, New York: Wiley, p. 443, 1988.
- [5] L. A. Imhof. *Random Polynomials with a Prescribed Number of Real Zeros*. Theory of Probability and its Applications, vol. 47 issue 3 pp 511-518, 2003
- [6] M. Korenblit and E. Shmerling. *Algorithm and Software for Integration over a Convex Polyhedron*, LNCS 4151 Mathematical Software - ICMS, pp 273-283, 2006
- [7] Juri Kulhanec, Radim Farana. *Distributed simulation with SIPRO program*. Proceedings of the 7<sup>th</sup> WSEAS International Conference on Automatic Control, Modeling and Simulation, ASMOS '05.
- [8] R. Schober, W. H. Gerstaecker. *The zeros of random polynomials: further results and applications*, IEEE Transactions on Communications, vol. 50, Issue 6, pp 892-896, June 2002
- [9] E. Shmerling and K. J. Hochberg. *Asymptotic behavior of roots of random polynomial equations*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 130 pp. 2761-2770, 2002
- [10] E. Shmerling and K. J. Hochberg. *Sturm's Method in Counting Roots of Random Polynomial Equations*. Methodology and Computing in Applied Probability, 6, pp 203-218, 2004
- [11] B. Siffman and S. Zelditch. *Equilibrium distribution of zeros of random polynomials*. International Math. Res. Notes, vol. 2003 pp. 25-49, 2003
- [12] Christina Stocker, Simon Vey, Axel Voigt. *AMBIS-Adaptive multidimensional simulations: adaptive finite elements for complex domain*.