A method of improving SCR for millimeter wave FM-CW radar without knowledge of target and clutter statistics

FUMIO NISHIYAMA and HIDEO MURAKAMI Department of information and computer science Kanazawa Institute of Technology 7-1 Ohigigaoka Nonoichi, Ishikawa 921-8501 JAPAN

Abstract: - This paper proposes a new method for improving Signal-to-Clutter Ratio (SCR) for millimeter wave Frequency-Modulated-Continuous-Wave (FM-CW) radar. Received echoes of FM-CW radar can be categorized into two types due to either a target or clutter. Generally, the target received data have a stronger correlation with respect to different carrier frequencies than that of the clutter received data. This difference is exploited for estimating the statistics of target data from received data only, and a matched filter used for improving SCR is then designed using the knowledge of the statistics. The performance of the method is analyzed and evaluated experimentally for 60GHz band FM-CW radar. The simulation shows that the proposed method improves SCR better than competing with other methods.

Key-Words: - Matched filter, Stochastic process, Signal-to-clutter ratio, Millimeter wave, FM-CW radar, Ground clutter, Automotive radar

1 Introduction

Millimeter wave Frequency-Modulated-Continuous-Wave (FM-CW) radar is studed as an automotive sensor system of the intelligent transportation system, and mounted in several cars. FM-CW radar transmits a waveform whose frequency changes linearly in time. Such FM-CW radar can increase transmission energy without increasing peak power by employing continuous waves instead of pulses used in usual radar systems [1]. This property is particularly advantageous for automotive radar [2,3]. Automotive radar, being placed at a position near the ground, inevitably receives clutter echoes from various objects such as road asphalt, sidewalk lines, and objects on the sidewalks. The clutter thus consists of objects with various sizes and surfaces, whereas a target such as an automobile is modeled as a relatively large plane with a smooth surface.

Clutter is a major factor that causes a false alarm in target detection. When a target is moving with respect to ground clutter, discriminating the target from clutter is relatively easy by exploiting the Doppler-effect. However, when a target is at rest, distinguishing it from the clutter is difficult. For such cases, improving Signal-to-Clutter Ratio (SCR) is vitally important.

Target signals corresponding to different carrier frequencies generally have a stronger correlation than

that of clutter cases [4]. Using this difference in correlation, we propose a method of improving SCR which does not require pre-knowledge of the correlation for a target and clutter. In this method, an autocorrelation function is first computed from received data for different carrier frequencies. Next, a power spectrum of received data is computed by taking DFT from the autocorrelation function of received data. And then, a target power spectrum is estimated from the power spectrum of received data. Finally, using the estimate, a matched filter is designed to improve SCR [5].

This paper is organized as follows. In Chap. 2, the FM-CW radar system is briefly explained and received signal models are formulated as a stochastic process. In Chap. 3, the proposed methods of estimating the power spectrum of a target, and of a procedure of exploiting the estimated power spectrum for increasing SCR are described. In Chap. 4, performance of the proposed method is analyzed by using data measured by 60GHz band FM-CW radar. Moreover, in Chap. 5, the performance is analyzed in detail using a Moving Average (MA) model for a target and clutter.

2 FM-CW radar

2.1 Stochastic process for received data

A block diagram of a double antenna FM-CW radar system studied in this paper is shown in Fig. 1. A signal generator generates a train of triangular pulses like a saw-tooth shape, and a modulator converts the train of pulses into a carrier frequency waveform sweeping its frequency according to the pulse train. Then the waveforms are radiated into space through a transmitting antenna.

Echoes reflected from objects, either targets or clutter, are received via a receiving antenna. The received carrier frequency waveform is converted into a baseband frequency waveform by a demodulator and then sampled. The sampled discrete signal is multiplied by a window function dividing it into blocks and then discrete Fourier-transform (DFT) of each block of samples is computed.

Figure 2(a) shows frequency variation of the transmitted waveform for the FM-CW radar employing the saw-tooth modulation [6]. The carrier frequency linearly varies from f_{min} to f_{max} , and repeats this cycle as shown in the figure. A time interval for the frequency change cycle is denoted as *T*.

At the receiver, the sampler samples the baseband waveform with a faster rate than the difference f_{max} - f_{min} . Figure 2(b) shows a series of windows to be multiplied to the sampled signals. The duration of each window is the same as the time interval for the frequency change cycle. The window multiplication divides the received samples into blocks of *N* samples, and then the *N*-point DFT of each block is computed.

The *l*th block samples are written as

$$r_l(n) = r(lN+n), 0 \le n \le N-1,$$
 (1)

where r(lN+n) is the sampled signal before window multiplication. The *N* is denoted as $R_l(k)$, $0 \le k \le N-1$. The sampling rate being chosen to be faster than the difference between f_{\min} and f_{\max} , there exist DFT values $R_l(k_m)$ in such a way that k_m corresponds to the



Fig. 1. A block diagram of FM-CW radar.

frequency

$$f_m = f_{\min} + \frac{f_{\max} - f_{\min}}{M - 1}m, 0 \le m \le M - 1.$$
 (2)

These DFT values are arranged in the *M*-dimensional vector as

$$\mathbf{x}_{l} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{l}(0) & x_{l}(1) & \cdots & x_{l}(M-1) \end{bmatrix} \\ = \begin{bmatrix} R_{l}(k_{0}) & R_{l}(k_{1}) & \cdots & R_{l}(k_{M-1}) \end{bmatrix}.$$
(3)

The *m*th entry $x_l(m)$ of the vector is the DFT value corresponding to the frequency f_m . The small letters are used, because the vectors will be treated as a stochastic process with respect to *m* although the index *m* stands for the frequency f_m .

Since only these vectors \mathbf{x}_l are going to be used for improving SCR, they are simply called received signals in followings. Using DFT is particularly suitable because the transmitting waveform is periodic with the time interval T, and the correlational difference with respect to difference carrier frequencies of targets and clutter is easily treated. Moreover, a delay of the received waveform due to the distance between the antennas and the object does not change the absolute values of DFT, and thus they are independent of the distance.

We want to treat each vector as an outcome taken from a stochastic process. To do this, the numerial average of these L vectors is introduced as

$$\mathbf{y} = [y(0) \quad y(1) \quad \cdots \quad y(M-1)] = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} \mathbf{x}_l \,. \tag{4}$$



(a) Frequency variation of a transmitted waveform



Fig. 2. Transmitted signal and window function for the FM-CW radar system.

When *L* is large enough, **y** can be regarded as a stochastic process. It should be emphasized that the *m*th entry of **y** corresponds to the carrier frequency f_m , and does not indicate time as in a usual stochastic process. The process can be viewed as the sum of two types of stochastic processes given by

$$\mathbf{y} = P_T \mathbf{y}_T + P_C \mathbf{y}_C, \tag{5}$$

where \mathbf{y}_T and \mathbf{y}_C are the stochastic processes corresponding to received sampled signals due to targets and clutter respectively. P_T and P_C are the probabilities of occurrence targets and clutter.

2.2 Power spectrum of target data

The autocorrelation function of \mathbf{y} is defined as

$$\phi_{Y}(\tau) = E\{y(m)y(m+\tau)\} \quad -M/2 \le \tau \le M/2 - 1, \quad (6)$$

where $E\{\cdot\}$ denotes the expectation operation [7]. Because the length of the vectors is finite, the autocorrelation function depends on the variable *m*. However, to avoid complexity in the following analysis, we concede that *y* is stationary, and that the autocorrelation function given by (6) is well-defined. The power spectrum of the process is defined as the *M*-point DFT of the autocorrelation function,

$$\Phi_{Y}(k) = \sum_{\tau=-M/2}^{M/2-1} \phi_{Y}(\tau) \exp(-j2\pi t k / M), 0 \le k \le M-1, (7)$$

The stochastic process \mathbf{y} is composed of the target stochastic process \mathbf{y}_T and the clutter stochastic process \mathbf{y}_C as seen by (5). The autocorrelation functions of \mathbf{y}_T and \mathbf{y}_C are denoted as ϕ_T and ϕ_C ; the power spectrums of \mathbf{y}_T and \mathbf{y}_C are denoted as Φ_T and Φ_C .

As stated before, target signals corresponding to different carrier frequencies generally are assumed to have a stronger correlation than that of clutter cases. The proposed method assumes the followings.

- Assumption 1: The two processes \mathbf{y}_T and \mathbf{y}_C are statically independent with zero mean,
- Assumption2: The target process \mathbf{y}_T has a much stronger correlation than the clutter process \mathbf{y}_C .

By (5) and Assumption 1, an autocorrelation function of received data is obtained as

$$\phi_{Y}(\tau) = P_{T}^{2} \phi_{T}(\tau) + P_{C}^{2} \phi_{C}(\tau), \qquad (8)$$

Taking *M*-point DFT of the autocorrelation function, a power spectrum of the process \mathbf{y} is given by

$$\Phi_{Y}(k) = P_{T}^{2} \Phi_{T}(k) + P_{C}^{2} \Phi_{C}(k), \qquad (9)$$

The problem is to estimate $\Phi_T(k)$ from $\Phi_Y(k)$ for designing a matched filter to improve SCR.

3 Processing at the receiver

3.1 Estimation of target power spectrum

In order to proceed with estimating the target power spectrum, the received signal autocorrelation function $\phi_{Y}(\tau)$ is first approximated from received signals. To do this, we compute the $M \times M$ matrix **B** from the received signals by

$$\mathbf{B} = \frac{1}{L} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_0 \\ \mathbf{x}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{x}_{L-1} \end{bmatrix}^* \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_0 \\ \mathbf{x}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{x}_{L-1} \end{bmatrix}, \qquad (10)$$

where the superscript * denotes the complex conjugate transpose operation. The (m,n)th entry of this matrix is given by

$$b_{m,n} = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} x_l^*(m) x_l(n) .$$
 (11)

The autocorrelation function is approximated from **B** as follows. The M/2-dimensional vector **v** is computed according to

$$\mathbf{v} = \begin{bmatrix} v(0) & v(1) & \cdots & v(M/2-1) \end{bmatrix}$$

= $\frac{2}{M} \sum_{i=0}^{M/2-1} \begin{bmatrix} b_{i+M/4-1,i} & b_{i+M/4-1,i+1} & \cdots & b_{i+M/4-1,i+M/2} \end{bmatrix}$. (12)

Then the approximation of the autocorrelation function of the received signals is obtained as

$$\hat{\phi}_{\gamma}(\tau) = v(M/4 + \tau - 1).$$
 (13)

Once $\hat{\phi}_{Y}(\tau)$ is estimated, the received signal power spectrum $\Phi_{Y}(k)$ is estimated by taking *M*-point DFT of $\hat{\phi}_{Y}(\tau)$.

Next, given the received signal power spectrum $\Phi_{T}(k)$, we need to estimate the target power spectrum $\Phi_{T}(k)$ from the received signal power spectrum $\Phi_{T}(k)$, which is the weighted sum of $\Phi_{T}(k)$ and $\Phi_{C}(k)$ as seen by (9). Assumption 2 says the bandwidth of $\Phi_{T}(k)$ is much narrower than the bandwidth of $\Phi_{C}(k)$, and thus the target autocorrelation function $\phi_{T}(\tau)$ is recovered from the received signal autocorrelation function $\phi_{Y}(\tau)$ by using a lowpass filter similar to the method of

recovering a signal contaminated with a wide band additive noise.

In order to design the lowpass filter, it is necessary to estimate the bandwidth of target autocorrelation function from the received signal autocorrelation function. Suppose that the bandwidth is $2k_p$, that is, $\Phi_T(k) < \varepsilon$ for $k < -k_p$ or $k > k_p$ where ε is a small positive real number. Then the second order derivative of the received signal power spectrum $\Phi_Y(k)$ would exhibit peaks at $-k_p$ and k_p . For obtaining k_p , we use the second order numerical derivative,

$$\Delta^{2} \{ \Phi_{Y}(k) \} = \frac{\{ \Phi_{Y}(k+2) \} - 2\Phi_{Y}(k) + \Phi_{Y}(k-2) \}}{4}, \\ -\frac{M-4}{2} \le k \le \frac{M-6}{2}.$$
(14)

A constant k_p is estimated from peaks of $\Delta^2 \{ \Phi_Y(k_p) \}$, and thus the bandwidth is obtained accordingly.

Knowing k_p , one may estimate the target power spectrum $\hat{\Phi}_T(k)$ directly from $\Phi_Y(k)$ by the ideal lowpass filter which has the transition region k_p ; namely, $\hat{\Phi}_T(k)$ is obtained from each value of $\Phi_Y(k)$ as

$$\hat{\Phi}_{T}(k) = \Phi_{Y}(k), \quad -kp \le k \le kp, \\ \hat{\Phi}_{T}(k) = 0, \quad -M/2 \le k < -kp, kp < k \le M/2 - 1$$
(15)

An alternative method is to pass the received signal autocorrelation function through a lowpass filter with the bandwidth of $2k_p$, and then take the DFT of the filter output.

3.2 Received signal norm

Given the target power spectrum, it is now ready to process received signals to improve SCR. We prepare a matched filter G(k) given as

$$G(k) = \sqrt{\frac{M}{\sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \left| \hat{\Phi}_T(m) \right|}} \sqrt{\left| \hat{\Phi}_T(k) \right|}$$
(16)

The matched filter G(k) is normalized so that the sum of $|G(k)|^2$, $0 \le k \le M$ -1, becomes *M*.

Using the matched filter G(k), we perform the steps described in Fig. 3. The input $x_l(m)$, $0 \le m \le M-1$, is assumed to be computed according to (3) in advance. The *M*-point DFT $X_l(k)$ of this input is computed, and then each DFT value is multiplied by the matched filter to obtain its output $Z_l(k)$ as

$$Z_l(k) = G(k)X_l(k) \ 0 \le k \le M-1.$$
(17)

Finally, the norm of this matched filter output $Z_l(k)$ is



Fig. 3. Steps for computing the received signal norm.

calculated by

$$P_{l} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{k=0}^{M-1} \left| Z_{l}(k) \right|^{2} .$$
 (18)

This norm P_l takes a large value when there is a target at the time of window l.

The proposed method that has been explained so far is summarized as follows:

- (1) The matrix **B** is computed from received signals in accordance with (10). The autocorrelation function ϕ_Y of received data is approximated from the matrix **B** using the numerical average.
- (2) The power spectrum of received data $\Phi_Y(k)$ is obtained by taking DFT of the autocorrelation function ϕ_Y .
- (3) The bandwidth of the target power spectrum is estimated from Φ_Y(k) using the second order numerical derivative. The target power spectrum Φ_T(k) is estimated by filtering from Φ_Y(k) with the lowpass filter which has the same bandwidth as the target power spectrum.
- (4) Finally the matched filter is designed given by (16). The received signal norm is computed by following the procedure in Fig. 3.

4 Analysis on SCR

4.1 Improvement ratio of SCR

The received signal $x_l(m)$ becomes either the random variable $y_T(m)$ or $y_C(m)$ depending on whether there is a target or clutter at the time of window *l*. Based on this observation, we assign, in the place of P_l , two random variables

$$Q_{i} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{k=0}^{M-1} \left| G(k) Y_{i}(k) \right|^{2}, \ i = T, C,$$
(19)

where $Y_T(k)$ and $Y_C(k)$ are the *M*-point DFTs of $y_T(m)$ and $y_C(m)$, respectively. Therefore, Q_T and Q_C are the norm of the matched filter output when a target or clutter is received. Evidently, phase components of the matched filter do not affect to the computation of the norm. From the relations of $\Phi_i(k) = (1/M)E[|Y_i(k)|^2]$, the mean of the norm is given by

$$E\{Q_i\} = \sum_{k=0}^{M-1} |G(k)|^2 \Phi_i(k), \ i = T, C.$$
(20)

For evaluating the method, it is necessary to compare SCRs for the cases when the matched filter is used and not used. SCR when the matched filter is used is given as

$$SCR = \frac{E\{Q_T\}}{E\{Q_C\}} = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{M-1} |G(k)|^2 \Phi_T(k)}{\sum_{k=0}^{M-1} |G(k)|^2 \Phi_C(k)}.$$
 (21)

Substituting G(k)=1 into (21), SCR when the matched filter is not used is given by

$$SCR_{0} = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{M-1} \Phi_{T}(k)}{\sum_{k=0}^{M-1} \Phi_{C}(k)}.$$
(22)

Therefore, the improvement ratio of SCR by using the matched filter is defined by

$$R_{SCR} = \frac{SCR}{SCR_0} = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{M-1} \Phi_C(k) \sum_{k=0}^{M-1} |G(k)|^2 \Phi_T(k)}{\sum_{k=0}^{M-1} \Phi_T(k) \sum_{k=0}^{M-1} |G(k)|^2 \Phi_C(k)}.$$
 (23)

We evaluate the performance of the method by the improvement ratio R_{SCR} . This equation means that when the power spectra of target and clutter are the same, SCR is equal to one, and cannot be improved by using the matched filter.

4.2 A comparison with conventional methods

The clutter process \mathbf{y}_C generally consists of echoes from various objects, on the other hand, the target process consists of echoes from large plane-like, smooth-surfaced objects. Consequently, the clutter process \mathbf{y}_C tends to have a much weaker correlation than the target process [8,9].

As a demonstration for measuring the improvement ratio, we have used a 60GHz band FM-CW radar system. Branches with leaves of a broadleaf tree are used as clutter, and a flat board of aluminum as a target with its surface facing to the antenna. Table 1 shows specifications for the demonstration. Fig. 4 shows DFTs of the data from the target and the clutter. The target signal has a narrower bandwidth than the clutter Table 1. Specification of the FM-CW radar system.

Center frequency : 60GHz
Frequency bandwidth : 700MHz
Transmitting power : 10dBm
Frequency interval of received signals:2MHz



-----: DFT of the target signal (Aluminum flat board) ------: DFT of the clutter signal (Branches with leaves)

Fig. 4. DFTs of the signals.

signal; the target signal has a stronger correlation than clutter data.

The target power spectrum is estimated by the method described in Sec. 3.1. As the number of target signals in the ensemble of the received signals \mathbf{x}_{l} , $0 \le l \le L-1$, contained in the matrix **B** given by (10) increases, the accuracy of the estimate of target power spectrum improves, and the method approaches the expected performance. However, when the number is small, the performance degrades accordingly. The improvement ratio R_{SCR} of SCR is plotted as a function of the number of targets in Fig. 5.

The improvement ratio is compared with two conventional method: the integration processing method [6] and the discrete wavelet transform method [10,11]. For the integration processing, the improvement ratio of SCR at 5 windows is computed. For the discrete wavelet transform method, the scaling function of 12 orders of the Daubechies wavelet is employed. The improvement ratios of the conventional methods are also exhibited in Fig. 5. The conventional methods does not have capability of learning, and thus their performances are independent of the number of targets.



Fig. 5. R_{SCR} as a function of the number of targets.

As seen in the figure, the improvement ratio increases as the number of targets increases, and then saturates after the number reaches five. The improvement ratio of the proposed method after the saturation is better than the conventional methods.

5 Simulation

5.1 Target and clutter models

For simulation, we employ the MA models for both targets and clutter. That is, the target signal $y_T(n)$ and the clutter signal $y_C(n)$ are created by

$$y_i(n) = \sum_{u=0}^{K-1} h_i(u) w(n-u), \quad i=T,C$$
(24)

where $h_i(u)$ denotes MA parameter, and w(n) is a zero mean white Gaussian. *K* will be referred to as the order of the model. The power spectra are given by

$$\Phi_i(k) = \sigma_w^2 |H_i(k)|^2, \ i=T,C$$
 (25)

where σ_w^2 is the variance of w(n), and $H_i(k)$ is DFT of $h_i(n)$ [7].

For the MA parameters, we consider the form given by

$$h_i(n) = \exp(-a_i/n/), \quad i=T,C,$$
 (26)

where the value a_i is a positive constant. DFT of $h_i(n)$ is obtained as



Fig. 6. DFT $H_i(k)$ of the MA parameters $h_i(n)$.

$$H_{i}(k) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} h_{i}(n) \exp(-2\pi nk/N)$$

$$= \frac{(1 - \exp(-2a_{i}))(1 - (-1)^{k} \exp(-a_{i}N/2))}{1 - 2\exp(-a_{i})\cos(2\pi k/N) + \exp(-2a_{i})}$$
(27)

These DFTs are shown in Fig. 6 when $a_i=0.15$ and $a_i=0.65$. As the constant a_i increases, the bandwidth of $H_i(k)$ and thus the bandwidth of the power spectrum increase. These constants are deliberately chosen to find suitable MA models for the aluminum board target and the branch clutter shown in Fig. 4. Comparing Fig. 4 and Fig. 6, one can see that the aluminum board target and the branch clutter are modeled by selecting $a_T=0.15$, and $a_C=0.65$, respectively.

5.2 Improvement ratio *R*_{SCR}

By substituting $\Phi_T(k)$ of R_{SCR} in (23) for $\Phi_T(k)$ in (25) and $\Phi_C(k)$ for $\Phi_C(k)=\sigma_w^2|H_C(k)|^2$, the improvement ratio of SCR by using the matched filter is given as

$$R_{SCR} = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{M-1} |H_{C}(k)|^{2} \sum_{k=0}^{M-1} |G(k)|^{2} |H_{T}(k)|^{2}}{\sum_{k=0}^{M-1} |H_{T}(k)|^{2} \sum_{k=0}^{M-1} |G(k)|^{2} |H_{C}(k)|^{2}} .$$
 (28)

Assuming that the estimation is accurate, Fig. 7 shows the improvement ratio R_{SCR} as a function of a_T when $a_C=0.65$, and also the ratio as a function of a_C when $a_T=0.15$. The ratio increases as a_T decreases or a_C increases. In other words, the ratio increases as the target spectrum bandwidth decreases or the clutter spectrum bandwidth increases.



-----: a_T is varied between 0 and 0.65, when $a_C=0.65$ -----: a_C is varied between 0.15 and 1 when $a_T=0.15$



5.3 Learning speeds for the MA models

Simulations are performed to see how many targets are needed to acquire a desirable performance. The target and the clutter signals are created as the MA models according to (24). Simulation parameters used in the computer simulation are listed in Table 2.

Figure 8 shows the improvement ratio R_{SCR} as a function of the number of targets used in the learning. When the number of target vectors is not large enough, the ratio does not reach its value given by (28) because the target power spectrum is not accurately estimated. The ratio R_{SCR} improves by increasing the value a_C as expected from Fig. 7.

As explained at the end of Sec. 5.1, the aluminum board target and the branch clutter are suitably modeled as the MA models with $a_T=0.15$, and $a_C=0.65$, respectively. The plots for $a_T=0.15$ and $a_C=0.65$ in Fig. 8 indeed exhibit the similar tendency to the plots in Fig. 5.

6 Conclusion

This paper has introduced the method for improving SCR for millimeter wave FM-CW radar. Under the assumption that received signals from a target with different carrier frequencies have a stronger correlation than received signals from clutter, the method estimates first the autocorrelation function of received signals by accumulating enough number of

Table 2. Simulation parameters.

The value a_T of target MA parameter : 0.15 The value a_C of clutter MA parameter : 0.65 and 0.9 Received data, $L \times M$:100×64 matrices Target data vectors : 64 dimensions Clutter data vectors : 64 dimensions The number of target data vector : 0-20 The number of clutter data vector : 100-80



------: The mean value of R_{SCR} when $a_c=0.65$ ------: The mean value of R_{SCR} when $a_c=0.90$: Typical single value of R_{SCR} when

Fig. 8. R_{SCR} as a function of the number of targets when $a_T=0.15$.

received signals. The power spectrum of received signals is obtained by taking DFT of its autocorrelation function. And then the target power spectrum is extracted by exploiting the difference between the statistics of target and clutter. The matched filter is designed from the knowledge of the target power spectrum. Then the steps described in Fig. 3 are performed to obtain the norm of received signals given by (18).

The stronger correlation for target signals than clutter signals is verified from the data measured by 60GHz band FM-CW radar. Then the matched filter designed from the measured signals was analyzed in terms of SCR. Moreover, performance of the proposed method is analyzed using MA models for target and clutter signals. Simulations verified that the proposed method is indeed useful for improving SCR for the FM-CW radar systems. References:

- M. I. Skolnik, Introduction to RADAR systems, third edition, McGraw-Hill Book Company, 2001, pp.195-196.
- [2] T. Horimatsu, Millimeter-wave radar in practical use, *The journal of IEICE*, Vol.87, No.9, 2004, pp.756-759.
- [3] H. Kondoh, Millimeter-wave automotive radar sensors for ITS applications, *IEICE(C)*, Vol.J88-C, No.8, 2005, pp.613-620.
- [4] H. Yamaguchi, Target Detection in Ground Clutter with Millimeter-Wave Stepped Frequency Radar, *IEICE*, SANE2001-16, 2001, pp.31-36.
- [5] F. Nishiyama, H. Murakami, Blind Matched Filter Method for FM-CW Radar, *IASTED*, SIP2006, proceeding 534, 2006, 534-084.
- [6] M. I. Skolnik, RADAR HANDBOOK, second edition, McGraw-Hill Book Company, 1990, pp.14.27-14.28, 2-17.
- [7] A. Papoulis, Probability, Random Variables, and Stochastic Processes, forth edition, McGraw-Hill Book company, 2002, pp.420-421, 508, 412.
- [8] F. T. Ulaby, M. C. Dobson, Handbook of Radar Scattering Statistics for Terrain, Artech House, 1989, pp.70-78.
- [9] A. KAJIWARA, H. YAMAGUCHI, Clutter Suppression Characteristics of Stepped-FM Radar with MUSIC Algorithm, *IEICE(B)*, Vol.J84-B, No.10, 2001, pp.1848-1856.
- [10] A. SAITOU, Radar SCR improvement using a wavelet transform, *IEICE(B)*, Vol.J84-B, No.5, 2001, pp.923-931.
- [11] H. NAKANO, Signal processing and image processing by using wavelet transform, Kyoritsu Publication, 1999, pp.74-94.