Reformer - Anode Assemblies in Medium Temperature Solid Oxide Fuel Cells

JERZY JUREWICZ¹, NICOLAS ABATZOGLOU², GESSIE BRISARD^{1,*} ¹CREPE – Centre de recherche en énergie, plasma et électrochimie, ²GRTPC: Groupe de recherches sur les technologies et procédés de conversion Chemical Engineering Department, *Chemistry Department Université de Sherbrooke, Québec, CANADA J1K 2R1

Abstract: - Solid-oxide fuel cells (SOFC), especially those operating in the medium temperature range of 600 to 800°C are considered as promising devices for sustainable electrical energy production. SOFC may be supplied with a variety of fuels, among which are the liquid hydrocarbons; nevertheless these have to be reformed prior to their electrochemical oxidation ("combustion") on the anode. This reforming process is endothermic, while the oxidation reactions taking place at the anode side are exothermic. Thus, the management of the cell interior heat exchange needs is a critical factor in cell operations and special reformer-anode configurations are required in order to develop compact, efficient and robust SOFC designs.

The typical «sandwich» type SOFC assembly is composed of three, functionally distinct layers (anode – electrolyte – cathode). These layers are characterized by their different porosity needs; the anode and cathode are required to have controlled porosity, while the electrolyte layer has to be non-porous. On the other hand, the reformer must be highly porous; such a structure is of particular interest because it facilitates an eventual reformer – anode integration.

This present work reviews the current technical literature on the choice of catalytic materials, as used for both the reforming of hydrocarbon fuels, considered as feedstock candidates for SOFC operations, and the electro-catalytic oxidation of reformats over the SOFC anode, from the viewpoint of their tolerance to sulphur presence in the fuel gas feed and carbon formation, during the reforming and oxidation reactions. The need for effective reformer-anode integration strongly suggests the physico-chemical compatibility of such materials or even direct oxidation of fuel over the anode surface. Such a possibility exists by the employment of composite oxide materials, such as those chosen from the perovskite-based structures, cubic fluoride-based structures, as well as the pyrochlores and spinels. The need, for the further optimization of their composition, is now very necessary.

Key-Words: - Solid oxide fuel cells (SOFC), reformer – anode assembly, sulphur tolerant anode materials

1 Introduction

The principle of the Solid Oxide Fuel Cell (SOFC) has been known since 1937 [1] but its practical realisation in an economically sustainable manner is still lagging and requires more dedicated research effort. The typical design embodiment is either tubular or planar «sandwich» configuration of an anode (fuel electrode), an electrolyte layer and a cathode (oxidant electrode). The low (\approx 1V) open circuit voltage of such cells requires their stacking into multiple cell units which in turn implies use of additional layers of fuel and air distributors. But such «sandwich» structure of different types of nature materials, operating at temperatures of up to 1000°C, has its particular requirements concerning, among others: dimensional stability, chemical and thermal expansion coefficient compatibilities and adequate sealing arrangements between layers. In order to satisfy such stringent requirements, it is proposed to operate the cells at lower (500 – 800°C) temperatures. The advantage of the SOFC concept over all other types of fuel cells, is its ability to operate with a variety of fuels both gaseous – such as methane, bio-gas, biosyngas, and liquid – such as gasoline, jet fuel, diesel fuels and oxygenates, e.g. methanol, ethanol (and bioethanol) and "green diesel".

Such a large range of feedstock makes the SOFC concept suitable for both stationary (as distributed generation [2] e.g. residential) and mobile (e.g. Auxiliary Power Units – APU) applications.

Hydrocarbons or oxygenated fuels both have to be reformed to create a H_2 + CO mixture, prior to their "conversion" over the SOFC anode's surfaces. Such a process is usually carried out in an external reformer, being a part of the overall plant (BOP) assembly. Analysis of the possibility of the internal steam reforming of methane has been carried out and it is concluded that there is sufficient heat available for the complete "conversion" of methane in the anode chamber [3]. However, techno-economic analysis of a 200kW SOFC cogeneration plant indicates, that a 50% reduction in the overall cost is possible if the external reforming is replaced by completely integrated, internal reforming [4].

The presence of sulphur and its derivatives in the fuel is a major concern because of their inhibitive effects on the catalytic activity of both the reformer and the SOFC anode and, as such, it requires a significant effort of desulphurisation prior to fuel conversion, either in the reformer or later, on the SOFC anode. Such a desulphurisation process is usually performed at the fuel treatment centre, prior to its further distribution, either by pipeline (for the natural gas case) or via a network of gas stations (for all liquid hydrocarbons and oxygenates). The residual sulphur content present in the "fossil fuel", which may influence the choice of catalyst material, its structure and morphology for both the reformer and the SOFC anode, is usually controlled nationwide. However, its actual level in each type of fuel depends upon individual country's legislation. From the collected data (Table 1), it is obvious that neither the current nor the future sulphur content, present in any of the "so far" analysed fossil fuels, will be less than 10ppm. Some alternative fuels, e.g. bio-syngas, landfill gas or sewage gas etc, are both "heavy" with sulphur derivatives (50-200ppm, 134mg/m³ and 500ppm respectively) [5, 6] and halogen derivatives also. The presence of latter compounds requires such fuels to be "cleaned" prior their use in SOFC devices.

Table 1. The current and estimated future sulphur contents of the fuels, according to prevailing legislations.

Fossil Fuel	Sulphur Contents	
	Current	Future
Natural gas*	$[mg/m^3]$	$[mg/m^3]$
Canada & USA	15	15
European Union [7]	30	30
Gasoline	[ppm]	[ppm]
Canada [8] & USA	30 (annual average level)	30 (annual average level)
	80 (never-to-be-exceeded)	80 (never-to-be-exceeded)
European Union	10	10
Diesel fuel	[ppm]	[ppm]
Canada [9] &USA	15 (since 1 July 2006)	<10 (mandatory from 2011)
European Union [10]	10	10
* Residual sulphur contents only – the sulphur derivative of odour additives are expected to		
be replaced by non-sulphur type [11] odorants;		

In an analysis of such reformer – anode assemblies, it is important to lay-out the corresponding to each module's construction materials, structures and operational requirements. The anode, being the final process destination of the reformed fuel, will be discussed first.

2 SOFC Anode Processes

The role of the anode in a solid oxide fuel cell is to provide the "sites" for the input fuel gas to react with the oxygen ions, delivered to the "sites" by the electrolyte, within a geometric structure that also facilitates the necessary charge neutralisation through its high electronic conductivity [12].

2.1 Anode Materials Requirements

Materials considered as potential candidates for SOFC anodes need to display, apart from their capability for electrochemical oxidation of the fuel, associated with the charge transfer to a conducting contact, the following most desired characteristics [13]: electronic conductivity; oxygen diffusivity (ionic conductivity); oxygen surface exchange (reactivity); chemical stability and compatibility; compatible thermal expansion; mechanical strength and dimensional stability under redox cycling.

The catalytic properties of the anode material should not promote any unwanted side reactions (such as carbon formation on its surface) but should have to include its capability to sustain a high current density with low over-potential loss [14]. The latter point is related to the electrochemical reaction mechanism at the electrode, which involves both electron and ion transfer. While a solid electrolyte allows only ionic transfer the anode phase is necessary for the electron transfer, as well as a source/sink of material, so the fuel consumption is observed over the "so called" triple phase boundary (TPB) where, at the anode – electrolyte interface, the electrolyte delivered oxide ions are neutralized on the anode side. The longer the length of the TPB, the lower, the observed over-potential loss is [15].

2.2 Anode micro-structure

In order to satisfy the increased TPB length requirement, the microstructure of the anode needs to be organised to form an open but well connected framework, with anode material particles laid out on the electrolyte surface, thus allowing the fuel to circulate freely and easing the rapid evacuation of the electrochemical combustion products. The size of the anode particles has direct influence on the TPB length. Such a complex 3-D framework has a) to be easy to form at its production stage and b) has to be preserved during the entire life of the SOFC, this means no deterioration due to the thermal cycling and to possible exposure to air at high temperatures.

For the most investigated and the best performing anode – electrolyte systems (Ni - based cermets [16, 17]) the cell operation at about 1000°C causes modification of the fine morphology by undesired sintering of nickel particles. This phenomenon, that strongly affects the polarization behaviour of the electrode, may be limited by adding some wetting additives such as TiO_2 , Cr_2O_3 or Mn_3O_4 , to lower the interfacial energy between the YSZ and Ni [18]. The preservation of such nano-sized structure in the Nickel based anode may help to control

the carbon formation process observed over anode surfaces during the electrochemical combustion of hydrocarbons. While the carbon formation process due to Boudouard reaction $2 \text{ CO} \rightarrow \text{C} + \text{CO}_2$ may be limited by the cell's operation temperature above 617°C [19]. the carbon polymerization process, originating from the thermal pyrolysis of hydrocarbons, is favoured on large particles [20], known to initiate and promote this reaction. To increase the resistance of the nickel to carbon formation, originating from the fuel decomposition process, it is proposed [21] to limit the nickel particle size to less than 5nm, a size that coincides with the requirement of the TPB length control.

2.3 Sensitivity to sulphur present in a feed

Unfortunately, the majority of cermet based anodes are very sensitive to the residual sulphur present in the feed, and for Ni-doped zirconia based anodes, the rate of deactivation is directly proportional to H_2S concentration and is inversely proportional to the processing temperature. The mechanism of sulphur poisoning of the Ni-based anodes is illustrated in Fig.1 [22].



Fig.1 Sulphur poisoning mechanisms [22]:

(a) H_2 – based fuel containing no H_2S (no poisoning), (b) H_2 based fuel with relatively low P_{H2S} , in which the chemisorption of sulphur leads to a decrease in the electrochemical reaction sites and thus an increase in anodic polarization and cell voltage drop, and (c) H_2 – based fuel, with relatively high P_{H2S} , in which further chemisorption of the sulphur leads to an oxidation of the Ni anodes and thus an increasingly large anodic polarization and corresponding cell voltage drop (for a given current density).

In an attempt to control the sulphur tolerance, some additives (Ce, Ti, Sc, Y, Nb, Mg, Ca, La, or Al, as

oxides, and Ru or Co as "free metals") were impregnated into Ni-cermet anodes but only with limited success [23]. Some additions of Mo and W were tested as well [24].

The polarization impedance and the DC over-voltage of the Ni-YSZ cermet anode, are increased when the H_2S concentration exceeds 0.05, 0.5 and 2ppm at 1023, 1173 and 1273 K, respectively [25]. The deactivation is very rapid – the saturation of the sulphide influence is almost independent of sulphide impurity concentration, and is found to be about 12, 9 and 4ks at 1023, 1173 and 1273 K, respectively.

The sulphur tolerance test, carried out for 90min with simulated bio-syngas containing up to 9ppm of H_2S over the Ni-GDC anode, indicated no impact on the anodic impedance [26]. This was probably because doped ceria is not affected by H_2S , but nickel, having higher affinity for sulphur, was playing the role of an electronic conductor. Similar short term (1hr and 24hrs) experiments, with Ni-YSZ anode, and fed with up to 240ppm of H_2S laden bio-syngas, resulted in linear voltage drop with increasing sulphur concentrations from 0 to 60ppm H_2S , and no further drop for H_2S concentrations above 80-100ppm [27].

Integrated planar Ni-based SOFC modules, when operated with H_2S contaminated and humidified H_2 , have experienced important deactivations. The extrapolation of the permissible sulphur concentration in the natural gas feed, considering a deactivation rate of 0.75% per 1000h (6.4% per year) has indicated the value of 18ppb [28]. Such a "deep" desulphurization treatment of fuel will be totally impractical from the technological point of view.

There are many research efforts being made to increase the sulphur resistance of nickel based cermet anodes, e.g. alloying [29] of Ni with Cu and Fe or, to replace it completely by another metal such as Cu [30]. However the still significant presence of metallic phases in the anode will provoke considerable expansion and contraction upon redox cycling, leading to internal stresses and possible exfoliation/delamination of the anode. Such exposure of the anode to air is very useful in order to remove carbon and sulphur deposits formed over the anode surface after prolonged operation of the SOFC units.

In the search for replacement of the limited use Ni-YSZ, or other cermet anodes, the mixed ionic-electronic conductivity (MIEC) ceramics have been identified as possible candidates. The advantage of these ceramics is that the fuel consumption is no longer limited to the TPB zone but is spread over the entire surface of the anode [31], easing up on the stringent requirements concerning the anode-electrolyte TPB interface formation. Such mixed conductivity can be introduced, either by "doping" or by stoichiometry changes introduced into the anode material.

Many MIEC ceramics have already been so identified [32, 33] among the few groups of materials, such as:

- perovskite-related structures (titanates, chromites, vanadates, gallates, niobates and ferrites),
- cubic fluorite structures (zirconia-based and ceriabased) and
- other oxide structures (pyrochlores, spinels).

It is to be expected that some types of such ceramics will exhibit a more sulphur tolerant behaviour, a point that has already received some confirmation:

- the perovskites-based Sr_{0.6}La_{0.4}TiO₃/YSZ (50/50wt%) anode, operating at 1000°C, exhibited no degradation of performance in the presence of up to 5000ppm of H₂S in the hydrogen fuel [34], and an even further exposure for 8 hours to 1% H₂S concentration in hydrogen, did not deteriorate its performance;
- the perovskite-based $La_xSr_{1-x}VO_{3-\delta}$ anode exhibited [35] interesting sulphur tolerance values, once exposed to 5% H₂S – 95% H₂ fuel at 1000°C, with no significant deterioration during 48h test period becoming evident;
- for the perovskite-based $Sr_2MgMoO_{6-\delta}$ anode [36], exposed to both 5 and 50ppm H₂S in H₂, the loss in output was only about 0,1% after 2 days and 4,8% after 200 hours respectively;
- the pyrochlore-based $Gd_2Ti_{1,4}Mo_{0,6}O_7$ anode showed [37] remarkable tolerance to up to 10% H₂S in H₂, when operating at 950°C for six days. Such "stunning" results suggest that good catalytic activity of this material towards the oxidation of H₂S needs closer examination.

Nevertheless, the resistance of such MIEC anodes to very high sulphur contents is not guaranteed; i.e, the resistance to sulphur poisoning of the $La_{0,75}Sr_{0,25}Cr_{1-x}Mn_xO_3$ anode increased [38] with the content of Cr but such anodes failed after exposure to 10% H₂S in H₂ for 5 days.

3 Fuel Reforming *vs* Direct Oxidation over Anode Processes

The reforming process, while known for almost a century as the synthesis gas production route, is still a subject of intensive studies [39]. The existing catalytic

reforming process has two important shortcomings: 1) the formation of carbon deposits over the transition metal catalyst and 2) catalyst's sensitivity to the presence of sulphur in the hydrocarbon feed. The mechanisms of such "carbon like" deposits formation are identified [40] as:

- 1. Formation of amorphous flakes and filamentous carbon, due to carbon monoxide decomposition (according to the Boudouard reaction).
- 2. Formation of encapsulating carbon, due to the direct decomposition of hydrocarbons.
- 3. Pyrolytic carbon, originating from the thermal cracking of hydrocarbons.
- 4. Soot formation in the sub-stoichiometric methane oxidation process.

As previously explained, the size of the nickel particles is very important from the viewpoint of the carbon formation. To increase the resistance of nickel to carbon formation, originating from the fuel decomposition process, it is proposed [21] to limit the nickel particle size to less then 5nm. The alloying of the nickel with some small (<1% w/w) amounts of Sn [41], or indeed the noble metals, such as Au [42] or Pt, Pd and Ir [43], presumably makes such catalysts more robust by blocking highly reactive Ni "edge and kink" sites by ad-atoms. Nickel supporting oxide, (such as Ceria [44]) skeleton may play a very important role in the re-oxidation of the "depositing carbon". The Samarium Doped Ceria (SDC) modified nickel anode surface was performing very well in its carbon deposition prevention role [45].

While the routes to carbon formation control are numerous, including the use of H_2O in excess, the resistance to the presence of sulphur in the fuel is more difficult to achieve. Recently, it has been shown that impregnation of nickel with a sulphur bearing compound, e.g. *n*-alkanethiols [46], can be used as a means for controlling the reactivity of Ni.

Apart from the sulphur intolerance of metallic (especially Ni-based) catalysts, their imposition of high reforming reaction rate, makes the local heat balance, with anode oxidation reaction, difficult [47]. The "mismatch" in the rates of the reforming reaction and the anode oxidation can lead to undesirable local cooling, and hence, to thermal stresses causing delamination /exfoliation of the anode.

3.1 The integrated (indirect) internal reforming

The integrated (or indirect) internal reforming SOFC requires special care to ensure that appropriate thermal contact between reformer and SOFC is obtained. One

solution towards this goal is to intercalate the reformer with SOFC (Fig.2)



Fig.2. Proposed [48] reformer-SOFC configuration.

Such a configuration, while easing the heat balance problems, makes the gas circulation relatively complex. The best solution proposed up to the present is to gradually internally reform the fuel (Fig.3) by local association of a catalyst used for steam reforming and another for the H₂ electrochemical oxidation. Such a microstructure permits reducing the diffusion resistance and thereby, achieving the same production rate within a smaller reactor bed [49]. In the conventional honeycomb catalysts structures, the reforming process is masstransport limited.



Fig.3. Diagram of the gradual internal reforming process [50].

The use of oxide catalysts (e.g. high surface area ceria) to produce hydrogen, from the autothermal steam reforming of LPG (propane/butane), is reported [51]. The main products (at 900°C) from steam reforming

were: H_2 , CO, CO₂, and CH₄, along with a small amount of C_2H_4 . The addition of oxygen in the autothermal reforming appreciably reduced the degree of carbon deposition and eliminated the C_2H_4 formation.

3.2 The direct oxidation over the anode surface

Direct oxidation over the SOFC anode is technically the most interesting solution and has frequently been reviewed [52, 53]. Some early efforts were based on simple oxides, such as ceria [54] or SDC [55] but this research work was soon extended to more complex and elemental substitution permitting, like perovskite-type, structures [56]. These are known for their catalytic performances [57] and are widely exploited in the chemical industry. The most recent papers address both structural/electrochemical investigations of new oxide formulations such as Sr₂FeNbO₆ [58], LaSrCoFeO [59], $(La_{0,75}Sr_{0,25})_{0,95}Cr_{0,5}Mn_{0,5}O_{3-5}$ [60] and the direct fuel oxidation applied to methane [61-63] or diesel fuel [64]. The all-perovskite (anode, electrolyte and cathode) SOFC may be of particular interest. Such fuel cells [65], based the "sandwich" on of the $(La_{0.75}Sr_{0.25})_{0.95}Cr_{0.5}Mn_{0.5}O_{3-\delta}$ (LSCM) as the anode, (LSGMCo) $La_{0.8}Sr_{0.2}Ga_{0.8}Mg_{0.15}Co_{0.05}O_{3-\delta}$ as the electrolyte and $Gd_{0.4}Sr_{0.6}CoO_{3-\delta}$ (GSC) as the cathode, can minimize the polarization losses between electrolyte and electrodes, where the slight inter-diffusion between the perovskite components observed results in better contact at the interface, allowing for smoother transfer of oxygen ions between the electrodes and electrolyte.

4 Conclusions

The extreme sensitivity of cermet based anodes to the presence of residual sulphur in the fuel, and the technical incapacity of the hydrocarbon industry to produce the desired sulphur freeⁱ fuels at market acceptable prices (except biomass like fermentation derived oxygenates) makes the sulphur tolerant, composite oxide based anodes an interesting alternative approach. Among the candidates are:

- perovskite-related structures (titanates, chromites, vanadates, gallates, niobates and ferrites),
- cubic fluorite structures (zirconia-based and ceriabased) and
- other oxide structures (pyrochlores, spinels).

Such a variety of structures, especially in the case os the ABO₃ perovskite–type oxides with a possibility of $A_{1-x}A'_{x}B_{1-y}B'_{y}O_{3}$ substitutions, renders the systematic structural and electrochemical studies very lengthy. The use of the combinatorial chemistry method [66], for elemental composition optimization (method already successfully applied to the optimization of the catalytic conversion of ethanol [67]), will certainly accelerate the research efforts.

The extension of the recently published work [68] on the fundamental research of the interaction of sulphur bearing compounds with catalytically active metals and oxides, to more complex and less-defined oxide surfaces, will be helpful in guiding the research community over the choice of materials and will avoid some hurdles of the composite oxide synthesis processes.

Acknowledgments

The financial support of the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC), the Canadian Foundation for Innovation (CFI) and the Government of Quebec are gratefully acknowledged.

References

[1] Crawley G., Solid Oxide Fuel Cells (SOFC), *Fuel Cell Today*, January 2007.

[2] Zogg R., S. Sriramulu, E. Carlson, K. Roth, J. Brodrick, Using Solid-Oxide Fuel Cells For Distributed Generation, *ASHRAE Journal*, Vol. 48, 2006, pp. 116-118.

[3] Rostrup-Nielsen J.R., L.J. Christiansen, Internal steam reforming in fuel cells and alkali poisoning, *Applied Catalysis A* : *General*, Vol. 126, 1995, pp.381-390.

[4] Riensche E., U. Stimming, G. Unverzagt, Optimization of a 200 kW SOFC cogeneration power plant. Part I: Variation of process parameters, *J. Power Sources*, Vol.73, 1998, pp. 251-256.

[5] Parker T., J. Dottridge, S. Kelly, Investigation of the Composition and Emissions of Trace Components in Landfill Gas, *Environmental Agency*, R&D Technical Report P1-438/TR, 2002.

[6] Jensen J.K., A.B. Jensen, Biogas and natural gas fuel mixture for the future, *1st World Conf. and Exhibition on Biomass for Energy and Industry*, Sevilla, 2000.

[7] European Association for the Streamlining of Energy Exchange – gas, *Common Business Practice*, No 2005-001/01, Approved 3 February 2005.

[8] Sulphur in Gasoline Regulations, *Canada Gazette*, Part II, Vol.133, No.13, 1999, pp. 1479-1510.

ⁱ «sulphur free» means 0 ppmw sulphur, contrary to [9], where it means less than 10 ppmw of sulphur.

[9] Sulphur in Diesel Fuel Regulations, *Canada Gazette*, Vol. 135, No. 51, 2001, pp. 4855-4883.

[10] Council Directive 98/70/EC, 1998.

[11] Schmeer F., R. Reimert, H. Kaesler, F. Henke, G. Mansfeld, Development of a sulphur-free odorant, 22nd World Gas Conf., Tokyo, 2003.

[12] McEvoy A., Chapter 6 Anodes in: *High Temperature Solid Oxide Fuel Cells. Fundamentals, Design and Applications*, Edited by S.C. Singhal, K. Kendall, Elsevier, 2003, pp.149-171.

[13] Atkinson A., S. Barnett, R.J., Gortie, J.T.S. Irvine, A.J. McEvoy, M. Morgensen, S.C. Singhal, J. Vohs, Advanced anodes for high-temperature fuel cells, *Nature Materials*, Vol. 3, 2004, pp. 17-27.

[14] Burggraaf A.J., M.P. Van Dijk, K.J. De Vries, Electric and electrochemical properties of catalytically active oxygen electrode materials, *Solid State Ionics*, Vol. 18/19, 1986, pp. 807-812.

[15] Fukunaga H., M. Ihara' K. Sakaki, K. Yamada, The relationship between overpotential and the three phase bondary length, *Solid State Ionics*, Vol. 86/88, 1996, pp. 1179-1185.

[16] Brandon N.P., S. Skinner, B.C.H. Steele, Recent Advances in Materials for Fuel Cells, *Annu. Rev. Mater. Res.*, Vol. 33, 2003, pp. 183-213

[17] Jiang S.P., S.H. Chan, A review of anode materials development in solid oxide fuel cells, *J. Mater. Sci.*, Vol. 39, 2004, pp. 4405-4439.

[18] Tsoga A., P. Nikopoulos, A. Kontogeorgakos, F. Tietz, A. Naoumidis, Additives for improved long-term behaviour of the SOFC cermet, SOFC-V, Electrochemical Proceedings, Vol. 97-18, 1997, pp. 823-832.

[19] Cho P., T. Mattisson, A. Lyngfelt, Carbon Formation on Nickel and Iron Oxide-Containing Oxygen Carriers for Chemical-Looping Combustion, *Ind. Eng. Chem.*, Vol. 44, 2005, pp. 668-676.

[20] Trimm D.L., Catalysts for the control of coking during steam reforming, *Catalysis today*, Vol. 49, 1999, pp. 3-10.

[21] Dias J.A.C., J.M. Assaf, The advantages of air addition on the methane steam reforming over Ni/ γ -Al₂O₃, *J.Power Sources*, Vol.137, 2004, pp. 264-268.

[22] Sasaki K., K. Susuki, A. Iyoshi, M. Uchimura, N. Imamura, H. Kusaba, Y. Teraoka, H. Fuchino, K. Tsujimoto, Y. Uchida, N. Jingo, H₂S Poisoning of Solid Oxide Fuel Cells, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, Vol. 153, No. 11, 2006, pp. A2023-A2029.

[23] Sasaki K., K. Susuki, A. Iyoshi, M. Uchimura, N. Imamura, H. Kusaba, Y. Teraoka, H. Fuchino, K.Tsujimoto, Y. Uchida, N. Jingo, Sulfur Tolerance of

Solid Oxide Fuel Cells, *SOFC-IX, Electrochem. Society Proc.* Vol. 2005-07, 2005, pp. 1267-1274.

[24] Smith M., A.J. McEvoy, Sulfur-Tolerant Cermet Anodes, *SOFC-IX, Electrochem. Soc. Proc.* Vol. 2005-07, 2005, pp. 1437-1444.

[25] Matsuzaki Y., I. Yasuda, The poisoning effect of sulphur-containing impurity gas on SOFC anode: Part I. Dependance on temperature, time and impurity concentration, *Solid State Ionics*, Vol. 132, 2000, pp. 261-269.

[26] Arvind P.V., J.P. Ouweltjes, E. de Heer, N. Woudstra, G. Rietveld, Impact of Biosyngas and its components on SOFC Anodes, *Electrochem. Soc. Proc*, Vol. 2005-07, 2005, pp. 1459-1467.

[27] Norheim A., I. Waernhus, M. Broström, J.E. Hustad, A. Vik, Experimental Studies on the Influence of H_2S on Solid Oxide Fuel Cell Performance at 800°C, *Energy & Fuels*, Vol. 21, 2007, pp. 1098-1101.

[28] Cunningham R.H., M. Fowles, R.M., Ormerod, J. Staniforth, Sulphur poisoning of the active materials used in SOFC, *Report to Rolls-Royce*, F/01/00222/REP, URN 04/559, 2004.

[29] Rifau A., Z. Zainal, D. Muthurasu, A. Fauzi, Y. Kiros, B. Zhou, R. Zansi, Performance Study On An Intermediate Temperature Solid Oxide Fuel Cell (IT-SOFC) Fabricated By Dry Pressing Method, *Am. J. of Applied Sciences*, Vol. 3, No. 9, 2006, pp. 2020-2024.

[30] Gorte R.J., J.M. Vohs, S. McIntosh, Recent developments on anodes for direct fuel utilization in SOFC, *Solid State Ionics*, Vol. 175, 2004, pp. 1-6.

[31] Irvine J.T.S., A. Sauvet, Improved Oxidation of Hydrocarbons with New Electrodes in High Temperature Fuel Cells, *Fuel Cells*, Vol.1, No.3-4, 2001, pp. 205-210.

[32] Tao S., J.T.S. Irvine, Discovery and Characterization of Novel Oxide Anodes for Solid Oxide Fuel Cells, *Chem. Rec.*, Vol.4, 2004, pp. 83-95

[33] Fergus J.W., Oxide anode materials for solid oxide fuel cells, *Solid State Ionics*, Vol.177, 2006, pp.1529-1541.

[34] Mukundan R., E.L. Brosha, F.H. Garzon, Sulphur Tolerant Anodes for SOFCs, *Electrochem. Solid-State Lett.*, Vol.7, No.1, 2004, pp. A5-A7.

[35] Aguilar L., S. Zha, Z. Cheng, J. Winnick, M. Liu, A solid oxide fuel cell operating on hydrogen sulfide (H₂S) ans sulfur-containing fuels, *J. Power Sources*, Vol.135, 2004, pp. 17-24.

[36] Huang Y.H., R.I. Dass, Z.L. Xing, J.B. Goodenough, Double Perovskites as Anode Materials for Solid-Oxide Fuel Cells, *Science*, Vol.312, No.5771, 2006, pp. 254-257.

[37] Zha S., Z. Cheng, M. Liu, A Sulphur-Tolerant Anode Material for SOFCs, *Electrochem. Solid-State Lett.*, Vol.8, No.8, 2005, pp. A406-A408.

[38] Zha S., P. Tsang, Z. Cheng, M. Liu, Electrical properties and sulfur tolerance of $La_{0,75}Sr_{0,25}Cr_{1-x}Mn_xO_3$ under anodic conditions, *J. Solid State Chem.*, Vol.178, 2005, pp. 1844-1850.

[39] Reyes S.C., J.H. Sinfelt, J.S. Feeley, Evolution of Processes for Synthesis Gas Production: Recent Developments in an Old Technology, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, Vol.42, 2003, pp. 1588-1597.

[40] Freni S., G. Calogero, S. Cavallaro, Hydrogen production from methane through catalytic partial oxidation reactions, *J. Power Sources*, Vol.87, 2000, pp. 28-38.

[41] Nikolla E., A. Holewinski, J. Schwank, S. Linic, Controlling Carbon Surface Chemistry by Alloying: Carbon Tolerant Reforming Catalyst, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, Vol.128, 2006, pp. 11354-11355.

[42] Molenbroek A.M., J.K. Norskov, B.S. Clausen, Structure and Reactivity of Ni-Au Nanoparticle Catalysts, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, Vol.105, 2001, pp. 5450-5458.

[43] Dias J.A.C., J. Assaf, Autothermal reforming of methane over Ni/ γ -Al₂O₃ catalysts: the enhancement effect of small quantites of noble metals, *J. Power Sources*, Vol.130, 2004, pp. 106-110.

[44] Li Y., Q. Fu, M. Flytzani-Stephanopoulos, Lowtemperature water-gas shift reaction over Cu- and Niloaded cerium oxide catalysts, *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental*, Vol.27, 2000, pp. 179-191.

[45] Yoon S.P., J. Han, S.W. Nam, T.H. Lim, S. A. Hong, «Improvement of anode performance by surface modification for solid oxide fuel cell running on hydrocarbon fuel», J. Power Sources, Vol. 136, 2004, pp. 30-36.

[46] Rakass S., H. Oudghiri-Hassani, N. Abatzoglou, P. Rowntree, A study of the surface properties and steam reforming catalytic activity of nickel powders impregnated by n-alkanethiols, *J. Power Sources*, Vol.162, 2006, pp. 579-588.

[47] Aguiar P., D. Chadwick, L. Kershenbaum, Modelling of an indirect reforming solid oxide fuel cell, *Chem. Eng, Science*, Vol.57, 2002, pp. 1665-1677.

[48] Lim L.T., D. Chadwick, L. Kershenbaum, Achieving Autothermal Operation in Internally Reformed Solid Oxide Fuel Cells : Simulation Studies, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, Vol.44, 2005, pp. 9609-9618.

[49] Bae J.M., S.Ahmed, R.Kumar, E.Doss, Microchannel development for autothermal reforming of

hydrocarbon fuels, *J. Power Sources*, Vol.139, 2005, pp. 91-95.

[50] Vernoux P., Guindet, M. Kleitz, Gradual Internal Methane Reforming in Intermediate-Temperature Solid-Oxide Fuel Cells, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, Vol.45, No.10, 1998, pp. 3487-3492.

[51] Laosiripojana N., S. Assabumrungrat, Hydrogen production from steam and autothermal reforming of LPG over high surface area ceria, *J. Power Sources*, Vol.158, 2006, pp. 1348-1357.

[52] Mogensen M., K. Krammer, Conversion of Hydrocarbons in Solid Oxide Fuel Cells, *Annu. Rev. Mater. Res.*, Vol.33, 2003, pp.321-31.

[53] McIntosh S., R.J. Gorte, Direct Hydrocarbon Solid Oxide Fuel Cells, *Chem. Rev.*, Vol.104, 2004, pp. 4845-4865

[54] Steele B.C., P.H. Middleton, R.A. Rudkin, Material science aspects of SOFC technology with special reference to anode development, *Solid State Ionics*, Vol. 40/41, Part 1, 1990, pp. 388-393.

[55] Putna E.S., J. Stubenrauch, J.M. Vohs, R.J. Gorte, Ceria-Based Anodes for the Direct Oxidation of Methane in Solid Oxide Fuel Cells, *Langmuir*, Vol.11, 1995, pp. 4832-4837.

[56] Baker R.T., I.S. Metcalfe, P.H. Middleton, B.C.H. Steele, Evaluation of perovskite anodes for the complete oxidation of dry methane in solid oxide fuel cells, *Solid State Ionics*, Vol.72, 1994, pp. 328-333.

[57] Pena M.A., J.L.G. Fierro, Chemical Structures and Performances of Perovskite Oxides, *Chem. Rev.*, Vol.101, 2001, pp. 1981-2017.

[58] Tao S., J.Canalez-Vazquez, J.T. Irvine, Structural and Electrical Properties of the Perovskite Oxide Sr₂FeNbO₆, *Chem. Mater.*, Vol.16, 2004, pp. 2309-2316. [59] Galenda A., M.M. Natile, V. Krishnan, H. Bertagnolli, A. Glisenti, LaSrCoFeO and Fe₂O₃/LaSrCoFeO Powders: Synthesis and Characterization, *Chem. Mater.*, Published on Web 04/25/2007.

[60] Kharton V.V., E.V. Tsipis, I.P. Marozau, A.P. Viskup, J.R. Frade, J.T.S. Irvine, Mixed conductivity and electrochemical behavior of $(La_{0,75}Sr_{0,25})_{0,95}Cr_{0,5}Mn_{0,5}O_{3-\delta}$, *Solid State Ionics*, Vol.178, 2007, pp. 101-113.

[61] Tao S., J.T.S. Irvine, Catalytic Properties of the Perovskite Oxide $La_{0,75}Sr_{0,25}Cr_{0,5}Fe_{0,5}O_{3-\delta}$ in Relation to Its Potential as a Solid Oxide Fuel Cell Anode Material, *Chem. Mater.*, Vol.16, 2004, pp. 4116-4121.

[62] Tao S., J.T.S. Irvine, S.M. Plint, Methane OxidationatRedoxstableFuelCellElectrode

 $La_{0,75}Sr_{0,25}Cr_{0,5}Fe_{0,5}O_{3-\delta}$, J. Phys. Chem. B. Vol.110, 2006, pp. 21771-21776

[63] Ovalle A., J.C. Ruiz-Morales, J. Canales-Vazquez, D. Marrero-Lopez, J.T.S. Irvin, Mn-substituted titanates as efficient anodes for direct methane SOFCs, *Solid State Ionics*, Vol.177, 2006, pp. 1997-2003.

[64] Liu D.J., M. Krumpelt, Activity and Structure of Perovskites as Diesel-Reforming Catalysts for Solid Oxide Fuel Cell, *Int. J. Appl. Ceram. Technol.*, Vol. 2, No.4, 2005, pp. 301-317.

[65] Tao S., J.T.S. Irvine, J. A. Kilner, An Efficient Solid Oxide Fuel Cell Based upon Single-Phase Perovskites, *Adv. Mater.*, Vol.17, 2005. pp. 1734-1737.

[66] Combinatorial Materials Synthesis, *Marcel Dekker*, Edited by X.D. Xiang, I. Takeuchi, 2003.

[67] Duan S., S. Senkan, Catalytic Conversion of Ethanol to Hydrogen Using Combinatorial Methods, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, Vol.44, 2005, pp. 6381-6386.

[68] Rodriguez J.A., J. Hrabek, Interaction of Sulfur with Well-Defined Metal and Oxide Surfaces: Unraveling the Mysteries behind Catalysts Poisoning and Desulfurization, *Accounts of Chemical Research*, Vol.32, No.9, 1999, pp. 719-728.