A Simulator for Sustainability in Marine Fish Farms

Ginés Lloret Mauri[1] and Jaime Lloret Mauri[2]
[1]Diving Department, [2] Integrated Management Coastal Research Institute
[1]Fishing-Maritime Polytechnic Institute of the Mediterranean, [2]Polytechnic University of Valencia
[1]Alicante, [2]Valencia
SPAIN

Abstract: - One of the main costs of the marine farms is the extruded feed. This paper discusses many issues given when fish, mussels, oysters or other shellfish are fed. Several published systems for sustainability are also described. Authors will propose a simulator based on underwater wireless sensors that will solve issues aforementioned giving sustainability for marine farms. Because sensors are not wired, they could be deployed widely without cable limitations. The simulator permits to design scalable systems, useful when there are many fish cages, allowing low cost maintenance. It will be compared with other simulators published by other authors. We have observed that many of them just solve some of the issues aforementioned, but not all.

Key-Words: - Simulator, Sustainability, Marine Fish Farms.

1 Introduction

Marine fish farms are responsible for growing and harvesting fish, mussels, oysters or other shellfish in specially prepared areas. They are also used for international investments in fish farming. There are several types of cages for marine farms: Open net pens or offshore floating marine fish cages (the most common in the Mediterranean zone) and the spar cages, with Single or Several points Mooring [1]. There are also some known works that predict the best places where the cages should be placed (taking into account the tidal flow of the sea or even its temperature [2]).

It is needed some criteria and specific guidelines to evaluate the repercussion of the aquiculture in the environment. The conference reports given in the international conference in Sidi Fredj, Argel, June 25-27, 2005 [3], traced some recommendations for the sustainability aquiculture. The sustainability makes the aquiculture acceptable ecologically, socially fair and financially viable. Actually, there are many strategies for the sustainability development such as the one proposed by the European commission [4], but the environment protection is where our efforts must be focused to achieve its full sustainability.

Spanish law is very hard when someone is trying to acquire the acceptance to set up a marine fish farm. The authorization and its concession are given after a favourable environmental impact study in the place where it is proposed to be placed. Moreover, marine fish farms managers are urged to have an annual tracking about the activity of some environmental parameters of the water column in several depths and of the sea bed [5, 6]. These parameters have to be taken to the water (Temperature, pH, Salinity, Phosphates, Nitrates, etc.) and to the sediments (Organic Carbon, Soluble Nitrogen, Shanon diversity index, Margalef index, etc.). These parameters have been defined in the Technical Report sent by J. C Macias et al to "mispeces.com" [7]. They also propose to model the impact given by the marine installations and propose to define the main environmental indicators that affect them.

The rest of the paper is structured as follows. Section 2 formulates the problem we have seen about the sustainability in marine fish farms. Section 3 describes some related works. Our proposal and its advantages compared with other works are explained in Section 4. Finally, section 5 gives the conclusions and future works.

2 Problem Formulation

Our research is focused on these aspects related with the ecology and with the environment. So, our main goals are the following ones:

- To protect the environment. We have to diminish the dumpings and their impact because many of them have high grade of nitrate, and to avoid the effects of the eutrofisation. We have to study the effect in the fisheries when fishes have been growth in a fish farm. We have to find solutions to the predation of the aquatic production by the wild protected species. We must develop systems to reduce the impact in the natural environment of the rogue fishes, transgenic fishes and the foreign fishes.
- To make aquiculture sustainable, there are some issues that must be solved. On one hand, the feed

for the fishes in marine farms is an extruded feed or pellet that is presented in a granulated from. Its raw material comes from the natural medium. We are harvesting the stock from different fishing ground of Peru, to supply the alimentary demands of the aquatic sector.

- We have also to take into account the detritus and the fecal pellets of every one of the aquiculture cage. Those excrements together with the wasted feed because it is not eaten form a pollution zone at the bed of the cage. The size of the pollution zone is related to the type of the material of the bottom (mud, sand, etc.) and to the distance between the fish cage and the bottom (the lower distance, the higher surface of pollution).

Summarizing, to feed the fishes in marine fish farms have many costs for the marine farmers. First, because extruded feed throws to the cage in the water and it is difficult to know exactly how much is needed, so many of it is wasted. Second, because there could be floating extruded feed and semi-sinking extruded feed. And third, because when the fishes don't eat all extruded feed that is threw, the floor of the sea gets dirty [8, 9] (not only due to the feed, but because of fish excrements). So, there is a deposition of particulate organic waste from those marine fish farm cages on to sea-bed sediments that can cause major changes to the benthic ecosystem, so it is needed a system to clean it (many countries have a law to avoid this type of pollution).

Many benefits will be obtained by a fish farmer company, and their developer, if they have a simulator that considers all these parameters and factors. Results obtained by the simulator could be analysed by the manager to improve their marine fish farm. It could be also used not only for a better implementation but to troubleshoot the implemented deployment when the real system is simulated.

3 Related Works

Because of the concern of these issues, some people have been working on developing applications to model some of these parameters.

On one hand, there are several individual works modelling the deposition and biological effects of waste solids from marine cage farms.

One of these applications is DEPOMOD [10]. Its goal is to enable better predictive capability of the impact from large marine cage fish farms on the benthos. DEPOMOD predicts the solids accumulation on the seabed arising from a fish farm and associated changes in the benthic faunal community. It could be used for assessing the potential impact of a farm throughout a growing cycle, or if the biomass consent is increased.

Another application presented by O. M. Pérez, in [11] uses GIS (Geographical Information Systems), combined with a spreadsheet, as a Tool to Aid Modelling of Particulate Waste Distribution at Marine Fish Cage Sites. The tool uses known distribution algorithms but also incorporates functions to calculate feed loading for all the cages within a pontoon independently. It also simulates post-depositional distribution of the carbon and uses approximate estimates of feed and faecal waste derived from dietary considerations (mass balance model) and separate, unique settling velocities for waste feed and faecal particles. The model performance has been validated using measured levels of sediment carbon, and they showed a significant correlation between predicted and real sediment loading. Later, R.A. Corner et al. presented in [12] an integrated model of GIS with feed loss.

Last simulation model, that has been developed and published, is the simulation model of sustainability of coastal communities [13]. This model was developed to simulate biological interactions related to traditional fishing, aquaculture, and the physical marine environment and coastal labour market interactions and the regulatory environment. Aquaculture production was described by a standard Cobb–Douglas production function. It has been calibrated using published information on fish farms, fishing, fished stocks and labour activity. It can simulate traditional fishing, aquaculture, other employment, and the unemployed labour using the appropriate regulations of biomass, fish production and employment suggested.

None of these simulators have its main purpose on the sustainability in the fish farm. Because of the lack of applications in this area, some projects have been started by several research centers to tackle this issue. Some of the main ones are:

- AQCESS [14]: Aquaculture and Coastal, Economic and Social Sustainability.
- BIOFAQs [15] Biofiltration and Aquaculture: an Evaluation of Substrate Deployment Performance with Mariculture Developments.
- ECASA [16]: Ecosystem approach for sustainable Aquaculture.
- ICES [17]: Working Group on Environmental Interactions of Mariculture.
- MERAMED [18]: Development of monitoring guidelines and modelling tools for environmental effects from Mediterranean aquaculture.
- MEDVEG [19]: Effects of nutrient release from Mediterranean fish farms on benthic vegetation in coastal ecosystems.

4 Our Proposal

First, let's see what is needed to have in a simulator for sustainability in marine fish farms.

1. To simulate all issues aforementioned in section 2, several types of measurements must be taken or must be modelled:
   - Physic-Chemical characterization of the water: Water Temperature, Dissolved oxygen (dO_2), pH, Salinity, Conductivity, Ammonium, A Chlorophyll, Total Organic Carbon (TOC), Phosphates, Total Phosphorus (TP), Nitrates, Nitrites, Total nitrogen and Solids suspension.
   - Physic-Chemical characterization of the sediments: Total Organic carbon (TOC), soluble phosphorus, Soluble Total nitrogen and Redox potential.
   - Granulometric composition of the sediments: Grain-size composition and sedimentologic characterization.
   - Description of the bentonic communities in the medium: Shanon diversity index, Index of fairness. Margalef´s diversity index (Species richness).
2. It has to allow to model the design and planning of the system of sensors to take accurate measurements, so it should be kept in mind several factors:
   - The number of sensors
   - The distribution of sensors
   - Sensors coverage
   - Where are they placed
   - Periodicity taking samples

   These factors are not fixed because they depend on the number of cages, their size and the environment where they are placed.
3. The Simulator must also permit to take into account many factors given by the environment and the marine fish farm characteristics:
   - Where the marine fish farm is placed: depth, distance from the coast
   - Environmental characteristics of the place
   - Occupied/cultivated surface
   - Production system
   - Produced biomass
   - Generated waste materials

All these parameters and factors are essential to design an optimum simulator for sustainability in Marine Fish Farms. As we can see just some of them are used in the simulators aforementioned in the previous section. None of them considers all of them, just few.

4.1 Simulator diagram

To clarify all parameters that the simulator is going to take into account and where the sensors are going to be placed in the simulator, figure 1 shows them in a sea environment.

There are also several modules associated to input data used for modeling such as the amount of imput feed, the size of the fish farm cage, distance between cages, environmental characteristics of the place, sensors coverage and so on.

4.2 Equations for the simulator

Some equations have to be taken into account. But there are several works where the expressions used to model a particular parameter is different (e.g. for the bed shear stress (τ_c), Ian G. Droppo et al. in [8] have computed it as equation 1.

$$\tau_c = 0.1 \cdot (0.164 \cdot L_s)^2 \quad (1)$$

Where L_s is the cover speed. τ_c is given in Pa and L_s is given in revolutions per minute.

While U. Neumeier et al. in [9] have computed it using the Quadratic Stress Law as is shown in equation 2.

$$\tau_c = \rho \cdot C_D \cdot U^2 \quad (2)$$

Where ρ is the water density, C_D is the drag coefficient and U is the time-averaged velocity. C. E. L. Thompson et al. in [20] defined C_D value of 0.02 for the flume used in Messina. Using a seawater density of 1.03×10^3 (kg/m^3), we can see their difference graphically in figure 2.

On the other hand, some considerations must be taken into account: The daily rate of feed consumption varies with the composition of the feed, the weight of the fish and the water temperature. Moreover, the time required by the fish to reach a particular weight varies with temperature [21].

In order to model the wastage rates of fish feed and faecal material, we can choose between several types of expressions:

The first one was given empirically by Liao and Mayo [22]. Equation 3 represents the relationship between feeding rates and suspended solids production for trout between 10 and 15 °C and a maximum stocking density of 28.4 kg m^{-3}.

$$SS = (0.52) \cdot F \quad (3)$$

Where SS is suspended solids production (kg SS (100 kg fish)$^{-1}$ day^{-1}) and F is the feeding rate (kg food (100 kg fish)$^{-1}$ day^{-1}).



Figure 1. Shows the modules of the proposed simulator.



Figure 2. Difference between two bed shear stress models.

The second one is the one presented by C. J. Cromey et al. in their simulator DEPOMOD [10]. They state that the food consumption is given by equation 4.

$$F_c = F \cdot (1 - F_w) \cdot (1 - F_{wasted}) \qquad (4)$$

Where F_c (kg/day/cage) is the rate of food consumption according to the feed input (F), the water content of the food (F_w) and the proportion of food wasted (F_{wasted}).

In reference [23], Bergheim et al. defined their model (called BTM model). This model gives the relationship between suspended dry matter loadings and feed conversion ratios (FCR: weight of feed given per weight gain of fish) in a land-based tank production system. The relationship was developed for salmon from 30 g to 2 kg in size between 4 and 15 °C. The formula used for this model is given in expression 5.

$$SDM = 0.20 \cdot (10^{(0.49) \cdot FCR}) \qquad (5)$$

Where SDM is the suspended dry matter loading (g (kg fish)$^{-1}$ day^{-1}), and FCR is feed conversion ratio (kg dry feed/kg fish weight gain).

But other works relate the feed consumption with the fish growth and the size of the cage like the model presented in [24]. They defined a ratio between the amount of ingested feed and the resulting fish growth, called the theoretical feed conversion ratio (FCR_t). They observed that this ratio increases slightly with increasing fish weight. Then they defined the factual feed conversion ratio (FCR), as the ratio between the amount of feed actually given to the fish and the resulting fish growth. So the excess, (uneaten feed) is equal to $FCR - FCR_t$ times the fish growth. They calculated $FCR - FCR_t = 0.3$, so the waste feed equals

0.3 kg per kg fish produced. Assuming the fish production as T_P, the emission of excess feed is $T_P(FCR-FCR_t)$ and the flux of faeces is about 0.1·TP. Taking into account the total area of the net pens as A_F, the spatial and temporal mean flux F_{feed} (g m^{-2} day^{-1}) of excess feed from the pens is given by expression 6.

$$F_{feed} = \frac{T_p}{A_F}\left(FCR - FCR_t\right) \quad (6)$$

Our simulator pretends to cover all types of models given by several authors and the user could choose which one to use depending on the case.

5 Conclusions

We have given the state of the art of the simulators for sustainability in marine fish farms. We have seen that there are few and they are developed to solve specific issues, not the whole problem of the sustainability. It urges to the necessity of developing a complete simulator dealing with all issues of the sustainability in marine fish farms.

We can use the simulator to improve the occupation of the space. It could be used for planning fish farming cages outside the coast and to systemize the study of the environmental impact and the monitoring of the measures taken to achieve a sustainability management.

The simulator could be used to choose the best places where the cages could be placed because the simulations obtained from real measurements (distance from sensible species, vulnerable or protected species, depth, ocean currents and other factors) with the objective of diminish the negative effects to the environment.

Simulations could be used for assessing the impact of a farm throughout a growing cycle, or if the biomass consent is increased. Prediction of the dispersion of particulates during use of in-feed medicines may also be undertaken.

These types of simulations could encourage private initiatives and develop scientific researchers about the reserve effect, the environment enrichment associated to the marine fish cages and their interaction with artificial reefs.

Now we are finishing adding models to the simulator in order to allow users which model want to use in the simulation. All these models are from water or sediments parameters, from sensors to design or plan the system or from the environment. Future works will show the differences between our simulator and from real environment measurements.

References:

[1] Clifford A. Goudey and Christopher J. Bridger. Evolution and Performance of a Single-point Mooring for an Offshore Aquaculture Cage. MTS/IEEE Oceans 2002. Volume 1, Issue , 29-31 Oct. 2002 Page(s): 126 - 130 vol.1

[2] O.M. Pérez, L.G. Ross, T.C. Telfer, L.M. del Campo Barquin, Water quality requirements for marine fish cagesite selection in Tenerife (Canary Islands):predictive modelling and analysis using GIS. Aquaculture 224 (2003) 51–68.

[3] Atelier International pour une Aquaculture Durable. Sidi Fredj, Alger. 25-27 June 2005. Available at: http://www.iucn.org/places/medoffice/cd_aquaculture/conclusions.html

[4] A strategy for the sustainable development of European aquaculture. Available at: http://europa.eu/scadplus/leg/en/lvb/l66015.htm

[5] Salvatore Porrello,T, Paolo Tomassetti, Luigi Manzueto, Maria G. Finoia, Emma Persia, Isabel Mercatali, Piergiorgio Stipa. The influence of marine cages on the sediment chemistry in the Western Mediterranean Sea. Aquaculture 249 (2005) 145– 158

[6] E. Mantzavrakos, M. Kornaros, G. Lyberatos, P. Kaspiris. Impacts of a marine fish farm in Argolikos Gulf (Greece) on the water column and the sediment. Desalination 210 (2007) 110–124.

[7] J. Carlos Macias, Javier Collado, Carolina Alamo, Manuel Escalona, Emilio García, Seguimiento Ambiental en Instalaciones de Acuicultura Marina, , Technical Report for Mispeces.com, available at: http://www.mispeces.com/reportajes/2006/mar/seguimiento_ambiental/Reportaje%20medioambiente%20mispeces%205.pdf

[8] Ian G. Droppo, Chris Jaskot, Tara Nelson, Jacqui Milne and Murray Charlton. Aquaculture Waste Sediment Stability: Implications for Waste Migration. Water, Air, & Soil Pollution, Springer Netherlands. Volume 183, Numbers 1-4 / July, 2007.

[9] Neumeier, U., Friend, P. L., Gangelhof, U., Lunding, J., Lundkvist, M., Bergamasco, A., et al. (in press). The influence of fish feed pellets on the stability of seabed sediment, a laboratory flume investigation. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science.

[10] Cromey, C. J., Thomas, T. D., & Black, K. D. (2002). DEPOMOD – Modeling the deposition and biological effects of waste solids from marine cage farms. Aquaculture, 214, 211–239.

[11]Pérez, O. M., Telfer, T. C., Beveridge, M. C. M., & Ross, L. G. (2002). Geographical information systems (GIS) as a simple tool to aid modelling of particulate waste distribution at marine fish cage sites. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science, 54, 761–768.

[12] R.A. Corner, A.J. Brooker, T.C. Telfer, L.G. Ross. A fully integrated GIS-based model of particulate waste distribution from marine fish-cage sites. Aquaculture 258 (2006) 299–311

[13] W.D. McCausland, E. Mente, G.J. Pierce and I. Theodossiou. A simulation model of sustainability of coastal communities: Aquaculture, fishing, environment and labour markets. Ecological Modelling, 193 (2006) 271–294.

[14] AQCESS Website, http://www.abdn.ac.uk/aqcess/

[15] BIOFAQs Website http://projects.mbss.org/Biofaq/

[16]EACASA Website, http://www.ecasa.org.uk/

[17] ICES Working Group on Environmental Interactions of Mariculture Website http://www.ices.dk/iceswork/wgdetail.asp?wg=WGEIM

[18] MERAMED Website http://meramed.akvaplan.com/

[19] MEDVEG Website, http://www.medveg.dk/

[20] Thompson, C.E.L., Amos, C.L., Jones, T.E.R., Chaplin, J., (2003). The manifestation of fluid transmitted bed shear stress in a smooth annular flume a comparison of methods. Journal of Coastal Research 19, 1094-1103.

[21] Stigebrandt, A., (1999). Turnover of energy and matter by fish—a general model with application to salmon. Fisken and Havet No. 5, Institute of Marine Research, Norway. 26 pp.

[22] Liao and Mayo, 1974 P.B. Liao and R.D. Mayo, Intensified fish culture combining water reconditioning with pollution abatement, Aquaculture 3 (1974), pp. 61–85.

[23] Bergheim et al., 1991 A. Bergheim, T. Tyvold and E.A. Seymour, Effluent loadings and sludge removal from landbased salmon farming tanks, Aquacult. Environ. 14 (1991), p. 29.

[24] Anders Stigebrandt, Jan Aure, Arne Ervik and Pia Kupka Hansen, Regulating the local environmental impact of intensive marine fish farming III. A model for estimation of the holding capacity in the Modelling–Ongrowing fish farm–Monitoring system. Aquaculture 234 (2004) 239–261.