# Optimization of the Finite Volume Method Source Code by using Polymorphism

Henning ZindlerProfTU BraunschweigTInstitute of Heat- and Fuel TechnologyInstitute ofFranz-Liszt-Strasse 35Fra38106 Braunschweig38GermanyGermany

Prof. Dr. techn. Leithner TU Braunschweig Institute of Heat- and Fuel Technology Franz-Liszt-Strasse 35 38106 Braunschweig Germany

Abstract: Often CFD programs are used for solving flow problems, that are based on finite volume methods (FVM). The FVM solves the balance equations in an iterative process. Since the single balances are coupled, different coupling methods like the SIMPLER (Semi Implicit Method for Pressure Linked Equations Revised) are used. Since the solving algorithm is passed through several times during the iteration, all time critical branching like "if" statements should be avoided. But branching appears several times, because of the different handling of volume elements in the middle and volume elements with boundary conditions. This differentiation can be done once during the initialization of the algorithm and it is not necessary to repeat the differentiation several times during the iteration. For example the sorting of the calculation functions can be done by the polymorphism of object orientated program languages like C++.

*Key–Words:* CFD, SIMPLER, Polymorphism, Optimization, C++ 08.04.2007

### 1 Introduction

For solving flow problems like in heat exchangers partial differential equations for momentum, mass and energy appear. These balances are solved by using the finite volume method, that discretizes the balance equations over location and time. The single balance equations are coupled by velocity, pressure and density. For solving this coupling several algorithms for solving the momentum and mass balance equations at the same time are developed. The algorithm that is used as an example in this article is the SIMPLER (Semi Implicit Method for Pressure Linked Equations Revised). All solving algorithms work in an iterative loop, that solves the single balance equations one after the other.

To set up the balance equations for each volume element several coefficients have to be calculated (see table 1), that differ from each other depending on the time period and the location of the volume element. Figure 1 shows the array of the volume elements for the case of an onedimensional transient flow through a pipe. Two boundary condition types are regarded:

- 1. constant pressure at both sides of the pipe
- 2. constant velocity at the inlet and constant pressure at the outlet of the pipe

If the position of a volume element is checked during the iteration to find the correct calculation instructions for the coefficients of the balance equations, the algorithms would be slow and dificult to unterstand. Therefore a solution, using modern object orientated program languages, needs to be found, that orders the calculation instructions of the coefficients of the balance equations in the initial phase before the iteration starts. During the iteration no further checking is required. An efficient kernel can be achieved by an analysis of the SIMPLER and of the virtual inheritance of object orientated program languages.



Case of a given input and output pressure

Figure 1: Arrays of the volume elements with different boundary conditions; Source: [8]

## 2 Analysis of the SIMPLER-Algorithm

The SIMPLER-Algorithm was published by Patankar in [2]. Walter has found in [3] a very good representation of the SIMPLER-Algorithm for one-dimensional transient pipe flows, that is used in this article. There is just one difference in the calculation of the source term. The transient summand of the source term is calculated in a separate operation. All calculation instructions of all coefficients are listed in table 1.

The iteration of the SIMPLER-Algorithm for an transient one-dimensional flow through a pipe can be explained as follows:

1. With the help of an estimated velocity array  $w_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^*$ , an estimated pressure array  $p_i^*$  and an estimated temperature array  $T_i^*$  the array of the pseudo velocity  $\tilde{w}_{i+\frac{1}{2}}$  is calculated.

$$\tilde{w}_{i+\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{a_{eei}w_{i+\frac{3}{2}}^* + a_{wi}w_{i-\frac{1}{2}}^* + b_{ei}}{a_{ei}} \qquad (1)$$

2. The pressure array  $p_i$  is calculated by using the array of the pseudo velocity  $\tilde{w}_i$ .

$$a_{mPi}p_i = a_{mWi}p_{i-1} + a_{mEi}p_{i+1} + b_{mi} \quad (2)$$

3. The estimated velocity array  $w_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^*$  is calculated.

$$a_{ei}w_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^* = a_{wi}w_{i-\frac{1}{2}}^* + a_{eei}w_{i+\frac{3}{2}}^* + b_{ei} \quad (3)$$

4. With the improved velocity array  $w_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^*$  the pressure correction array  $\hat{p}_i$  is calculated.

$$a_{mPi}\hat{p}_i = a_{mWi}\hat{p}_{i-1} + a_{mEi}\hat{p}_{i+1} + b_{mi} \quad (4)$$

5. The pressure correction array  $\hat{p}_i$  is used to calculated the velocity array  $w_{i+\frac{1}{2}}$ .

$$w_{i+\frac{1}{2}} = w_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^* + \frac{A_{i+\frac{1}{2}}}{a_{ei}}(\hat{p}_i - \hat{p}_{i+1})$$
(5)

6. The energy balance is solved.

$$a_{hPi}h_i = a_{hWi}h_{i-1} + a_{hEi}h_{i+1} + b_{hi} \qquad (6)$$

- 7. All other physical state variables like density or viscosity are recalculated.
- 8. Return to the step 1 and repeat the entire procedure until a converged solution is obtained.

There are temporal boundary conditions (stationary, transient) and local boundary conditions (pipe inlet, pipe outlet) that holds different constant physical values like pressure, velocity or enthalpy. Table 1 shows that a coefficient holds a local or temporal boundary condition, but never a local and temporal boundary condition at the same time. This fact can be used to implement efficiently volume elements with combined boundary conditions (temporal and local) at the same time by using the virtual inheritance (see [4]).

## 3 Polymorphism and virtual Inheritance

The polymorphism is beside the encapsulation and the inheritance one of the three most important parts of object orientated programming. It is a mechanism to implement software interfaces. That means, that an object has the same syntax but a different semantic is hidden behind this syntax. This mechanism is useful during iterations, when the calculation of coefficients looks always the same, but the coefficients are calculated internally in a different way depending on the boundary conditions. The C++ programming language uses the mechanism of the inheritance and the key word "virtual".

In figure 2 an example of a polymorphic allocation is represented. The basic class "CalcKoeff" defines a virtual method "calc". A class "CalcKoeffA" is derived from the class "CalcKoeff" and overwrites the virtual methods. A pointer to the object of the classes "CalcKoeff" and "CalcKoeffA" would always call the own method of the object.

CCalcKoeff \*z\_K = new CCalcKeoff; CCalcKoeffA \*z\_KA = new CCalcKoeffA;

std::cout << z\_K->calc(...);
// Output: 0.0
std::cout << z\_KA->calc(...);
// Output: -3.0

The polymorphism respectively the polymorphic allocation is defined as the setting of a pointer of the type "CCalcKoeff" to an object of the type of the derived class "CCalcKoeffA". While accessing a method that is defined by the key word "virtual", the pointer accesses the overwritten method of the derived class.

```
CCalcKoeffA *z_KA = new CalcKoeffA;
```

```
// polymorphic allocation
CCalcKoeff *z_K = (CalcKoeff*) z_KA;
z_K->calc(...);
// output: -3.0
```

Using this mechanism it is possible to define several classes that are derived from the basic class that implements their own specific methods to calculate the coefficients depending on the position of the volume element. The instances of the derived classes can be collected in an array of the type of the basis class. In this way the calculation methods can be evaluated in a loop.

```
// instances of the derived classes
CCalcKoeffA *z_KA = new CCalcKoeffA;
CCalcKoeffB *z_KB = new CCalcKoeffB;
...
// array of the basic class
CCalcKoeff **z_K_array = new CCalcKoeff*[n];
// polymorphic allocation
z_K_array[0] = (CCalcKoeff*) z_KA;
z_K_array[1] = (CCalcKoeff*) z_KB;
...
// calculation of the coefficient in a loop
for (int i = 0; i < n; i++)
cout << z_K_array[i]->calc(...) << endl;</pre>
```

```
Definition of the
                                                      Basic Class
                                                                CCalcCoef
 Pointer
                    new
 to Object
                              Object
                                                       virtual double calc(...)
{ return 0.0; }
CCalcCoef
                             CCalcCoef
     *z K
                         polymorphic
allocation
                                                      Definition of the
Derived Class
                                                            CCalcCoefA
  Pointer
                                                            public CCalcCoef
                              Object
 to Object
                    new
                                                            double calc(...)
{ return -3.0; }
CCalcCoefA
                              CalcCoef.
```

Figure 2: Example of a polymorphic allocation; Source: [8]

\*z KA

## 4 Solving the problem by virtual inheritance

Using the polymorphism it is possible to overwrite single function of the basic class. This functionality would be sufficient if just a temporal or a local boundary condition exists. But there are volume elements that hold both boundary conditions at the same time. This difficulty can be overcome by using the virtual inheritance.



Figure 3: Principle of the virtual inheritance; Source: [8]



Figure 4: Class diagramm for the FVM; Source: [8]

The polymorphic allocation uses the key word "virtual" with functions of the basic class. The virtual inheritance uses the key word "virtual" with classes.

A basic class is constructed, that holds all methods that calculate the coefficients of the general case of a middle transient volume element and holds all physical state variables (level 1). Virtual classes are derived from the basic class, that overwrite methods for calculating coefficients for implementing boundary conditions that are described in table 1 (level 2). Each virtual class implements just one temporal or local boundary condition. A third group of classes combines temporal and local boundary conditions by the derivation of two classes at the same time, that hold one temporal and one local boundary condition (level 3). To avoid ambiguity the classes of level 2 must be derived virtual from the basic class because if that classes would be derived in the normal way, the classes of the third level access different variables of the physical state. A simplified inheritance scheme is shown in figure 3. The full inheritance scheme is shown in figure 4.

To save the derived classes a two-dimensional matrix (time, location) of pointers of the basic class is used. The pointers of the basic class are allocated to objects of derived classes in the initial phase. In this way the coefficients could be calculated in a loop without if-statements.

#### 5 Example



Figure 5: Distribution of the volume elements over the heat exchanger; Source: [8]

To check the efficiency of the new program design a comparison between the old and the new program design was made. The algorithm of the old program design has to check the type of each volume element in the loop before choosing the set of methods to calculate the coefficients.

The calculation example is a transient onedimensional flow through a pipe of a super heater of a steam power plant like it is shown in figure 5. The super heater is discretized by 10 volume elements. The flue gas is calculated quasistationary.

The convergence behavior of the algorithm is not affected by the new program design. Therefore the convergence conditions are not checked any more and the algorithm runs just  $10^6$  times to compare the calculation speed.

The gas and steam properties are read from tables to reduce the influence of gas and steam properties to the calculation speed.

With the new program design the calculation velocity could be improved by 7 %.

#### 6 Conclution

The advantage of calculation velocity of the presented program design is poor, because most of the calculation time is used for calculating the properties of steam and gases and solving the linear equation systems. But with the slightly enhanced effort in program design, a very clear program code is generated, that concentrates all calculation methods of a special boundary condition in a specific class. The advantage in calculation time is low in one-dimensional cases like it is chosen here. But in cases of three-dimensional flow, difficult geometries and constant gas properties the improvement will increase.

Symbol	Unit	Description			
A	$m^2$	area			
a	var.	coefficient			
b	var.	source term			
h	$\frac{J}{ka}$	enthalpy			
p	Pa	pressure			
t	s	time			
w	$\frac{m}{s}$	velocity			
x	$\tilde{m}$	location			
Δ	_	difference			
ho	$\frac{kg}{m^3}$	density			

Symbol	Unit	Description
$x_i$	—	index of location
$x_e$	—	eastern bound. of the vol. el.
$x_w$	—	western bound. of the vol. el.
$x_E$	—	eastern volume element
$x_W$	—	western volume element
$x_P$	—	central volume element
$x_m$	_	mass
$x_h$	_	enthalpy
$x^*$		estimated variable
$\hat{x}$	—	correction variable
$x^0$	—	from last time step
$ ilde{x}$	—	pseudo

References:

- T. Kato, Non-stationary flows of viscous and ideal fluids in IR<sup>3</sup>, J. Func. Anal. 9, 1972, pp. 296–305.
- [2] S. Patankar, Numerical Heat Transfer and Fluid Flow, *Hemisphere Publishing Corpora*tion, 1980
- [3] H. Walter, Modellbildung und numerische Simulation von Naturumlaufdampferzeugern, Fortschritt-Berichte VDI Reihe 6 Energietechnik Nr.: 457, Wien 2001
- [4] B. Stroustrup, Die C++-Programmiersprache, Addison-Wesley, 1998
- [5] T. Löhr, Simulation stationaerer und instationaerer Betriebszustaende kombinierter Gas- und Dampfturbinenanlagen, VDI-Fortschritt-Berichte Reihe 6 Nr.: 432, Braunschweig 1999
- [6] H. Rohse, Untersuchung der Vorgänge beim Uebergang vom Umwaeltz- zum Zwangsdurchlaufbetrieb mit einer dynamischen Dampferzeugersimulation, VDI-Fortschritt-Berichte Reihe 6 Nr.: 327, Wien/Braunschweig 1995
- [7] K. Ponweiser, Numerische Simulation von dynamischen Stroemungsvorgaengen in netzwerkartigen Rohrkonstruktionen, *VDI-Fortschritt-Berichte Reihe 6 Nr.: 378*, Wiener Neustadt 1997
- [8] H. Zindler, Dynamische Kraftwerkssimulation durch Kopplung von FVM und PECE Verfahren mit Hilfe von Adjungiertenverfahren, Der Andere Verlag (in Vorbereitung)

Momentum balance / pseudo velocity										
coefficient	transient / standard	stationary	imag.	b. west	b. west $p$	b. west $w$	b. east	b. east $p$		
$a^0_{ei}\ b^0_{ei}$	$\frac{\frac{\rho_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^{0}A_{i+\frac{1}{2}}\Delta x_{i+\frac{1}{2}}}{a_{ei}^{0}w_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^{0}}$	0 0	- -	standard standard	standard standard	standard standard	standard standard	standard standard		
$b_{ei}$	$\Delta p_{H,i+\frac{1}{2}}A_{i+\frac{1}{2}} + b_{ei}^{0} + dp_{pi}$	standard	-	standard	standard	standard	standard	standard		
$dp_{pi}$	$(p_i - p_{i+1})A_{i+\frac{1}{2}}$	standard	-	standard	standard	standard	standard	standard		
$a_{wi}$	$\max\left[(\rho\tilde{w})_{i-\frac{1}{2}},0\right]A_{i-\frac{1}{2}}$	standard	-	standard	0	standard	standard	standard		
$a_{eei}$	$\max\left[-(\rho\tilde{w})_{i+\frac{3}{2}},0\right]A_{i+\frac{3}{2}}$	standard	-	standard	standard	0	standard	0		
$a_{ei}$	$a_{wi} + a_{ei} + a_{ei}^{0} + \frac{ \Delta p_{R,i+\frac{1}{2}} }{ w_{i+\frac{1}{2}} } A_{i+\frac{1}{2}}$	standard	-	standard	standard	standard	standard	standard		
pressure correction / calculation of pressure feld										
coefficient	transient / standard	stationary	imag.	b. west	b. west $p$	b. west $w$	b. east	b. east $p$		
$b_{mi}^0$	$(\rho_i^0 - \rho_i) \frac{A_i \Delta x_i}{\Delta t}$	0	-	standard	standard	standard	standard	standard		
$b_{mi}$	$b_{mi}^{0} + (\rho w^* A)_{i-\frac{1}{2}} - (\rho w^* A)_{i+\frac{1}{2}}$	standard	-	standard	0	standard	0	standard		
$b_{mi,pseudo}$	$b_{mi}^{0} + (\rho \tilde{w} A)_{i-\frac{1}{2}} - (\rho \tilde{w} A)_{i+\frac{1}{2}}$	standard	-	standard	$p_1$	standard	$p_n$	standard		
$a_{mWi}$	$(\rho A)_{i-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{A_{i-\frac{1}{2}}}{a_{4ei-1}}$	standard	-	standard	0	0	0	standard		
$a_{mEi}$	$(\rho A)_{i+\frac{1}{2}} \frac{A_{i+\frac{1}{2}}}{a_{\alpha i}}$	standard	-	standard	0	standard	0	standard		
$a_{mPi}$	$a_{mWi} + a_{mEi}$	standard	-	standard	1	standard	1	standard		
energy balance										
coefficient	transient / standard	stationary	imag.	b. west	b. west $p$	b. west $w$	b. east	b. east $p$		
$a_{h  Di}^0$	$\frac{\rho_i^0 A_i \Delta x_i}{\Delta t}$	0	-	standard	standard	standard	standard	standard		
$b_{hi}^{0}$	$a_{hPi}^0 h_i^{0}$	0	-	standard	standard	standard	standard	standard		
$b_{hi}$	$\max\left[\dot{Q},0\right] + b_{hi}^0$	standard	-	$(w_{\frac{3}{2}} > 0)?h_1$ :standard	standard	standard	$(w_{n-\frac{1}{2}} < 0)?h_n$ :standard	standard		
$a_{hWi}$	$\max\left[\left(\rho w\right)_{i-\frac{1}{2}},0\right]A_{i-\frac{1}{2}}$	standard	-	0	standard	standard	$(w_{n-\frac{1}{2}} < 0) ?0 : \mathrm{standard}$	standard		
$a_{hEi}$	$\max\left[-(\rho w)_{i+\frac{1}{2}}, 0\right] A_{i+\frac{1}{2}}$	standard	-	$(w_{\frac{3}{2}} > 0)$ ?0:standard	standard	standard	0	standard		
$a_{hPi}$	$a_{hWi} + a_{hEi} + a_{hPi}^{0} + \frac{\max[-\dot{Q},0]}{h_i}$	standard	-	$(w_{\frac{3}{2}} > 0)$ ?1:standard	standard	standard	$(w_{n-\frac{1}{2}} < 0)$ ?1:standard	standard		

Table 1: Coefficient calculation with different boundary conditions; Source: [8]