# Color Space Projection, Feature Fusion and Concurrent Neural Modules for Biometric Image Recognition

VICTOR-EMIL NEAGOE Faculty of Electronics, Telecommunications and Information Technology "POLITEHNICA" University of Bucharest ROMANIA

Abstract: – A new technique of color face recognition is proposed. First processing stage consists of an optimum color conversion from the 3D RGB space into a 2D selected feature space using the old Karhunen-Loève transform (KLT). The resulted 2D color space is defined by the two color components (called  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ ), corresponding to the two largest eigenvalues of the RGB pixel covariance matrix. The second processing phase corresponds to Principal Component Analysis (PCA) for each color channel. Third stage corresponds to the feature fusion of the  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  PCA-components. Last processing stage is a multiple neural classifier consisting of a set of concurrent self-organizing modules. The proposed system is experimented for the Essex color face database containing 3520 color images of 151 subjects.

Key-words: color face recognition, 2D color feature space, feature fusion, concurrent self-organizing maps

## **1** Introduction

All about the world, governments and private companies are putting biometric technology at the heart of ambitious projects, ranging from access control and company security to high-tech passports, ID cards, driving licenses, and company security. One of most important areas of biometric technology is face recognition. A common feature found in almost all technical approaches proposed for face recognition is the use of only the luminance associated to the face image. Although the majority of images are recorded in the color format nowadays, most face recognition systems convert the color information to luminance component data and do no use color information. One of the key challenges in face recognition lies in determining the contribution of different cues to the system performance and one of these cues is the *color* attribute.

On the other hand, multisensor data fusion is an emerging technology drawn from artificial intelligence, pattern recognition, statistical estimation, and other areas. Fusion multisensor data has significant advantages over simple source data, obtaining a more accurate estimate of a physical phenomenon. Data fusion provides new modelling opportunities in other areas of the physical and social geographical sciences. which includes and environmental research. Now we shall apply data fusion for biometric technology.

We firstly present an approach to improve the color-based pattern recognition performance by optimizing the color conversion. Jones and Abbott [5] performed a color conversion of the R, G, B components into the optimized monochrome form (instead of luminance) for face recognition, using the

Karhunen-Loève transformation (KLT). Recently [8], we have extended their approach by proposing and evaluating the transformation of the 3D RGB space into 2D optimized space.

Then we propose a color face recognition technique, where the images belonging to the face data base were projected in the previously mentioned KLT 2D color space (of components  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ ). For feature extraction, one chooses the Principal Component Analysis (PCA) model for each of the  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  channels. The next stage corresponds to *feature fusion*. The last processing stage means the application of the multiple neural system called CSOM (Concurrent Self-Organizing Maps) [7]. For comparison, we considered two scheme variants of color face recognition based on the 3D RGB color space. The systems are evaluated using the Essex color face database (151 selected subjects, 3520 color images).

## 2 Color Conversion from RGB Space into an Optimum 2D Space for Pattern Recognition

We shall further present the color space analysis model proposed by Neagoe in [8]. Consider the color pixels in a given image as 3D vectors

$$P(x, y) = \begin{bmatrix} R(x, y) \\ G(x, y) \\ B(x, y) \end{bmatrix},$$

where R(x, y), G(x, y) and B(x, y) are the red, green and blue components of the pixel of co-ordinates (x, y).

We assume that color images exhibit features that can be useful in the conversion from a 3D full color space representation to the 2D space. For color conversion, we have chosen the Karhunen-Loève transformation (KLT), also known as Principal Component Analysis (PCA), by exploiting the correlation of the R, G, and B color channels. It is an optimum projection solution, by minimizing the mean square error for vector dimensionality reduction, when one projects the 3D RGB space into the 2D KLT color space with uncorrelated axes.

To deduce the KLT matrix, one firstly computes the covariance matrix of the color pixels (represented as 3D vectors). Then, one computes the eigenvalues of the covariance matrix. Finally, we deduce the two eigenvectors, corresponding to the largest two eigenvalues. Thus, one obtains the KLT matrix K

$$\mathbf{K} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ \mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{T}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & a_3 \\ b_1 & b_2 & b_3 \end{bmatrix},$$

where



(A and B are the eigenvectors of the covariance matrix corresponding to the two largest eigenvalues and T denotes transposition).

Then, the projection of the 3D color vector P(x, y) in the 2D space is the vector C(x, y)

$$\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) = \begin{bmatrix} C_1(x,y) \\ C_2(x,y) \end{bmatrix},$$

given by the equation

$$\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{x},\,\mathbf{y}) = = \mathbf{K} \bullet \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{x},\,\mathbf{y}).$$

### **Example 1**

We considered the original RGB image in Fig. 1(a) (from Berkeley segmentation data set) and the reconstructed version from its 2D KLT projection (Fig. 1(b)). One can remark that the reconstructed picture is very similar to the original.



(a)

(b) Fig. 1. (a) Original "Berkeley". (b) Reconstructed "Berkeley" from 2D KLT color space.

#### 3 Face Recognition in the 2D Color **Space**

### 3.1 Feature Fusion Model

Using the proposed color projection model, a new system of color face recognition is proposed (Fig. 2). It contains the following processing stages:

- 1) Color conversion of the R, G, and B components into the two optimized new components  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ , according to the KLT
- 2) Principal Component Analysis (PCA) for each of the two color channels ( $C_1$  and  $C_2$ )
- 3) Feature fusion (amalgamation of the eigencomponents of the two channels)
- 4) Neural network classification. The final processing stage consists of a set of Concurrent Self Organizing Maps (CSOM) [7] shown in Fig. 3.

Concurrent Self-Organizing Maps (CSOM) is a collection of small SOMs, which use a global winnertakes-all strategy. Each network is used to correctly classify the patterns of one class only and the number of networks equals the number of classes.

The CSOM training technique is a supervised one, but for any individual net the SOM specific training algorithm is used. We built "n" training patterns sets and we used the SOM training algorithm independently for each of the "n" SOMs. The CSOM models for training and classification are shown in Figs. 3(a) and (b).

For comparison, we further consider two scheme variants of color face recognition, where their inputs are 3D vectors (RGB pixels). In Fig. 4, one can see a model based on the independent processing of the R, G, and B channels. After PCA and neural classification, we can follow one of the color decision or we can perform a decision fusion (for example, by vote). The system in Fig. 5 uses the fusion of the eigen-features corresponding to the R, G, and B color components, followed by the neural classifier.



Fig. 2. Color face recognition with color space projection and feature fusion (using as inputs 2D projected pixels).



Fig. 3. (a) The CSOM model (training phase). (b) The CSOM model (classification phase).



Fig. 4. Color face recognition using the R, G, B components and a decision fusion.



Fig. 5. Color face recognition using feature fusion of the R, G, B channels.

### **3.2 Experimental Results**

We have used the color face database provided by Dr. Libor Spacek, Depart. of Computer Science, University of Essex, U.K. We considered 3020 images from this database, corresponding to 151 subjects, where each subject is represented by 20 pictures (10 images being chosen for training and the other 20 for test). Any picture has 200 x 180 pixels, in RGB format (with 24 bits/pel).

The face database contains images of people of various racial origins, most of them being of 18-20 year old, but some older individuals are also present (Fig. 6).

We have considered both the original images selected from data base and also the corresponding intentionally degraded ones (Fig. 8). The experimental results are given in Tables 1-2 and Figs. 9-13.



Fig. 6. Several images belonging to the Essex database.

### Example 2

The eigenvalues of the color pixel covariance matrix for the training set of 1510 face images are

$$\lambda_1 = 8140.67; \ \lambda_2 = 984.34; \ \lambda_3 = 223.35.$$

One deduces that the projection error (corresponding to least eigenvalue) is of 2.39 % only!

The corresponding eigenvectors defining the color KLT are

$$A^{T} = (0.6411 \ 0.5568 \ 0.5282)$$
$$B^{T} = (0.1273 \ -0.7558 \ 0.6423).$$

In Fig. 7 (b) one can see the reconstruction of image (Fig. 7 (.a)) from the 2D KLT color space.



Fig. 7. (a) Original "Ekavaz". (b) Reconstruction of(a) from 2D KLT color space. (c) Reconstruction of(a) from 1D KLT color space. (d) Luminancecomponent of (a).



Fig. 8. Intentionally degraded images.

The subjective effect of retaining a various number of eigen-features from the color image can be evaluated in Fig. 9.



Fig. 9. (a) Original image. (b) Reconstructed image from 50 eigen-features/each (R, G, B). (c) 100 features. (d) 500 features.

Number of												
features/color		10	30	50	70	90	100	150	200	300	500	1000
component												
RGB	Feature fusion	97.22	98.34	98.68	98.81	98.68	98.74	98.87	98.94	99.34	99.87	99.87
	Red	94.7	98.08	98.15	98.54	98.48	98.61	98.54	98.87	98.94	99.27	99.34
	Green	95.3	97.88	98.68	98.34	98.48	98.54	98.48	98.74	99.14	99.06	99.67
	Blue	94.1	97.75	98.15	98.21	98.01	97.88	98.01	98.21	98.34	98.74	98.74
	Decision fusion	95.23	98.08	98.54	98.68	98.48	98.48	98.48	98.81	98.87	99.4	99.47
( <i>C</i> <sub>1</sub> , <i>C</i> <sub>2</sub> )	Feature fusion	97.28	98.94	99.00	99.00	99.27	99.14	99.34	99.47	99.54	99.8	99.8
	$C_1$	95.1	98.21	98.61	98.68	98.74	98.81	98.81	99	99.4	99.4	99.4
	$C_2$	95.89	98.08	98.15	98.21	98.34	98.28	98.34	98.34	98.68	98.81	98.87

Table 1. Recognition score for the test lot of 1510 original color images

Table 2. Recognition score for the test lot of 1510 degraded color images

Number of features/color component		10	30	50	70	90	100	150	200	300	500	1000
Luminance		96.25	98.61	98.94	98.94	99	99	99	99.14	99.27	99.54	99.54
RGB	Feature fusion	97.4	98.48	99.00	99.00	98.94	98.94	99.14	99.47	99.54	99.87	99.87
	Red	95.36	98.61	98.87	98.81	98.87	98.94	98.94	99.07	99.27	99.6	99.54
	Green	95.7	98.34	99.47	99.07	99.07	99.14	99.47	99.54	99.87	99.87	99.87
	Blue	95.7	98.15	98.61	98.61	98.34	98.34	98.34	98.61	98.81	99.00	99.07
	Decision fusion	95.96	98.34	99.07	99.00	98.81	98.87	99.07	99.21	99.47	99.8	99.74
$(C_1, C_2)$	Feature fusion	97.75	99.21	99.21	99.34	99.21	99.47	99.47	99.67	99.8	99.8	99.8
	$C_1$	95.96	98.61	99.00	99.00	98.94	99.00	99.00	99.21	99.47	99.74	99.74
	$C_2$	96.29	98.21	98.48	98.48	98.61	98.61	98.68	98.68	98.87	99.07	99.21



Fig. 10. Recognition score for the systems given in Figs. 4- 5.



Fig. 12. Recognition score for the system given in Fig. 2.



Fig. 11. Comparison of  $(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{G}, \mathbf{B})$  and  $(C_1, C_2)$  best results.



Fig. 13. Comparison of gray and 2D color image recognition performance for degraded images.

## 4 Concluding Remarks

- 1. First chapter presents a model of 2D color image representation for pattern recognition, using the KLT to project the 3D RGB space into an optimum color plane.
- 2. The mean square error of color dimensionality reduction (from 3 to 2) is about 3% only, for the considered applications.
- 3. Using the above 2D color optimized representation, instead of the 3D color space, one can significantly reduce the computational effort for color image processing, by preserving almost all information content.
- 4. One proposes a color face recognition technique in the 2D color space, using feature fusion and a multiple neural module classifier. We compare this model with two schemes, based on 3D color input vectors.
- 5. Best results of color face recognition correspond to the new model (feature fusion of the  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ color components and concurrent neural classifier, shown in Fig. 2). This variant is superior both to the feature fusion of R, G, B components and also to the decision fusion of the same color channels.
- 6. One can remark the role of *color* for face recognition in the case of degraded images (see comparison between gray-scale (luminance) images and  $(C_1, C2)$  color component fusion in Table 2 and Fig. 13).
- 7. In the case of degraded images, by retaining only  $C_1$  component, one obtains better results than using the luminance.
- 8. The proposed 2D color conversion model may have wide applications in the areas of color-based pattern recognition.

References:

[1] J. Aguilar, A color pattern recognition problem based on the multiple classes random neural network model, *Neurocomputing*, *61*, 2004, pp. 71-83.

[2] D. Comaniciu, P. Meer, Mean Shift: A Robust Approach toward Feature Space Analysis, *IEEE Trans. on Pattern Analysis and Mach. Intell.*, Vol. 24, No. 5, May 2002, pp. 603-619.

[3] G. D. Finlayson, S. D. Hordley, and P. M. Hubel, Color by correlation: a simple, unifying framework for color constancy, *IEEE Trans. Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, Vol. 23, No. 11, Nov. 2001, pp. 1209-1221.

[4] P. Gonzales and R. Woods, *Digital image processing*, Addison Wesley, 1992.

[5] C. F. Jones III and A. L. Abbott, "Optimization of color conversion for face recognition", *EURASIP Journal on Applied Signal Processing*, nr. 4, 2004, pp. 522-529.

[6] V. Neagoe, A neural approach to compression of hyperspectral remote sensing imagery, in: Reusch, B. (ed.): *Computational Intelligence. Theory and Applications, Proceedings of International Conference on Computational Intelligence – "7<sup>th</sup> Fuzzy Days in Dortmund"*, October 2001, Dortmund, Germany, Springer, Berlin, 2001, pp. 436-449.

[7] V. Neagoe, A. Ropot, Concurrent self-organizing maps for pattern classification, *Proc. First IEEE International Conference on Cognitive Informatics, ICCI 2002*, August 19-20, 2002, Calgary, Alberta, Canada, pp. 304-312.

[8] V. Neagoe, An Optimum 2D Color Space for Pattern Recognition, *Proceedings of the 2006 International Conference on Image Processing*, *Computer Vision&Pattern Recognition*, Las Vegas, Nevada, June 26-29, 2006, Vol. 2, pp. 526-532.

[9] L. Torres, J. Y. Reutter, L. Lorente, The importance of the color information in face recognition, *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Image Processing, ICIP'99*, October 25-28, 1999, Kobe, Japan, Vol. 3, pp. 627-631.

[10] A. Yip, P. Sinha, Role of color for face recognition, AI Memo 2001-035, Dec. 2001, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, USA.