On the Iterative Construction of Bent Functions¹

JOAN-JOSEP CLIMENT^(a), FRANCISCO J. GARCÍA^(b), VERÓNICA REQUENA^(a)

^(a)Departament de Ciència de la Computació i Intel·ligència Artificial

^(b)Departament de Fonaments de l'Anàlisi Econòmica

Universitat d'Alacant

Ap. 99 E-03080 Alacant

SPAIN

Abstract: In this paper we present two methods to construct iteratively bent functions of n + 2 variables from bent functions of n variables. Our methods use bent functions expressed as sum of minterms.

Key-words: Boolean function, cryptography, nonlinearity, bent function, minterm, balanced sequence.

1 Introduction

Boolean functions are used for a wide variety of applications in engineering and computer science. They have been the subject of cryptography [4, 5, 11], coding theory [3, 7, 9], and digital communications [6, 8, 13], among others. The most important Boolean functions are bent functions since they are a very important tool in different kinds of cryptographic applications, like stream ciphers and block ciphers. That is why we need to find Boolean functions with a variety of criteria that reduce the effectiveness of advanced cryptanalytic attack, such as linear [10] and differential [2, 12]. Bent functions are the Boolean functions achieving the upper bound on nonlinearity, so that they offer the maximum possible resistance to these attacks [15]. Bent functions with 4 variables have been very studied, and therefore we know the number of bent functions that there are. However a general method to generate all the bent functions in n variables is unknown for $n \ge 6$ (see for example [1, 14, 16, 17]). So that, we want to contribute to the knowledge of that functions with the introduction of two methods to construct bent functions for any value of *n*. These methods are based on minterms.

2 **Preliminaries**

Let *n* be a positive integer and $B = \{0, 1\}$. A function $f : B^n \longrightarrow B$ is called a **Boolean function** of *n* variables. For $i = 0, 1, ..., 2^n - 1$, let β_i be the vector in B^n whose integer representation is *i*. For a Boolean function *f*, the (0, 1)-sequence

$$\xi_f = (f(\boldsymbol{\beta_0}), f(\boldsymbol{\beta_1}), \dots, f(\boldsymbol{\beta_{2^n-1}}))$$

is called the **truth table** of f.

We say that a Boolean function f is an **affine**

function if it takes the form

$$f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n a_i x_i \oplus b,$$

where $a_i, b \in B$ for i = 1, 2, ..., n and \oplus is the binary addition. In addition, f is called a **linear function** if b = 0.

The **Hamming weight** of a (0, 1)-sequence α , denoted by w(α), is the number of 1s in α . A (0, 1)-sequence is **balanced** if it contains an equal number of 0s and 1s; a Boolean function f is **balanced** if its truth table is balanced. The **Hamming distance** between two (0, 1)-sequences α and β , denoted by d (α, β) , is the number of positions where the two sequences differ, that is d $(\alpha, \beta) = w (\alpha \oplus \beta)$. For two Boolean functions f and g we have that w $(f) = w (\xi_f)$ and d $(f,g) = d (\xi_f, \xi_g)$.

The **nonlinearity** NL of a Boolean function f is given by

$$\mathrm{NL}(f) = \min\{\mathrm{d}(f,\varphi) \mid \varphi \in \mathcal{A}_n\}$$

where A_n is the set of all affine functions; it is well known (see [18]) that

$$NL(f) \le 2^{n-1} - 2^{\frac{n}{2}-1}.$$

The Boolean functions that attains the maximum nonlinearity are called **bent functions** (see [18]), in this case, n must be even. It follows then that f(x) is a bent function if and only $1 \oplus f(x)$ is a bent function.

A **minterm** on *n* variables x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n is a Boolean function

$$m_{e_1e_2\cdots e_n}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = x_1^{e_1} x_2^{e_2} \cdots x_n^{e_n}$$

where

$$x^e = \begin{cases} x, & \text{if } e = 1, \\ 1 \oplus x, & \text{if } e = 0. \end{cases}$$

¹This work was partially supported by Spanish grant MTM2005-05759

We write $m_i(x)$ instead of $m_{\beta_i}(x)$, and therefore $m_i(x) = 1$ if only if $x = \beta_i$. So, the truth table of $m_i(x)$ has a 1 in the *i*th position and 0 elsewhere. Consequently,

$$\bigoplus_{i=0}^{2^n-1} m_i(\boldsymbol{x}) = 1.$$
 (1)

It is well known that any Boolean function f can be expressed as

$$f(\boldsymbol{x}) = \bigoplus_{i \in I} m_i(\boldsymbol{x})$$

for a subset *I* of $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$.

According with the above comments, f is a bent function if and only if $f(x) \oplus f(\alpha \oplus x)$ is a balanced function [18]; in addition, $g_{\alpha}(x) = f(x \oplus \alpha)$ is also a bent function. In addition if f is a bent function, then it has exactly $2^{n-1} \pm 2^{\frac{n}{2}-1}$ minterms; so that f is not balanced.

3 Main results

We consider that $\boldsymbol{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ and $\boldsymbol{y} = (y_1, y_2)$, also (i_0, i_1, i_2, i_3) and (j_0, j_1, j_2, j_3) will be permutations of (0, 1, 2, 3).

The two following two lemmas, whose proofs can be obtained directly from the definition of minterm, are the key of our main results.

Lemma 1: For each minterm in n variables, it is possible to construct 4 different minterms in n + 2 variables.

Minterms have the following property that make them operative from the algebraic point of view.

Lemma 2: $m_{\alpha}(\beta \oplus x) = m_{\alpha \oplus \beta}(x)$ for $\alpha, \beta \in B^n$.

In the following two theorems, that are the main results of this paper, we introduce two methods to construct bent functions.

Theorem 1: If f(x) is a bent function with n variables, then

$$F(\boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{x}) = \left(\bigoplus_{t=0}^{2} m_{i_t}(\boldsymbol{y})\right) f(\boldsymbol{x}) \oplus m_{i_3}(\boldsymbol{y}) \left(1 \oplus f(\boldsymbol{x})\right)$$

is a bent function with n + 2 variables.

PROOF: We need to prove that

$$F_{(\boldsymbol{\beta},\boldsymbol{\alpha})}(\boldsymbol{y},\boldsymbol{x}) = F(\boldsymbol{y},\boldsymbol{x}) \oplus F((\boldsymbol{\beta},\boldsymbol{\alpha}) \oplus (\boldsymbol{y},\boldsymbol{x}))$$

is balanced for all nonzero $(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}) \in B^2 \times B^n$

Now, by Lemma 2, by expression (1), and after some tedious algebraic manipulations, it follows then that

$$F_{(\boldsymbol{\beta},\boldsymbol{\alpha})}(\boldsymbol{y},\boldsymbol{x}) = f(\boldsymbol{x}) \oplus f(\boldsymbol{x} \oplus \boldsymbol{\alpha})$$
$$\oplus m_{i_3}(\boldsymbol{y}) \oplus m_{i_3 \oplus \boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{y}). \quad (2)$$

So, if 0 and 1 are the $2^n \times 1$ arrays with all entries equal to 0 and 1 respectively, τ is the $2^n \times n$ array whose *i*th row is β_i , and ξ_{α} is the truth table of $f(x) \oplus f(\alpha \oplus x)$, then, according with expression (2), Table 1 show the truth table of $F_{(\beta,\alpha)}(y,x)$ for $i_3 = 3$ and $\beta = 2$.

To obtain the last column of the truth table for the different values of i_3 and β is not difficult.

We consider the following cases:

β ≠ 0₂ and α ≠ 0_n. In this case, the truth table of F_(β,α)(y, x) has, according with the above comments, four blocs

$$oldsymbol{\xi}_{oldsymbol{lpha}}$$
 $oldsymbol{\xi}_{oldsymbol{lpha}}\oplusoldsymbol{1}$ $oldsymbol{\xi}_{oldsymbol{lpha}}\oplusoldsymbol{1}$ $oldsymbol{\xi}_{oldsymbol{lpha}}\oplusoldsymbol{1}$

not necessarily in that order. The exact position of each bloc depends on the values of i_3 and β . Now, taking into account that the number of 1s (and also the number of 0s) in ξ_{α} is 2^{n-1} , we can ensure that the number of 1s in the truth table of $F_{(\beta,\alpha)}(\boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{x})$ is $4 \cdot 2^{n-1} = 2^{n+1}$ and, consequently, $F_{(\beta,\alpha)}(\boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{x})$ is balanced.

• $\beta = 0_2$ and $\alpha \neq 0_n$. In this case, the truth table of $F_{(\beta,\alpha)}(y, x)$ has, four blocs

$$\xi_{\alpha}$$
 ξ_{α} ξ_{α} ξ_{α}

which correspond to a balanced sequence.

- $\beta \neq \mathbf{0}_2$ and $\alpha = \mathbf{0}_n$. In this case, $\boldsymbol{\xi}_{\alpha} = \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\mathbf{0}} = \mathbf{0}$, and the truth table of $F_{(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \alpha)}(\boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{x})$ has four blocs
 - $0 \ 0 \ 1 \ 1$

not necessarily in that order. So, it is balanced.

Consequently, $F_{(\beta,\alpha)}(\boldsymbol{y},\boldsymbol{x})$ is balanced and, therefore, $F(\boldsymbol{y},\boldsymbol{x})$ is a bent function.

For a given bent function f(x) in n variables, we can construct, according with Theorem 1, 4!/3! = 4 different bent functions in n + 2 variables.

Now, in a similar way as in the previous theorem, we have the following result.

| y_1 | y_2 | \boldsymbol{x} | $m_0(oldsymbol{y})$ | $m_1(oldsymbol{y})$ | $m_2(oldsymbol{y})$ | $m_3(oldsymbol{y})$ | $f(oldsymbol{x}) \oplus f(oldsymbol{lpha} \oplus oldsymbol{x})$ | $F_{(\boldsymbol{eta}, \boldsymbol{lpha})}(\boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{x})$ |
|-------|-------|------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---|---|
| 0 | 0 | au | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ξ_{lpha} | ξ_{lpha} |
| 0 | 1 | au | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | ξ_{lpha} | $oldsymbol{\xi}_{oldsymbol{lpha}} \oplus 1$ |
| 1 | 0 | au | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | ξ_{lpha} | ξ_{lpha} |
| 1 | 1 | au | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | ξ_{lpha} | $oldsymbol{\xi}_{oldsymbol{lpha}} \oplus 1$ |

Table 1: Truth table of $F_{(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\alpha})}(\boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{x})$

Theorem 2: If f(x) is a bent function with n variables, and we consider a nonzero $\lambda \in B^n$, then

$$egin{aligned} G(oldsymbol{y},oldsymbol{x}) &= \left(m_{i_0}(oldsymbol{y}) \oplus m_{i_1}(oldsymbol{y})
ight) f(oldsymbol{x}) \ &\oplus m_{i_2}(oldsymbol{y}) f(oldsymbol{\lambda} \oplus oldsymbol{x}) \ &\oplus m_{i_3}(oldsymbol{y}) \left(1 \oplus f(oldsymbol{\lambda} \oplus oldsymbol{x})
ight) \end{aligned}$$

is a bent function with n + 2 variables.

PROOF: As in Theorem 1, considerer a nonzero $(\beta, \alpha) \in B^2 \times B^n$ and let

$$G_{(\boldsymbol{\beta},\boldsymbol{\alpha})}(\boldsymbol{y},\boldsymbol{x}) = G(\boldsymbol{y},\boldsymbol{x}) \oplus G((\boldsymbol{\beta},\boldsymbol{\alpha}) \oplus (\boldsymbol{y},\boldsymbol{x}))$$

= $(m_{i_0}(\boldsymbol{y}) \oplus m_{i_1}(\boldsymbol{y})) f(\boldsymbol{x})$
 $\oplus (m_{i_2}(\boldsymbol{y}) \oplus m_{i_3}(\boldsymbol{y})) f(\boldsymbol{\lambda} \oplus \boldsymbol{x})$
 $\oplus (m_{i_0 \oplus \boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{y}) \oplus m_{i_1 \oplus \boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{y})) f(\boldsymbol{\alpha} \oplus \boldsymbol{x})$
 $\oplus (m_{i_2 \oplus \boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{y}) \oplus m_{i_3 \oplus \boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{y})) f(\boldsymbol{\alpha} \oplus \boldsymbol{\lambda} \oplus \boldsymbol{x})$
 $\oplus m_{i_3}(\boldsymbol{y}) \oplus m_{i_3 \oplus \boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{y})$

• Assume that $\alpha = \mathbf{0}_n$ and $\beta \neq \mathbf{0}_2$. Then,

$$\begin{split} G_{(\boldsymbol{\beta},\boldsymbol{\alpha})}(\boldsymbol{y},\boldsymbol{x}) \\ &= \left(\bigoplus_{t=0}^{1} m_{i_{t}}(\boldsymbol{y}) \oplus m_{i_{t} \oplus \boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{y}) \right) f(\boldsymbol{x}) \\ &\oplus \left(\bigoplus_{t=2}^{3} m_{i_{t}}(\boldsymbol{y}) \oplus m_{i_{t} \oplus \boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{y}) \right) f(\boldsymbol{\lambda} \oplus \boldsymbol{x}) \\ &\oplus m_{i_{3}}(\boldsymbol{y}) \oplus m_{i_{3} \oplus \boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{y}) \end{split}$$

and taking into account that

$$egin{aligned} &(i_0 \oplus oldsymbol{eta}, i_1 \oplus oldsymbol{eta}, i_2 \oplus oldsymbol{eta}, i_3 \oplus oldsymbol{eta})\ &= egin{cases} &(i_1, i_0, i_3, i_2) & ext{if }oldsymbol{eta} = 1\ &(i_2, i_3, i_0, i_1) & ext{if }oldsymbol{eta} = 2\ &(i_3, i_2, i_1, i_0) & ext{if }oldsymbol{eta} = 3 \end{aligned}$$

we can consider the following cases:

– If
$$oldsymbol{eta}=1$$
, then $G_{(oldsymbol{eta},oldsymbol{lpha})}(oldsymbol{y},oldsymbol{x})=m_{i_3}(oldsymbol{y})\oplus m_{i_2}(oldsymbol{y})$

which is balanced, because its truth table has four blocs

 $0 \ 0 \ 1 \ 1$

not necessarily in that order, each one of length 2^n .

- If $\beta = 2$, in a similar way we have that

$$egin{aligned} G_{(oldsymbol{eta},oldsymbol{lpha})}(oldsymbol{y},oldsymbol{x}) &= f(oldsymbol{x}) \oplus m_{i_1}(oldsymbol{y}) \oplus m_{i_3}(oldsymbol{y}) \end{aligned}$$

whose truth table has four blocs

This truth table is balanced because $\boldsymbol{\xi}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$, the truth table of $f(\boldsymbol{x}) \oplus f(\boldsymbol{\lambda} \oplus \boldsymbol{x})$, is balanced.

- The case $\beta = 3$ is analogous to the case $\beta = 2$.
- Assume that $\alpha \neq \mathbf{0}_n$ and $\boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{0}_2$. Then,

$$egin{aligned} G_{(oldsymbol{eta},oldsymbol{lpha})}(oldsymbol{y},oldsymbol{x}) \ &= (m_{i_0}(oldsymbol{y}) \oplus m_{i_1}(oldsymbol{y})) \left(f(oldsymbol{x}) \oplus f(oldsymbol{\lambda} \oplus oldsymbol{x}))
ight) \left(f(oldsymbol{\lambda} \oplus oldsymbol{x})
ight) \left(f(oldsymbol{\lambda} \oplus oldsymbol{x})
ight) \ &\oplus f(oldsymbol{lpha} \oplus oldsymbol{\lambda} \oplus oldsymbol{x})) \,. \end{aligned}$$

So, the truth table of this function has four blocs

$${m \xi}_1 \ {m \xi}_1 \ {m \xi}_2 \ {m \xi}_2$$

where $\boldsymbol{\xi}_1$ and $\boldsymbol{\xi}_2$ are the truth tables of $f(\boldsymbol{x}) \oplus f(\boldsymbol{\lambda} \oplus \boldsymbol{x})$ and $f(\boldsymbol{\lambda} \oplus \boldsymbol{x}) \oplus f(\boldsymbol{\alpha} \oplus \boldsymbol{\lambda} \oplus \boldsymbol{x})$ respectively. Now, since $\boldsymbol{\xi}_1$ and $\boldsymbol{\xi}_2$ are balanced, because $f(\boldsymbol{x})$ and $f(\boldsymbol{\lambda} \oplus \boldsymbol{x})$ are bent functions, we can ensure that the above truth table is also balanced.

Assume that α ≠ 0_n and β ≠ 0₂. By proceeding as in the first part, we obtain that the truth table of G_(1,α)(y, x) has four blocs

$$oldsymbol{\xi}_1 \quad oldsymbol{\xi}_2 \oplus oldsymbol{1} \quad oldsymbol{\xi}_2 \oplus oldsymbol{1}$$

not necessarily in that order; so, it is a balanced sequence.

Similarly for $G_{(2,\alpha)}(\boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{x})$ and $G_{(3,\alpha)}(\boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{x})$.

So, the function $G_{(\beta,\alpha)}(\boldsymbol{y},\boldsymbol{x})$ is balanced and, consequently, $G(\boldsymbol{y},\boldsymbol{x})$ is a bent function.

For a given bent function f(x) in n variables, we can construct, according with Theorem 2, $(4!/2!)(2^n-1) = 12(2^n-1)$ different bent functions in n + 2 variables.

4 Conclusion

We have presented two methods to obtain iteratively new bent functions of n + 2 variables from bent functions of n variables. These methods are based in the expression of Boolean functions as sum of minterms. With these methods we can construct, starting with a bent function of n variables, $4 + 12(2^n - 1)$ bent functions. So, taking into account that if F is a bent function, then $F \oplus 1$ is also a bent functions, really we have $8 + 24(2^n - 1)$ bent functions. The results of this paper are valuable in both theory and practical applications.

References:

- C. M. Adams and S. E. Tavares. Generating and counting binary bent sequences. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 35(5):1170–1173, 1990.
- [2] E. Biham and A. Shamir. Differential cryptanalysis of DES-like cryptosystems. In A. J. Menezes and S. A. Vanstone, editors, *Advances* in Cryptology – CRYPTO '90, volume 537 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 2–21. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991.
- [3] Y. Borissov, A. Braeken, S. Nikova, and B. Preneel. On the covering radii of binary reedmuller codes in the set of resilient boolean functions. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 51(3):1182–1189, 2005.
- [4] A. Braeken, V. Nikov, S. Nikova, and B. Preneel. On Boolean functions with generalized cryptographic properties. In Anne Canteaut and Kapaleeswaran Viswanathan, editors, *Progress in Cryptology – INDOCRYPT 2004*, volume 3348 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 120–135. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004.
- [5] C. Charnes and T. Yu. Covering sequences of boolean functions and their cryptographic significance. *Designs, Codes and Cryptography*, 25:263–279, 2002.
- [6] P. V. Kumar and R. A. Scholtz. Bounds on the linear span of bent sequences. *IEEE Trans*-

actions on Information Theory, 29(6):854–862, 1983.

- [7] K. Kurosawa, T. Iwata, and T. Yoshiwara. New covering radius of reed-muller codes for *t*resilient functions. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 50(3):468–475, 2004.
- [8] A. Lempel and M. Cohn. Maximal families of bent sequences. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 28(6):865–868, 1982.
- [9] F. J. MacWilliams and N. J. A. Sloane. *The Theory of Error-Correcting Codes*. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 6 edition, 1988.
- [10] M. Matsui. Linear cryptanalysis method for DES cipher. In T. Helleseth, editor, Advances in Cryptology – EUROCRYPT '93, volume 765 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 386– 397. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994.
- [11] W. Meier and O. Staffelbach. Nonlinearity criteria for cryptographic functions. In J.J. Quisquater and J. Vandewalle, editors, Advances in Cryptology – EUROCRYPT'89, volume 434 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 549–562. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.
- [12] S. Murphy and M. J. B. Robshow. Keydependent s-boxes and differential cryptanalysis. *Designs, Codes and Cryptography*, 27:229– 255, 2002.
- [13] J. D. Olsen, R. A. Scholtz, and L. R. Welch. Bent-function sequences. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 28(6):858–864, 1982.
- [14] K. Ren, J. Park, and K. Kim. On the construction of cryptographycally strong boolean functions with desirable trade-off. *Journal of Zhejiang University SCIENCE*, 6A(5):358–364, 2005.
- [15] O. S. Rothaus. On "bent" functions. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory (Series A)*, 20:300–305, 1976.
- [16] P. Sarkar and S. Maitra. Construction of nonlinear boolean functions with important cryptographyc properties. In B. Prenee, editor, Advances in Cryptology – EUROCRYPT 2000, volume 1807 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 485–506. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000.
- [17] P. Sarkar and S. Maitra. Efficient implementation of cryptographically useful "large" boolean functions. *IEEE Transactions on Computers*, 52(4):410–417, 2003.
- [18] J. Seberry, X.-M. Zhang, and Y. Zheng. Nonlinearity and propagation characteristics of balanced boolean functions. *Information and Computation*, 119:1–13, 1995.