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#### Abstract

An open queueing network model in light traffic has been developed. The theorem on the law of the iterated logarithm for the idle time process of customers in an open queueing network has been presented. Finally, we present an application of the theorem - a idle time model from computer network practice.
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## 1 Introduction

One can apply the law of the iterated logarithm to the waiting time of customers, virtual waiting time of a customer, and the queue length of customers to get more important probabilistic characteristics of the queueing theory in heavy traffic (see, for example, [1][7], [9]-[11]). A single - phase case, where intervals of time between the arrival of customers to queue are independent identically distributed random variables and there is a single device working independently of the output in heavy traffic, has been completely investigated (see, for example, [1]-[2]). But there are only several papers on the theory of open queueing networks in heavy traffic (see [9]-[10]) and no proof theorems on laws of the iterated logarithm for the main probabilistic characteristics of an open queueing network in heavy traffic (for example, sojourn time of a customer, a virtual waiting time of a customer and idle time process of customers).

So in this paper, we present a theorem on the law of the iterated logarithm for the idle time process of customers in the queueing network. The service discipline is "first come, first served" (FCFS).

We consider open queueing networks with the FCFS service discipline at each station and general distributions of interarrival and service time.

The queueing network we studied has $k$ single server stations, each of which has an associated infinite capacity waiting room.

Every station has an arrival stream from outside the network, and the arrival streams are assumed to be mutually independent renewal processes. Customers are served in the order of arrival and after service they are randomly routed to either another station in the
network, or out of the network entirely. Service times and routing decisions form mutually independent sequences of independent identically distributed random variables. The basic components of the queueing network are arrival processes, service processes, and routing processes.

We begin with a probability space $(\Omega, \mathrm{B}, P)$ on which these processes are defined. In particular, there are mutually independent sequences of independent identically distributed random variables $\left\{z_{n}^{(j)}, n \geq 1\right\},\left\{S_{n}^{(j)}, n \geq 1\right\}$ and $\left\{\Phi_{n}^{(j)}, n \geq 1\right\}$ for $j=1,2, \ldots, k$; defined on the probability space. Random variables $z_{n}^{(j)}$ and $S_{n}^{(j)}$ are strictly positive, and $\Phi_{n}^{(j)}$ have support in $\{0,1,2, \ldots, k\}$. We define $\mu_{j}=\left(E\left[S_{n}^{(j)}\right]\right)^{-1}, \quad \sigma_{j}=D\left(S_{n}^{(j)}\right)$ and $\lambda_{j}=\left(E\left[z_{n}^{(j)}\right]\right)^{-1}, a_{j}=D\left(z_{n}^{(j)}\right), j=1,2, \ldots, k$; with all of these terms assumed finite. Denote $p_{i j}=$ $P\left(\Phi_{n}^{(i)}=j\right), i, j=1,2, \ldots, k$. The $k \times k$ matrix $P=\left(p_{i j}\right)$ is assumed to have a spectral radius strictly smaller than a unit (see [9]). The matrix P is called a routing matrix.

In the context of the queueing network, the random variables $z_{n}^{(j)}$ function as interarrival times (from outside the network) at the station $j$, while $S_{n}^{(j)}$ is the $n$th service time at the station $j$, and $\Phi_{n}^{(j)}$ is a routing indicator for the $n$th customer served at the station $j$. If $\Phi_{n}^{(i)}=j$ (which occurs with probability $p_{i j}$ ), then the $n$th customer served at the station $i$ is routed to the station $j$. When $\Phi_{n}^{(i)}=0$, the associated customer leaves the network.

At first let us define $I_{j}(t)$ as the idle time pro-
cess of customers at the $j$ th station of the queueing network in time $t, \quad \hat{\beta}_{j}=\frac{\lambda_{j}+\sum_{i=1}^{k} \mu_{i} \cdot p_{i j}}{\mu_{j}}-$ $1, \quad \hat{\sigma}_{j}^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} p_{i j}^{2} \cdot \mu_{i} \cdot\left(\sigma_{j}+\left(\frac{\mu_{i}}{\mu_{j}}\right)^{2} \cdot \sigma_{i}\right)+\lambda_{j}$. $\left(\sigma_{j}+\left(\frac{\lambda_{j}}{\mu_{j}}\right)^{2} \cdot a_{j}\right), \quad j=1,2, \cdots, k$ and $t>$ 0.

We suppose that the following conditions are fulfilled:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{j}+\sum_{i=1}^{k} \mu_{i} \cdot p_{i j}<\mu_{j}, j=1,2, \ldots, k \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that this conditions quarantees that, with probability one there exists a idle time process of customers and this idle time process of customers is constantly growing.

In addition, we assume throughout that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{1 \leq j \leq k} \sup _{n \geq 1} E\left\{\left(z_{n}^{(j)}\right)^{2+\varepsilon}\right\}<\infty \text { for some } \varepsilon>0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\max _{1 \leq j \leq k} \sup _{n \geq 1} E\left\{\left(S_{n}^{(j)}\right)^{2+\varepsilon}\right\}<\infty$ for some $\varepsilon>0$.
Conditions (2) and (3) imply the Lindeberg condition for the respective sequences, and are easier to verify in practice (usually $\varepsilon=1$ works).

One of the results of the paper is a following theorem on the law of the iterated logarithm for the idle time process of customers in an open queueing network (proof can be found in [7]).

Theorem 1 If conditions (1) - (3) are fulfilled, then

$$
\begin{gathered}
P\left(\varlimsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{I_{j}(t)-\hat{\beta}_{j} \cdot t}{\hat{\sigma}_{j} \cdot a(t)}=1\right)= \\
P\left(\varliminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{I_{j}(t)-\hat{\beta}_{j} \cdot t}{\hat{\sigma}_{j} \cdot a(t)}=-1\right)=1
\end{gathered}
$$

$j=1,2, \ldots, k$ and $a(t)=\sqrt{2 t \ln \ln t}$.

## 2 Idle Time Function of Computer Network

Now we present a technical example from the computer network practice. Assume that queues arrive at
the computer $v_{j}$ at a rate $\lambda_{j}$ per hour during business hours, $j=1,2, \ldots, k$. These queues are served at the rate $\mu_{j}$ per hour by the computer $v_{j}, j=1,2, \ldots, k$. After service in the computer $v_{j}$, with probability $p_{j}$ (usually $p_{j} \geq 0.9$ ), they leave the network and with probability $p_{j i}, \quad i \neq j, \quad 1 \leq i \leq k$ (usually $0<$ $\left.p_{j i} \leq 0.1\right)$ arrive at the computer $v_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, k$. Also, we assume the computer $v_{j}$ is idle when the idle time of waiting for service computer is less than $k_{j}, j=1,2, \ldots, k$.

Therefore, using Theorem 1 we get for $0<\varepsilon<1$
$P\left(\varlimsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{I_{j}(t)-\hat{\beta}_{j} \cdot t}{\hat{\sigma}_{j} \cdot a(t)}<1-\varepsilon\right)=0, j=1,2, \ldots, k$.
Let us investigate a computer network which consists of the elements (computers) $v_{j}$ that are indicators of stations $X_{j}, \quad j=1,2, \ldots, k$.

Denote

$$
X_{j}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1, \\
0, \quad \text { if the element } v_{j} \text { is not idle }
\end{array}\right.
$$

$j=1,2, \ldots, k$.
Note that $\left\{X_{j}=1\right\}=\left\{I_{j}(t)<k_{j}\right\}, \quad j=$ $1,2, \ldots, k$.

Denote the structural function of the system of elements connected by scheme 1 from $k$ (see, for example, [8]) as follows:

$$
\phi\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{k}\right)= \begin{cases}1, & \sum_{i=1}^{k} X_{i} \geq 1 \\ 0, & \sum_{i=1}^{k} X_{i}<1\end{cases}
$$

Denote $y=\sum_{i=2}^{k} X_{i}$. Estimate the idle function of the system (computer network) using the formula of full conditional probability

$$
\begin{gathered}
h\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{k}\right)=E \phi\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{k}\right)= \\
P\left(\phi\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{k}\right)=1\right)=P\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} X_{i} \geq 1\right)= \\
P\left(X_{1}+y \geq 1\right)=P\left(X_{1}+y \geq 1 \mid y=1\right) \\
P(y=1)+P\left(X_{1}+y \geq 1 \mid y=0\right) \cdot P(y=0)= \\
P\left(X_{1} \geq 0\right) \cdot P(y=1)+P\left(X_{1} \geq 1\right) \cdot P(y=0) \\
\leq P(y=1)+P\left(X_{1} \geq 1\right)=P(y=1)+P\left(X_{1}=1\right) \\
\leq P(y \geq 1)+P\left(X_{1}=1\right)=P\left(\sum_{i=2}^{k} X_{i} \geq 1\right)+ \\
P\left(X_{1}=1\right) \leq \cdots \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k} P\left(X_{i}=1\right)=
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{k} P\left(I_{i}(t) \leq k_{i}\right)
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq h\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{k}\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k} P\left(I_{i}(t) \leq k_{i}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

(4))

However, for $t \geq \max _{1 \leq j \leq k} \frac{k_{j}}{\hat{\beta}_{j}}$ and $0<\varepsilon<1$ (see

$$
\begin{gather*}
0 \leq \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} P\left(I_{j}(t)<k_{j}\right) \leq \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} P\left(I_{j}(t)<\right. \\
\left.(1-\varepsilon) \cdot a(t) \cdot \hat{\sigma}_{j}+\hat{\beta}_{j} \cdot t\right)= \\
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} P\left(\frac{I_{j}(t)-\hat{\beta}_{j} \cdot t}{\hat{\sigma}_{j} \cdot a(t)}<1-\varepsilon\right) \leq \\
\varlimsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} P\left(\frac{I_{j}(t)-\hat{\beta}_{j} \cdot t}{\hat{\sigma}_{j} \cdot a(t)}<1-\varepsilon\right)= \\
P\left(\varlimsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{I_{j}(t)-\hat{\beta}_{j} \cdot t}{\hat{\sigma}_{j} \cdot a(t)}<1-\varepsilon\right)=0 \tag{6}
\end{gather*}
$$

$j=1,2, \ldots, k$.
Thus (see (6)),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} P\left(I_{j}(t)<k_{j}\right)=0, j=1,2, \ldots, k \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, (see (5) and (6)), $h\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{k}\right)=0$.
Consequently, we have proved the following theorem on the idle time of computer network.

Theorem $2 t \geq \max _{1 \leq j \leq k} \frac{k_{j}}{\hat{\beta}_{j}}$ and conditions (1)- (3) are fulfilled, all computer in the network are idle.
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