

On the steady fall of a rigid body in Oseen flow - weighted approach

KRAČMAR STANISLAV¹, NEČASOVÁ ŠÁRKA²

¹ Department of Mathematics,
Czech Technical University,
Karlovo nám. 23,
Prague 2, Czech Republic

²Mathematical Institute of the Academy of Sciences,
Žitná 25,
11567 Prague 1,
CZECH REPUBLIC

Abstract: The aim of this paper is to prove the existence of the strong solution to the problem of the Oseen flow around a rotating body in weighted spaces.

Key Words: rigid body, steady fall, strong solution, Lizorkin theory, Marcinkiewicz theory, weighted spaces, Muckenhoupt class

1 Introduction

One of the important problems in fluid mechanics is to study of the Navier-Stokes flow past a rotating obstacle. Among a series of results on qualitative properties of the problem or related linear problems let us mention T. Hishida [H1],[H2],[H3], G. P. Galdi [G1],[G2], R. Farwig, T. Hishida, D. Müller [FHM], R. Farwig [Fa1], [Fa2], Š. Nečasová [Ne1], [Ne2], S. Kračmar, Š. Nečasová, P. Penel [KNPe], R. Farwig, M. Kröber, S. Nečasová [FKN1],[FKN2], S. Kračmar, Š. Nečasová, [KN], M. Geissert, M. Hieber, H. Heck [GHH].

We investigate the modified Oseen problem which is the simplified form of the problem of the fall of the rigid body in viscous fluid. We consider a coordinate system which is attached to the body. We assume that the body also rotate and the angular velocity ω is in the direction of gravitational field g , for simplicity we choose $\omega = \lambda g$.

2 Mathematical preliminaries

The Lebesgue spaces are denoted by $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, and equipped the norms $\|\cdot\|_{0,p}$. By $W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $k \geq 0$ an integer, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, we denote the usual Sobolev spaces with the norms $\|\cdot\|_{k,p}$. Further, we define the homogeneous Sobolev spaces $D^{m,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ equipped with the norm $\|\nabla \cdot\|_{m-1,q}$. Denote by $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the space of functions of rapid decrease. For $u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we denote by \hat{u} its Fourier transform. Given a function $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, let us consider the integral transform

$$Tu \equiv h(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix\xi} \phi(\xi) \hat{u}(\xi) dx, \quad u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n). \quad (2.1)$$

We denote the vector space

$$\mathbf{W}^{1,q}(\Omega) = \{p \in L^1_{loc}(\bar{\Omega}); \nabla p \in L^q(\Omega)^n\},$$

is called a homogeneous Sobolev space. Here $\|\nabla p\|_q$ is only a seminorm, and the quotient space $\mathbf{W}^{1,q}(\Omega)/N_1$ modulo N_1 , the space of constants, becomes a Banach space. The vector space

$$\tilde{\mathbf{W}}^q(\Omega) = \{u \in L^q_{loc}(\bar{\Omega}); \|\nabla^2 u\|_q < \infty, \|\partial_2 u\|_q < \infty\},$$

where $\|\nabla^2 u\| = (\sum_{j,k=1}^n \|\partial_j \partial_k u\|_q^q)^{1/q}$ will be endowed with the seminorm $\|\nabla^2 u\|_q + \|\partial_2 u\|_q$. It is easy to see that $\|\nabla^2 u\|_q + \|\partial_2 u\|_q = 0$ if and only if $u \in N_2 = \{b_1 x_1 + a + b_3 x_3 + \dots + b_n x_n; a, b_1, b_3, \dots, b_n \in \mathbf{R}\}$. Then $\tilde{W}^q(\Omega)/N_2$ becomes a Banach space with norm $\|\nabla^2 u\|_q + \|\partial_2 u\|_q$, where u is understood as a class modulo N_2 . For vector fields we get correspondingly the spaces $\tilde{W}^q(\Omega)^n$ and $\tilde{W}^q(\Omega)^n/N_2^n$, $W^{1,q}/N_1$, N_1 is the space of constants.

We shall consider the weighted Lebesgue space

$$L_w^q(\mathbf{R}^n) = L_w^q = \left\{ u \in L_{loc}^1(\mathbf{R}^n) : \|u\|_{q,w} = \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |u(x)|^q w(x) dx \right)^{1/q} < \infty \right\},$$

where $w \in L_{loc}^1$ is a weight function. A *weight* or a *weight function* will be always an a.e. non-negative and locally integrable function. In order to apply estimates for singular integral operators, multiplier operators, the weight function w will be supposed to satisfy the Muckenhoupt A_p -condition.

Definition Let \mathcal{R} be a collection of bounded sets in \mathbf{R}^n . A weight function $0 \leq w \in L_{loc}^1$ belongs to the Muckenhoupt class $A_q(\mathbf{R}^n, \mathcal{R})$, $1 \leq q < \infty$, if there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\sup_Q \left(\frac{1}{|R|} \int_R w(x) dx \right) \left(\frac{1}{|R|} \int_R w^{-1/(q-1)} dx \right)^{q-1} \leq C < +\infty, \text{ for any } R \in \mathcal{R}$$

if $1 < q < \infty$, and

$$\sup_{x \in R, R \in \mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{|R|} \int_R w(x) dx \leq C w(x_0), \text{ for a.a. } x_0 \in \mathbf{R}^n,$$

if $q = 1$, respectively.

Lemma 2.1. Let $\Phi : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be continuous together with the derivative $\frac{\partial^n \phi}{\partial \xi_1 \dots \partial \xi_n}$ and all preceding derivatives for $|\xi_i| > 0, i = 1, \dots, n$. Then, if for some $\beta \in [0, 1)$ and $M > 0$

$$|\xi_1|^{k_1+\beta} \dots |\xi_n|^{k_n+\beta} \left| \frac{\partial^k \phi}{\partial \xi_1^{k_1} \dots \partial \xi_n^{k_n}} \right| \leq M,$$

where k_i is zero or one and $K = \sum_{i=1}^n k_i = 0, 1, \dots, n$, the integral transform (2.2) defines

a bounded linear operator from $L^q(\mathbf{R}^n)$ into $L^r(\mathbf{R}^n)$, $1 < q < \infty, 1/r = 1/q - \beta$ and we have $\|Tu\|_r \leq c\|u\|_q$, with a constant c depending only on M, r and q .

For more details see [Ga1].

Lemma 2.2 Let $1 < q < \infty$ and $w \in A_q$. Then the following statement holds true: Let $m \in C^n(\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ satisfy the pointwise Hörmander-Mikhlin multiplier condition

$$|\xi|^{|\alpha|} |D^\alpha m(\xi)| \leq c_\alpha \text{ for all } \xi \in \mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$$

and all multi-indices $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ with $|\alpha| \leq n$. Then the multiplier operator $u \mapsto \mathcal{F}^{-1}(m\hat{u})$, $u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, can be extended to a bounded linear operator from L_w^q to L_w^q .

(ii) Let $m \in C^n$ in each "quadrant" of R^n and such that $\|m\|_\infty \leq B$,

$$\sup_{x_{k+1}, \dots, x_k} \int_{\mathcal{J}} \left| \frac{\partial^k m(x)}{\partial x_1 \dots \partial x_k} \right| dx_1 \dots dx_k \leq B$$

for $0 < k \leq n$, \mathcal{J} any dyadic interval in R^k , and any permutation of (x_1, \dots, x_n) . If $1 < p < \infty$ and $w \in A_p(R^n, \mathcal{R})$ then m is a bounded multiplier from $L_w^p(R^n)$ to $L_w^p(R^n)$.

Proof: see [GCRF], [Ku].

Lemma 2.3 Let $1 < p < \infty$ and let

$$\sigma_\alpha = (1 + |x|)^\alpha$$

$$\eta_{\alpha,\beta} = (1 + |x|)^\alpha (1 + s(x))^\beta$$

Then

$$\sigma_\alpha \in A_p \text{ if } -1 < \alpha < (p-1)$$

$$\eta_{\alpha,\beta} \in A_p \text{ if } -1 < \beta < p-1, -1 < \alpha+\beta < (p-1).$$

Proof: see [Ku],[KNPo],[FKN1].

3 Oseen problem in the whole space without weights

We investigate the problem

$$\begin{cases} R\tilde{v} \cdot \nabla u + \lambda g \times u - \mu \Delta u + \nabla p = Rf \\ \nabla \cdot u = h \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

The estimates for (3.1) will be obtained if we make replacements

$$\begin{aligned} f &\rightarrow f/\mathcal{R}, \\ p &\rightarrow p/\mathcal{R}, \\ h &\rightarrow h/\mathcal{R}, \\ x_i &\rightarrow \mathcal{R}x_i. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we investigate the problem

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{v} \cdot \nabla u + \lambda g \times u - \mu \Delta u + \nabla p = f \\ \nabla \cdot u = h \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

Theorem 3.1. Given $f \in W^{m,q}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, $h \in W^{m+1,q}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, $m \geq 0$, $1 < q < \infty$, there exists a pair of functions v, π with $v \in D^{m+2,q}$, $\pi \in D^{m+1,q}$, for any $m > 0$ and satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_2} - \mu \Delta v + \omega \times v + \nabla \pi = f, \\ \nabla \cdot v = h. \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

Moreover, for $l \in [0, m], i = 1, 3$ the quantities $|v_i|_{1,q}$, $\|v_i\|_q$, $\|\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_2}\|_{l,q}$, $|v|_{l+2,q}$, $|\pi|_{l+1,q}$ are finite and satisfy the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} &R \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_2} \right\|_{l,q} + |v|_{l+2,q} + |p|_{l+1,q} + \\ &+ \|v_i\|_{l,q} + |v_i|_{l+1,q} \\ &\leq c(R\|f\|_{l,q} + R\|h\|_{l+1,q} + \|h\|_{l,q}), \quad i = 1, 3. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

If $1 < q < 4$ then $R\|\frac{\partial v_2}{\partial x_l}\|_{l, \frac{4q}{4-q}}$ is finite and

$$\begin{aligned} &R \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_2} \right\|_{l,q} + \|v_i\|_{l,q} + |v_i|_{1,q} \\ &+ R^{1/4} \left\| \frac{\partial v_2}{\partial x_l} \right\|_{l, \frac{4q}{4-q}} + |v|_{l+2,q} + |\pi|_{l+1,q} \\ &\leq c(R\|f\|_{l,q} + R\|h\|_{l+1,q} + \|h\|_{l,q}), \quad i = 1, 3. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

If $1 < q < 2$ then $|v_2|_{\frac{2q}{2-q}}$ is finite and

$$\begin{aligned} &(|v_i|_{l,q} + R^{1/2}|v_2|_{l, \frac{2q}{2-q}}) + \left(|v_i|_{l+1,q} + \left| \frac{\partial v_2}{\partial x_l} \right|_{l,q} \right) \\ &+ \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_2} \right|_{l,q} + |v|_{l+2,q} + |\pi|_{l+1,q} \\ &\leq c(R\|f\|_{l,q} + R\|h\|_{l+1,q} + \|h\|_{l,q}), \quad i = 1, 3. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Proof: We will sketch the proof.

We already have solved problem for the homogeneous Oseen problem. We can assume that $v = u + w$, $\nabla \cdot u = 0$, $\nabla \cdot w = g$. Then we have to solve

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_2} + \omega \times w - \Delta w + \nabla \tau = 0, \\ \nabla \cdot w = h. \end{cases} \quad (3.7)$$

Applying the Fourier transformation and Li-zorkin theorem we get

$$\|w_i\|_r \leq c\|h\|_r, \quad i = 1, 3, \quad (3.8)$$

$$|w|_{1,r} \leq c\|h\|_r, \quad (3.9)$$

$$|w|_{2,r} \leq c\|h\|_{1,r}, \quad (3.10)$$

$$|\tau|_{1,r} \leq c\|h\|_{1,r}, \quad (3.11)$$

$$\left\| \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_2} \right\|_r \leq \|h\|_r, \quad (3.12)$$

$$\left\| \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_l} \right\|_r \leq \|h\|_r, \quad (3.13)$$

$$\|w_2\|_{\frac{3r}{3-r}} \leq \|h\|_r, \quad 1 < r < 3. \quad (3.14)$$

Now, putting together with homogeneous case, we get $1 < q < \infty$

$$\left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_2} \right\|_q + |v|_{2,q} + |p|_{1,q} \leq C(\|f\|_q + \|h\|_{1,q}), \quad (3.15)$$

$$|u_2|_{1,s_1} \leq c(\|f\|_q + \|h\|_{s_1}), \quad (3.16)$$

$$|v_i|_{1,q} \leq c(\|f\|_q + \|h\|_{1,q}), \quad i = 1, 3, \quad (3.17)$$

where $s_1 = \frac{4q}{4-q}$. Applying the Sobolev imbedding we get

$$\|g\|_{s_1} \leq \|h\|_{1,q} \quad 1 < q < 4. \quad (3.18)$$

Then

$$\|v_2\|_{1,s_1} \leq c(\|f\|_q + \|h\|_{1,q}). \quad (3.19)$$

Finally, since

$$|v_2|_{\frac{3r}{3-r}} \leq |h|_{\frac{3r}{3-r}} \quad (3.20)$$

and let $\frac{3r}{3-r} = \frac{2q}{2-q}$, we get $r_1 = \frac{6q}{6-5q}$ and

$$|v_2|_{\frac{2q}{2-q}} \leq |h|_q, \quad (3.21)$$

then

$$|v_2|_{\frac{2q}{2-q}} \leq |h|_{\frac{6q}{6-5q}} \leq c\|h\|_{1,q}. \quad (3.22)$$

4 Weighted approach in R^n

We consider the radial weight functions of the form

$$M(x) = (1 + |x|)^\alpha, x \in \mathbf{R}^n,$$

with $0 < \alpha < 1, n \geq 2$. Multiplying the Oseen equation (3.5) with M we obtain the following equations for Mu

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta(Mu) + \partial_2(Mu) + \nabla(Mp) + \omega \times (Mu) = \\ Mf + F(M), \\ \operatorname{div}(Mu) = Mg + (\nabla M) \cdot g, \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

where

$$F(M) = -2(\nabla M)(\nabla u) - (\Delta M)u + (\partial_2 M)u + (\nabla M)p.$$

We cannot apply Theorem 3.1 directly since Mu could leave the spaces which we consider in our theorem. To avoid this problem we introduce a cut-off procedure. Let $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ be a function with $0 \leq \varphi \leq 1$ for $|x| \leq 1, \varphi(x) = 1$ for $|x| \leq 1, \varphi(x) = 0$ for $|x| \geq 2$ and set $\varphi_j(x) = \varphi(j^{-1}x), M_j = \varphi_j M$ for all $j \in N$. Replacing M by M_j we get the main result

Theorem 4.1

Let $n = 3, 0 < \alpha < 1, \alpha' > \alpha + \frac{1}{2}, q > 1$ such that

$$1 < \alpha + \frac{3}{q} < \frac{10}{4}$$

and put $M(x) = (1 + |x|)^\alpha, M'(x) = (1 + |x|)^{\alpha'}, x \in \mathbf{R}^n$. Assume that $f \in L^q(\mathbf{R}^n)^n, g \in W^{1,q}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ such that $\|(M'f, M'g, M'\nabla g)\|_q < \infty$, and let $(u, p) \in \widetilde{W}^q(\mathbf{R}^n)^n \times \mathbf{W}^{1,q}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ be such a solution of the equations

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u + \partial_2 u + \nabla p + \omega \times u &= f, \\ \operatorname{div} u &= g. \end{aligned}$$

Then, after redefining modulo $N_2^n \times N_1, (u, p)$ satisfies the estimate

$$\begin{cases} \|(\nabla^2(Mu), \partial_2(Mu), \nabla(Mp), Mu_j, \partial(Mu_j))\|_q \\ + \|M\nabla^2 u, M\partial_2 u, M\nabla p\|_q \leq \\ C\|(M'f, M'g, M'\nabla g)\|_q, j = 1, 3 \\ \|u_2\|_{q_1} + \|\frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_l} \cdot p\|_{q_2} + \\ \|\nabla^2 u, \partial_2 u, \nabla p, \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_l}, u_j\|_{q_3} \leq \\ c\|(M'f, M'g, M'\nabla g)\|_q, j = 1, 3, l = 1, 3 \end{cases} \quad (4.2)$$

where $\frac{1}{q_1} = \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{n} + \frac{\alpha}{n}, \frac{1}{q_3} = \frac{1}{q_1} + \frac{2}{n+1}, \frac{1}{q_2} = \frac{1}{q_3} - \frac{1}{n}, c = c(n, q, \alpha, \alpha') > 0$ is a constant and assuming $\|(Mf, Mg, M\nabla g)\|_q + \|(f, g, \nabla g)\|_{q_4} < \infty$. we get

$$\begin{cases} \|(\nabla^2(Mu), \partial_2(Mu), \nabla(Mp))\|_q + \\ + \|(M\nabla^2 u, M\partial_2 u, M\nabla p)\|_q \leq \\ \leq C(\|(Mf, Mg, M\nabla g)\|_q + \|(f, g, \nabla g)\|_{q_4}), \end{cases} \quad (4.3)$$

where $\frac{1}{q_4} = \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{n} + \frac{\bar{\beta}}{n} + \frac{2}{n+1}$ with a $\bar{\beta}$ satisfying $\alpha < \bar{\beta} < \frac{10}{4} - \frac{3}{q}$ and $\frac{1}{2} + \bar{\beta} < \alpha'$ it holds.

Proof:

Since $0 < \alpha < 1, \alpha' > \alpha + \frac{1}{2}, \frac{6}{5-2\alpha} < q < \frac{3}{1-\alpha}$ it implies $\frac{1}{q_1} = \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{n} + \frac{\alpha}{n} > 0, \frac{1}{q_3} = \frac{1}{q_1} + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{q_2} + \frac{1}{n} = \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{6} + \frac{\alpha}{3} < 1$ and $\frac{1}{q_3} < \frac{1}{q} + \frac{\alpha'}{3}$. It follows that, $1 < q_3 < q_2 < q_1 < \infty$. Setting $\alpha'' = \frac{1}{2} + \alpha$ we get $\|M'^{-1}\|_{\frac{3}{\alpha}} < \infty$ and since $\frac{1}{q_3} = \frac{1}{q} + \frac{\alpha''}{3}$.

$$\|f\|_{q_3} = \|M'^{-1}M'f\|_{q_3} \leq \|M'^{-1}\|_{\frac{3}{\alpha}} \|M'f\|_q < \infty. \quad (4.4)$$

Similarly, $\|g\|_{q_3} < \infty$ and $\|\nabla g\|_{q_3} < \infty$. Therefore Theorem 3.2 yields a solution $(u, p) \in \widetilde{W}^{q_3}(R^3)^3 \times \dot{W}^{1,q_3}(R^3)$ of (3.1) satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \|(\nabla^2 u, \partial_2 u, \nabla p, u_j, \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_l})\|_{q_3} \leq c_1 \|(f, g, \nabla g)\|_{q_3} \\ \leq c_2 \|(M'f, M'g, M'\nabla g)\|_q. \end{cases} \quad (4.5)$$

Since $1 < q_3 < 2$ and $\frac{1}{3} < \frac{1}{q} + \frac{\alpha}{3} < \frac{1}{q} + \frac{\alpha''}{3} = \frac{1}{q_3}, 1 < q_3 < 3$, we may use Theorem 2.2 to get (u, p) modulo $N_2^n \times N_1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_2\|_{q_1} &\leq C\|(f, g, \nabla g)\|_{q_3}, \\ \|\frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_l}\|_{q_2} &\leq C\|\nabla^2 u\|_{q_3}, \\ \|p\|_{q_2} &\leq C\|\nabla p\|_{q_3}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

Then

$$\|u_2\|_{q_1} + \|(\frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_l}, p)\|_{q_2} \leq c\|(M'f, M'g, M'\nabla g)\|_q. \quad (4.7)$$

Choosing $\bar{\beta}$ such that $\alpha < \bar{\beta} < \frac{10}{4} - \frac{3}{q}, \frac{1}{2} + \bar{\beta} < \alpha', r_1 = (\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{n} + \frac{\bar{\beta}}{3})^{-1}, r_3 = (\frac{1}{r_1} + \frac{1}{2})^{-1}, r_2 = (\frac{1}{r_3} - \frac{1}{3})^{-1}, \alpha'' = \frac{1}{2} + \bar{\beta}$ correspondingly to q_1, q_2, q_3, α'' . Then the arguments above yield the same inequalities (4.5),(4.6) with q_1, q_2, q_3 replaced by $\bar{q}_1, \bar{q}_2, \bar{q}_3$, in particular $(u, p) \in \widetilde{W}^{r_3}(R^n)^n \times$

$\dot{W}^{1,\bar{r}_4}(R^n)$. Multilplying (3.1) by $M_j = \psi_j M, j \in N$, with ψ_j as above, we obtain the equations

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta(M_j u) + \partial_2(M_j u) + \nabla(M_j p) = M_j f + F(M_j), \\ \operatorname{div}(M_j u) = M_j g + (\nabla M_j) \cdot u. \end{cases} \quad (4.8)$$

Since $M_j u, M_j p$ have compact support we may apply Theorem 3.3. First we will estimate the expressions on the right of (4.8) independently of $j \in N$. The functions ψ_j have the following elementary properties:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \psi_j &= 1 \text{ for all } x \in R^n, \\ \operatorname{supp} \nabla \psi_j &\subseteq \{x \in R^n; j \leq |x| \leq 2j\}, \\ |\nabla \psi_j(x)| &\leq C(1 + |x|)^{-1}, \\ |\nabla^2 \psi_j(x)| &\leq C(1 + |x|)^{-2}, \end{aligned}$$

where c is independent of j, x . Further

$$\nabla M_j = (\nabla M) \Psi_j + M \nabla \Psi_j,$$

which gets

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla M_j(x)| &\leq C(1 + |x|)^{\alpha-1}, \\ |\nabla^2 M_j(x)| &\leq C(1 + |x|)^{\alpha-2} \end{aligned}$$

for all $j \in N, x \in R^3$.

Hence for (4.5),(4.7) r_1, r_2, r_3 we get the following estimate

$$\|(\partial_2 M_j)u\|_q \leq \|\partial_2 M_j\|_{(\frac{1}{n}-\frac{\beta}{n})^{-1}} \|u\|_{r_1} \leq c \|u\|_{r_1}. \quad (4.9)$$

Since $\frac{1}{q} = (\frac{1}{3} - \frac{\beta}{3}) + \frac{1}{r_1}$ and $\sup_j \|\partial_2 M_j\|_{(\frac{1}{3}-\frac{\beta}{3})^{-1}} < \infty$ it follows

$$\|(\partial_2 M_j)u\|_q \leq C \|(M' f, M' g, M' \nabla g)\|_q, \quad (4.10)$$

with C not depending on j . Further we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\nabla M_j)(\nabla u)\|_q &\leq \|\nabla M_j\|_{\left(\frac{1}{n}-\frac{\beta}{n}\right)^{-1}} \|\nabla u\|_{r_2} \\ &\leq C_1 \|\nabla u\|_{r_2} \leq C_2 \|(M' f, M' g, M' \nabla g)\|_q. \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$\|(\nabla M_j)p\|_q \leq C \|(M' f, M' g, M' \nabla g)\|_q,$$

$$\|(\Delta M_j)u\|_q \leq C \|(M' f, M' g, M' \nabla g)\|_q,$$

$$\|(\nabla M_j)u\|_q \leq C \|(M' f, M' g, M' \nabla g)\|_q,$$

and

$$\|\nabla \operatorname{div}(M_j u)\|_q \leq C \|(M' f, M' g, M' \nabla g)\|_q.$$

Applying Theorem 3.2. and using (4.8) from the last estimates we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\nabla^2 M_j u), \partial_2(M_j u), \nabla(M_j p)\|_q &\leq \\ &\leq C_1 (\|(M' f, M' g, M' \nabla g)\|_q + \\ &\| (M' f, M' g, M' \nabla g) \|_q), \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

with C_1 not depending on j . Therefore $\|(\nabla^2 M_j u), \partial_2(M_j u), \nabla(M_j p)\|_q$ is bounded uniformly is $j \in N$ and using weak convergence properties for $j \rightarrow \infty$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\nabla^2(Mu), \partial_2(Mu), \\ \nabla(M_p))\|_q \leq C \|(M' f, M' g, M' \nabla g)\|_q. \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

Moreover the above estimates leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \|(M_j \nabla^2 u, M_j \partial_2 u, M_j \nabla p)\|_q &\leq C_1 (\|(\nabla^2(M_j u), \\ \partial_2(M_j u), \nabla(M_j p))\|_q + \\ + \|((\nabla M_j)(\nabla u), \\ (\nabla^2 M_j)u, (\partial_2 M_j)u, (\nabla M_j)p)\|_q) \\ &\leq c_2 \|(M' f, M' g, M' \nabla g)\|_q, \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

for all $j \in N$; therefore we get

$$\|(M \nabla^2 u, M \partial_2 u, M \nabla p)\|_q \leq C \|(M' f, M' g, M' \nabla g)\|_q. \quad (4.15)$$

Using (4.5), (4.7), (4.13) we get (4.2).

We consider homogeneous Oseen problem

$$\begin{cases} R\tilde{v} \cdot \nabla u + \lambda g \times u - \mu \Delta u + \nabla p = Rf \\ \nabla \cdot u = 0 \end{cases} \quad (4.16)$$

Theorem 4.1. Given $f \in L_w^q(\mathbf{R}^n), 1 < q < \infty$, with $w = \sigma_\alpha, \eta_{\alpha,\beta}$ there exists a pair of functions (u, p) with $u \in D^{2,q}, \nabla p \in L^q, u_1, u_3 \in L^q, \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_2}, \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_2}, \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial x_2} \in L^q$, satisfying (4.16) and moreover

$$\begin{aligned} R \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_2} \right\|_{q,w} + R \left\| \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_1} \right\|_{q,w} + R \left\| \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial x_1} \right\|_{q,w} + \\ + \|u_1\|_{q,w} + \|u_3\|_{q,w} + \|\nabla p\|_{q,w} + \|\Delta u\|_{q,w} \\ \leq c_1 R \|f\|_{q,w}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

Proof: It follows Theorem 3.1, Lemma 2 and from results [FKN1].

Acknowledgement:

First part of this article was supported by the European network HYKE, funded by the EC

as contract HPRN-CT-2002-00282, which is acknowledged. Second part of this article was supported by the Grant Agency of the Academy of Sciences No. IAA10019505 and second author was supported by the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Institutional research plan No. AV0Z10190503. First author was supported by the reserach plans of the Ministry of Education of the Czech Republic N.6840770010.

5 References

- [F1] **R. Farwig, H. Sohr**, *Weighted estimates for the Oseen equations and the Navier-Stokes equations in exterior domains*, Theory of the Navier-Stokes equations in exterior domains., 11-30, Ser. Adv. Math. Appl. Sci., 47, World Sci. Publishing, River Edge, NJ, 1998
- [Fa1] **Farwig, R.**, *An L^q -analysis of viscous fluid flow past a rotating obstacle*. *FB Mathematik*, TU Darmstadt, Preprint no. 2325 (2004); to appear in: *Tôhoku Math. J.* (2006).
- [Fa2] **Farwig, R.**, *Estimates of lower order derivatives of viscous fluid flow past a rotating obstacle*. *Banach Center Publications*, Vol. 70, Warsaw 2005.
- [FKN1] **R. Farwig, M. Krbec, Š. Nečasová**, *A weighted L^q - approach to Oseen Flow around a rotating body*, Preprint 2005
- [FKN2] **R. Farwig, M. Krbec, Š. Nečasová**, *A weighted L^q - approach to Stokes Flow around a rotating body*, Preprint 2005
- [FHM] **Farwig, R., Hishida, T. and Müller, D.**, *L^q -Theory of a singular "winding" integral operator arising from fluid dynamics*. *Pacific J. Math.* 215 (2004), 297–312.
- [Ga1] **Galdi, G. P.**, *An introduction to the mathematical theory of the Navier-Stokes equations: Linearised steady problems*. Springer Tracts in Natural Philosophy, Vol. 38, 2nd edition, Springer 1998.
- [G1] **Galdi, G. P.**, *On the motion of a rigid body in a viscous liquid: a mathematical analysis with applications*. *Handbook of Mathematical Fluid Dynamics*, Vol. 1, Ed. by Friedlander, D. Serre, Elsevier 2002.
- [G2] **Galdi, G. P.**, *Steady flow of a Navier-Stokes fluid around a rotating obstacle*. *J. Elasticity* 71 (2003), 1–31.
- [GCRF] **Garcia-Cuerva, J. and Rubio de Francia, J. L.**, *Weighted norm inequalities and related topics*. North Holland, Amsterdam 1985.
- [GHH] **M. Geissert, H. Heck, M. Hieber**, *L^p theory of the Navier-Stokes flow in the exterior of a moving or rotating obstacle*, TU Darmstadt, Preprint. No. 2367, (2005)
- [H1] **T. Hishida**, *An existence theorem for the Navier Stokes flow in the exterior of a rotating obstacle* *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* 150, (1999), 307-348
- [H2] **T. Hishida**, *The Stokes operator with rotating effect in exterior domains*, *Analysis* 19, (1999), 51-67
- [H3] **T. Hishida**, *L^q estimates of weak solutions to the stationary Stokes equations around a rotating body*, Hokkaido Univ. Preprint series in Math., No. 691, (2004)
- [KNPo] **Kračmar, S., Novotný, A. and Pokorný, M.**, *Estimates of Oseen kernels in weighted L^p spaces*. *J. Math. Soc. Japan* 53 (2001), 59–111.
- [KNPe] **Kračmar, S., Nečasova, Š. and Penel, P.**, *Estimates of weak solutions in anisotropically weighted Sobolev spaces to the stationary rotating Oseen equations*. *IASME Transactions* 2 (2005), 854–861.
- [KN] **S. Kračmar, Š. Nečasová**, *A L^q - approach of the weak solution of the problem of Oseen Flow around a rotating body*, Preprint 2005
- [Ku] **G. S. Kurtz**, *Littlewood - Paley and multiplier theorems on weighted L^p spaces*, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, Volume 259, Number 1, May (1980)
- [Ne1] **Nečasova, Š.**, *On the problem of the Stokes flow and Oseen flow in \mathbb{R}^3 with Coriolis force arising from fluid dynamics*. *IASME Transaction* 2 (2005), 1262–1270.
- [Ne2] **Nečasova, Š.**, *Asymptotic properties of the steady fall of a body in viscous fluids*. *Math. Meth. Appl. Sci.* 27 (2004), 1969–1995.