

On the Weak Solution to the Oseen–Type Problem Arising from Flow around a Rotating Rigid Body

STANISLAV KRAČMAR
 CTU, Fac. of Mech. Engineering
 Dept. of Technical Mathematics
 Karlovo nám. 13, 121 35 Praha 2
 CZECH REPUBLIC

ŠÁRKA NEČASOVÁ
 Mathematical Institute
 Czech Academy of Sciences
 Žitná 25, 11567 Praha 1
 CZECH REPUBLIC

PATRICK PENEL
 University of Sud Toulon–Var
 Department of Mathematics
 B.P. 20132
 83957 La Garde Cedex
 FRANCE

Abstract: - Considering time-periodic Oseen flow around a rotating body in \mathbb{R}^3 we prove *a priori* estimates in L^q -spaces of weak solution for the whole space problem. After a time-dependent change of coordinates the problem is reduced to a stationary Oseen equation with the additional terms $(\omega \times x) \cdot \nabla u$ and $\omega \times u$ in the momentum equation where ω denotes the angular velocity. Assuming that force f has a form $f = \nabla \cdot F$, we prove L^q -estimates of weak solution using a theory of Littlewood–Paley decomposition and of maximal operators.

Key-Words: - Rigid body, Weak solution, Marcinkiewicz theory, Paley–Littlewood theory, Existence, Uniqueness

1 Introduction

Over the past years, there has been a great impulse in studying the motion of a rigid body. The first systematic study on this subject initiated with the pioneering work of G. Kirchhoff [K], Lord Kelvin [T] regarding the motion of one or more bodies in a frictionless liquid. After that many mathematicians have furnished significant contributions to this fascinating field under different assumptions on the body and on the fluid. We wish to quote the work of H. Brenner [B] concerning the steady motion of one or more bodies in a linear viscous liquid in the Stokes approximation, further H. F. Weinberger [W1]–[W2] and D. Serre [S] regarding the fall of a body in an incompressible Navier–Stokes fluid under the action of gravity. Recently see e.g. G. P. Galdi, A. L. Silvestre [GS], R. Farwig, T. Hishida, D. Müller [FHM], R. Farwig [Fa1, Fa2], T. Hishida [H1]–[H3], M. Hieber, M. Geissert, H. Heck, [HGH], S. Kračmar, Š. Nečasová, P. Penel, [KNPe1, KNPe2], R. Farwig, M. Krbec, Š. Nečasová [FKN1, FKN2], R. Farwig, J. Neustupa [KN].

Before describing the main results, we would like to introduce some basic problems of practical interest. The orientation of long bodies in liquid of different nature is a fundamental issue in many practical interest. A first, fundamental step in modelling and the orientation of long bodies in liquids is to investigate experimentally their free fall behavior (sedimentation), both in Newtonian and viscoelastic liquids see [Le], [PC]. The addition of short fiber-like particles to a polymer

matrix is well-known to enhance the mechanical properties of the *composite material*, see [Ad]. Typical sizes of a fiber are hundred micrometers in diameter and a centimeter in length [Ad]. The degree of enhancement depends strongly on the orientation of the fibers and the fiber orientation is in turn caused by the flow occurring in the mold ; see [LYKU]. Very important is *separation of macromolecules by electrophoresis*. Electrophoresis is a dominant analytical separation technique in the biological technique in the biological sciences [GRO]. Modern applications include weight determination of proteins [HR], DNA sequencing [Tr], and diagnosis of genetic disease [Bo]. Electrophoresis involves the motion of charged particles (macromolecules) in solution, under the influence of an electric field. Certain types of macromolecules have a symmetric and rigid straight-rod shape and several hundreds nanometers in length [GRO]. The orientation of the molecules plays an important role, since it is responsible for the loss of separability during steady-field gel electrophoresis [GRO], [TMW]. We would like to mention flow-induced microstructures. Particle pair interactions are a fundamental mechanism that enter strongly in all practical applications of particulate flows [Jo],[Ro]. They are due to inertia and normal stresses and are maximally different in Newtonian and viscoelastic liquids [JLPF]. In the most well-studied case of fluidized spheres, the principal interaction between a neighboring pair is described by the mechanism of drafting, kissing and tumbling in Newtonian liquids, and or drafting, kissing and chain-

ing in viscoelastic liquids [Jo1], [Jo2]. A first, fundamental step in modelling and the orientation of long bodies in liquids is to investigate experimentally their free fall behavior (sedimentation), both in Newtonian and viscoelastic liquids see [Le], [PC], [PGG].

2 Formulation of the problem

In this paper we consider a three-dimensional rigid body rotating with angular velocity $\omega = \tilde{\omega}(0, 0, 1)^T$, $\tilde{\omega} \neq 0$ and assume that the complement is filled with a viscous incompressible fluid modelled by the Navier-Stokes equations. We consider the viscous flow either past a rotating body $K \subset \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ with axis of rotation ω and with the velocity $u_\infty = ke_3 \neq 0$ at infinity or around a rotating body K which is moving in the direction of its axis of rotation. After changing of the coordinate system, considering that $u_\infty \parallel \omega$ and linearizing in u we get the following system

$$-\nu \Delta u + k \partial_3 u - (\omega \wedge x) \cdot \nabla u + \omega \wedge u + \nabla p = f \quad (1)$$

$$\operatorname{div} u = 0 \quad (2)$$

$$u \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } |x| \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3)$$

The linear system (1)–(3) has been analyzed in L^q -spaces, $1 < q < \infty$, in [Fa1, Fa2] proving the *a priori* - estimate

$$\|\nu \nabla^2 u\|_q + \|\nabla p\|_q \leq c \|f\|_q, \quad (4)$$

$$\|k \partial_3 u\|_q + \|(\omega \wedge x) \cdot \nabla u + \omega \wedge u\|_q \leq c \left(1 + \frac{k^4}{\nu^2 |\omega|^2}\right) \|f\|_q \quad (5)$$

with the constant $c > 0$ independent of ν, k, ω .

We introduce notation and then we will give a formulation of our problem.

Given a domain $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^3$, the class $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ consists of C^∞ functions with compact supports contained in Ω . By $L^q(\Omega)$ we denote the usual Lebesgue space with norm $\|\cdot\|_{q, \Omega}$,

$$L_0^q(\Omega) = \{u \in L^q(\Omega) : \int_\Omega u \, dx = 0\},$$

$$\mathcal{D}(\Delta_q) = W^{2,q}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,q}(\Omega).$$

We define the homogeneous Sobolev spaces

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{W}^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^3) &= \overline{C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\|\nabla \cdot\|_{q, \mathbb{R}^3}} \\ &= \{v \in L_{\text{loc}}^q(\mathbb{R}^3); \nabla v \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)^3\} / \mathbb{R}, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

and their dual space

$$\widehat{W}^{-1,q}(\mathbb{R}^3) = (\widehat{W}^{1,q/(q-1)}(\mathbb{R}^3))^*, \quad (7)$$

with norm $\|\cdot\|_{-1,q, \mathbb{R}^3}$.

Remarks: We would like to mention that the dual space of a domain from Assumption I (ii), (iii) have to be define in the following way

$$W^{-1,q}(\Omega) = (W^{1,q'}(\Omega))^*.$$

Let us consider the problem (1)–(3).

Definition 1.

Let $1 < q < \infty$. Given $f \in \widehat{W}^{-1,q}(\mathbb{R}^3)^3$, we call $\{u, p\} \in \widehat{W}_0^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^3)^3 \times L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)$ weak solution to (1)–(3) if

$$(i) \quad \nabla \cdot u = 0 \quad \text{in } L^q(\mathbb{R}^3),$$

$$(ii) \quad (\omega \wedge x) \cdot \nabla u - \omega \wedge u \in \widehat{W}^{-1,q}(\mathbb{R}^3),$$

$\{u, p\}$ satisfies (1) in the sense of distributions, that is

$$\langle \nabla u, \nabla \varphi \rangle - \langle (\omega \wedge x) \cdot \nabla u - \omega \wedge u, \varphi \rangle \quad (8)$$

$$+ k \left\langle \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_3}, \varphi \right\rangle - \langle p, \nabla \cdot \varphi \rangle = \langle f, \varphi \rangle,$$

$$\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3),$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the duality pairings.

$\{u, p\}$ satisfies (8) for all $\varphi \in \widehat{W}^{1,q/(q-1)}(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

Theorem 1. Let $1 < q < \infty$ and suppose

$$f \in \widehat{W}^{-1,q}(\mathbb{R}^3)^3, \quad (9)$$

then the problem (1)–(3) possesses a weak solution

$$\{u, p\} \in \widehat{W}^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^3) \times L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla u\|_q + \|p\|_q + \|(\omega \wedge x) \cdot \nabla u - \omega \wedge u\|_{-1,q} \\ \leq C \|f\|_{-1,q}, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

with some $C > 0$, depends on q . The solution is unique in $\widehat{W}^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^3)^3$ up to a constant multiple of ω for u .

3 Proof of the main theorem

We give a sketch of the proof of Theorem 1, for more details see [KNPe2].

For a rapidly decreasing function $u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ let

$$\mathcal{F}u(\xi) = \hat{u}(\xi) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-ix \cdot \xi} u(x) dx,$$

with $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$, be the Fourier transform of u . Its inverse is denoted by \mathcal{F}^{-1} . Moreover, we define the centered Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator

$$\mathcal{M}u(x) = \sup_{Q \ni x} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |u(y)| dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

for $u \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ where Q runs through the set of all cubes centered at x .

Due to the geometry of the problem it is reasonable to introduce cylindrical coordinates $(r, x_3, \theta) \in (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R} \times [0, 2\pi)$. Then the term $(\omega \wedge x) \cdot \nabla u = -x_2 \partial_1 u + x_1 \partial_2 u$ may be rewritten in the form

$$(\omega \wedge x) \cdot \nabla u = \partial_\theta u$$

using the angular derivative ∂_θ applied to $u(r, x_3, \theta)$.

Now we will solve (1) – (3) explicitly using Fourier transforms and multiplier operators. Working first of all formally or in the space $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of tempered distributions we apply the Fourier transform $\mathcal{F} = \hat{\cdot}$ to (1) – (3). With the Fourier variable $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and $s = |\xi|$ we get from (1) – (3).

$$\begin{aligned} (\nu s^2 + ik\xi_3)\hat{u} - \partial_\varphi \hat{u} + \tilde{\omega} e_3 \times \hat{u} + i\xi \hat{p} &= \hat{f}, \\ i\xi \cdot \hat{u} &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Here $(\omega \times \xi) \cdot \nabla_\xi = -\xi_2 \partial / \partial \xi_1 + \xi_1 \partial / \partial \xi_2 = \partial_\varphi$ is the angular derivative in Fourier space when using cylindrical coordinates for $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Since $i\xi \cdot \hat{u} = 0$ implies $i\xi \cdot (\partial_\varphi \hat{u} - \omega \times \hat{u}) = 0$, the unknown pressure p is given by $-|\xi|^2 \hat{p} = i\xi \cdot \hat{f}$, i.e.,

$$\widehat{\nabla p}(\xi) = i\xi \cdot \hat{p} = \frac{(\xi \cdot \hat{f})\hat{f}}{|\xi|^2}.$$

Hence u may be considered as a (solenoidal) solution of the reduced problem

$$-\nu \Delta u + k \partial_3 u - \partial_\theta u + \omega \wedge u = f \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (12)$$

where by f we mean $f = f - \nabla p$.

Theorem 2. Let $1 < q < \infty$ and $f \in \widehat{W}^{-1,q}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Then the equation

$$\begin{aligned} Lu &\equiv \quad (13) \\ -\Delta u + \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_3} - (\omega \wedge x) \cdot \nabla u + \omega \wedge u &= f \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3 \end{aligned}$$

possesses a weak solution $u \in \widehat{W}^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ subject to the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla u\|_{q,\mathbb{R}^3} + \|(\omega \wedge x) \cdot \nabla u - \omega \wedge u\|_{-1,q,\mathbb{R}^3} \\ \leq C \|f\|_{-1,q,\mathbb{R}^3}, \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

with some $C > 0$, depends on q . The solution is unique in $\widehat{W}^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^3)^3$ up to a constant multiple of ω for u .

In Fourier space – using cylindrical coordinates $(s, \varphi, \xi_3) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times [0, 2\pi] \times \mathbb{R}$, $s = \sqrt{\xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2}$, for $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3)^T$ as well and note that $\partial_\varphi u = \partial_\varphi \hat{u}$, $\partial_\varphi u = (e_3 \times x) \cdot \nabla u$ and \hat{u} satisfies the equation

$$\frac{1}{\tilde{\omega}} (\nu |\xi|^2 + ik\xi_3) \hat{u} - \partial_\varphi \hat{u} + e_3 \wedge \hat{u} = \frac{1}{\tilde{\omega}} \hat{f}$$

with respect to φ . Denoting $\hat{v}(\varphi) = O_{e_3}^T(\varphi) \hat{u}(s, \varphi, \xi_3)$ then we are looking for the solution of the following problem:

$$\frac{1}{\tilde{\omega}} (\nu |\xi|^2 + ik\xi_3) \hat{v} - \partial_\varphi \hat{v} = \frac{1}{\tilde{\omega}} O_{e_3}^T(\varphi) \hat{f} \quad (15)$$

After some calculation we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{u}(\xi) &\equiv \quad (16) \\ &\equiv \int_0^\infty e^{-\nu |\xi|^2 t} O_\omega^T(t) (\mathcal{F}f(O_\omega(t) \cdot -kte_3))(\xi) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Finally note that $e^{-\nu |\xi|^2 t}$ is the Fourier transform of the heat kernel

$$E_t(x) = \frac{1}{(4\pi\nu t)^{3/2}} e^{-|x|^2/4\nu t} \quad (17)$$

yielding

$$u(x) = \int_0^\infty E_t * O_\omega^T(t) f(O_\omega(t) \cdot -kte_3)(x) dt. \quad (18)$$

Note that $F = f - \nabla p$ is solenoidal so that the identity $i\xi \cdot \hat{F} = 0$ implies that also u is solenoidal.

An essential step is to show

$$\|\nabla u\|_{q,\mathbb{R}^3} \leq C \|G\|_{q,\mathbb{R}^3}$$

for the force of the form $f = \nabla \cdot G$ with $G \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)^9$ on account of the density property see Lemma 1.

Assumptions I: Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, $N \geq 2$, be a domain with boundary $\partial\Omega \in C^{1,1}$ and suppose one of the following cases

- (i) Ω is bounded
- (ii) Ω is an exterior domain, i.e., a domain having a

compact nonempty complement

(iii) Ω is a perturbed half space, i.e., there exists some open ball B such that $\Omega \setminus B = \mathbb{R}_+^n \setminus B$.

For a bounded domain Ω with boundary in $C^{0,1}$ Bogovski [B1], [B2] constructed a bounded linear operator $R : L_0^q(\Omega) \rightarrow W_0^{1,q}(\Omega)^n$ such that $u = Rg$ is a solution of

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div} u &= g \text{ in } \Omega \\ u &= 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

satisfying $\|Rg\|_{W^{1,q}(\Omega)} \leq c\|g\|_q$. Additionally R maps $W_0^{1,q}(\Omega) \cap L_0^q(\Omega)$ into $W_0^{2,q}$, see [W].

Lemma 1. (Farwig, Sohr)

Let $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$ or let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ($n \geq 2$) be a domain satisfying Assumption I, further let $1 < q < \infty$. Then there exists a linear bounded operator $R : W^{1,q} \cap \widehat{W}^{-1,q}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\Delta_q)^n$ if Ω is unbounded or $R : W^{1,q}(\Omega) \cap L_0^q(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\Delta_q)^n$ if Ω is bounded such that $u = Rg$ is a solution of (2.10) for all $g \in W^{1,q} \cap \widehat{W}^{-1,q}(\Omega)$ or $g \in W^{1,q}(\Omega) \cap L_0^q(\Omega)$ respectively; $u = Rg$ satisfies the estimates

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_q &\leq C\|g\|_{-1,q} \\ \text{and} \\ \|u\|_{W^{2,q}} &\leq c(\|\nabla g\|_q + \|g\|_{-1,q}), \end{aligned}$$

where $c = c(\Omega, q) > 0$ is a constant.

Proof: see [FS].

In our case for all $f \in \widehat{W}^{-1,q}(\Omega)$, there is $G \in L^q(\Omega)$ such that

$$\nabla \cdot G = f \quad (20)$$

$$\|G\|_{q,\Omega} \leq C\|f\|_{-1,q,\Omega} \quad (21)$$

with some $C > 0$.

As a result, the space $\{\nabla \cdot G; G \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)^n\}$ is dense in $\widehat{W}^{-1,q}(\Omega)$.

Let us derive the L^q estimate of the operator T defined by

$$\begin{aligned} TG(x) &= \nabla u(x) = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla_x \nabla_y \Gamma(x, y) : G(y) dy, \\ \Gamma(x, y) &= \int_0^\infty E_t O_w^T(t) dt, \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

The following proposition indicates that fundamental solution does not define a classical Calderon-Zygmund integral operator and we need to use Littlewood-Paley theory.

Proposition 1. There is no constant $C > 0$ such that

$$|x - y| |\Gamma(x, y)| \leq C, \forall (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3.$$

Proof : see [H] or [FHM].

Remark: We would like to mention very important property that the terms $\omega \wedge x \nabla u, \omega \wedge u$ cannot be estimated separately in general case but only in case that we require special type of compatibility condition on the f

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} O(\theta)^T f(r, x_3, \theta) d\theta = 0 \text{ for a.a. } r > 0, x_3 \in \mathbb{R}.$$

For more details see [FHM].

Now, we derive the L^q estimate of the operator T .

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\nabla u}(\xi) &= \\ &= \int_0^\infty \widehat{\psi}_{\nu t}(\xi) O_\omega^T(t) \mathcal{F}G(O_\omega(t) \cdot -kte_3)(\xi) \frac{dt}{t} \\ &= \int_0^\infty \widehat{\psi}_t(\xi) O_{\omega/\nu}^T(t) \mathcal{F}G(O_{\omega/\nu}(t) \cdot -\frac{k}{\nu}te_3)(\xi), \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

where

$$\widehat{\psi}(\xi) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} |\xi|^2 e^{-|\xi|^2}$$

and

$$\widehat{\psi}_t(\xi) = \widehat{\psi}(\sqrt{t}\xi) \text{ for } t > 0$$

are the Fourier transforms of a function $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and of $\psi_t(x) = t^{-3/2} \psi(x/\sqrt{t}), t > 0$, resp.

We define $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by its Fourier transform

$$\widehat{\psi}(\xi) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} |\xi|^2 e^{-\nu|\xi|^2} = \widehat{(-\Delta)E_1}(\xi) \quad (24)$$

and for all $t > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_t(x) &= t^{-n/2} \psi\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{t}}\right), \\ \widehat{\psi}_t(\xi) &= \widehat{\psi}(\sqrt{t}\xi) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} t^{n/2} |\xi|^2 e^{\nu t|\xi|^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

We define the operator

$$\begin{aligned} TG(x) &\equiv \\ &= \int_0^\infty \psi_t(\xi) O_{\omega/\nu}^T(t) G(O_{\omega/\nu}(t) \cdot -\frac{k}{\nu}te_3)(\xi) \frac{dt}{t}. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

To decompose $\widehat{\psi}_t$ choose $\tilde{\chi} \in C_0^\infty(\frac{1}{2}, 2)$ satisfying $0 \leq \tilde{\chi} \leq 1$ and

$$\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{\chi}(2^{-j}s) = 1 \quad \text{for all } s > 0.$$

Then define χ_j for $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ by its Fourier transform

$$\widehat{\chi}_j(\xi) = \widetilde{\chi}(2^{-j}|\xi|), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

yielding $\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{\chi}_j = 1$ on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{supp} \widehat{\chi}_j &\subset A(2^{j-1}, 2^{j+1}) := \\ &\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : 2^{j-1} \leq |\xi| \leq 2^{j+1}\}. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

Using χ_j we define for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\psi^j = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \chi_j * \psi \quad (\widehat{\psi}^j = \widehat{\chi}_j \cdot \widehat{\psi}). \quad (28)$$

Obviously, $\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \psi^j = \psi$ on \mathbb{R}^n . We define the linear operator

$$\begin{aligned} T_j G(x) &= \\ &= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \widehat{\psi}_{\nu t}(\xi) O_\omega^T(t) \mathcal{F}G(O_{\omega/\nu}(t) \cdot - k t e_3)(\xi) \frac{dt}{t} \\ &= \int_0^\infty \widehat{\psi}_t(\xi) O_{\omega/\nu}^T(t) \mathcal{F}G(O_{\omega/\nu}(t) \cdot - \frac{k}{\nu} t e_3)(\xi) \frac{dt}{t}. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

Since formally $T = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} T_j$, we have to prove that this infinite series converges even in the operator norm on L^q .

For later use we cite the following lemma, see [FHM].

Lemma 2. *The functions ψ^j , ψ_t^j , $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, $t > 0$, have the following properties:*

$$(i) \text{supp} \widehat{\psi}_t^j \subset A\left(\frac{2^{j-1}}{\sqrt{t}}, \frac{2^{j+1}}{\sqrt{t}}\right).$$

(ii) For $m > \frac{n}{2}$ let $h(x) = (1 + |x|^2)^{-m}$ and $h_t(x) = t^{-n/2} h(\frac{x}{\sqrt{t}})$, $t > 0$. Then there exists a constant $c > 0$ independent of $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$|\psi^j(x)| \leq c 2^{-2|j|} h_{2^{-2j}}(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

$$\|\psi^j\|_1 \leq c 2^{-2|j|}.$$

Proof: see [FHM].

To introduce a Littlewood-Paley decomposition of L^q choose $\widetilde{\varphi} \in C_0^\infty(\frac{1}{2}, 2)$ such that $0 \leq \widetilde{\varphi} \leq 1$ and

$$\int_0^\infty \widetilde{\varphi}(s)^2 \frac{ds}{s} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Then define $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by its Fourier transform $\widehat{\varphi}(\xi) = \widetilde{\varphi}(|\xi|)$ yielding for every $s > 0$

$$\widehat{\varphi}_s(\xi) = \widetilde{\varphi}(\sqrt{s}|\xi|), \quad \text{supp} \widehat{\varphi}_s \subset A\left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{s}}, \frac{2}{\sqrt{s}}\right) \quad (30)$$

and the normalization $\int_0^\infty \widehat{\varphi}_s(\xi)^2 \frac{ds}{s} = 1$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$.

Theorem 3. *Let $1 < q < \infty$. Then there are constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ depending on q and φ such that for all $f \in L^q$*

$$c_1 \|f\|_q \leq \left\| \left(\int_0^\infty |\varphi_s * f(\cdot)|^2 \frac{ds}{s} \right)^{1/2} \right\|_q \leq c_2 \|f\|_q$$

where $\varphi_s \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined by (30).

Proof: See [St1],[St2].

As a preliminary version of Theorem 2 we prove the following proposition.

Proposition 1. *Let $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. The linear operator T defined by 26 satisfies the estimate*

$$\|T_j G\|_q \leq c \|G\|_q \quad \text{for all } G \in L^q, q \in (2, \infty) \quad (31)$$

with a constant $c = c(q) > 0$ independent of f .

Proof: see [KNPe2].

Then $T = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} T_j$ converges in the operator norm on L^q and $\|TG\|_q \leq c \|G\|_q$, for every $G \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^3)^3$ and $q > 2$.

To prove (32) for $q \in (1, 2)$ we use a standard duality argument. The adjoint operator T^* is given by

$$\begin{aligned} T^* G(x) &= \\ &= \int_0^\infty (\psi_t * O_{\omega/\nu}(t) G)(O_{\omega/\nu}^T(t)x + \frac{k}{\nu} t e_3) \frac{dt}{t}, \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

with $g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^3)^3$.

The same argument as above implies that T^* is also a bounded operator on $L^{q/(q-1)}(\mathbb{R}^3)^9$, it implies that T is bounded for $1 < q < 2$. For $q = 2$ we apply Plancherel theorem.

This imply that we proved the following estimate

$$\|\nabla u\|_q \leq \|G\|_q. \quad (33)$$

Now, using Farwig- Sohr lemma (Lemma 1) we know that there is $G \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)^9$ such that

$$\nabla \cdot G = f, \quad \|G\|_{q, \mathbb{R}^3} \leq C \|f\|_{-1, q, \mathbb{R}^3}.$$

This implies the statement of Theorem 2.

It remains to prove the uniqueness. We use the duality method. We consider the adjoint equation

$$- \Delta v - \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_3} + (\omega \wedge x) \cdot \nabla v - \omega \wedge v + \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_3} = \nabla \cdot F \quad (34)$$

with $F \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)^9$. This admits the solution

$$\widehat{v}(\xi) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\nu|\xi|^2 t} O_\omega(t) (\mathcal{F}f(O_\omega^T(t) \cdot -kte_3))(\xi) dt. \quad (35)$$

Applying the same argument we get

$$\|\nabla v\|_{r, \mathbb{R}^3} \leq C \|F\|_{r, \mathbb{R}^3}, \text{ for all } v \in \widehat{W}^{1,r}(\mathbb{R}^3), \quad (36)$$

$$r \in (1, \infty).$$

Let $u \in \widehat{W}^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^3)^3$ be a weak solution of $Lu = 0$ in $\widehat{W}^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^3)^3$. One can take as a test function to get

$$\langle Lu, v \rangle = 0.$$

Similarly, one takes u as a test function for (35) in $\widehat{W}^{-1,q/(q-1)}(\mathbb{R}^3)^3$ to obtain

$$\langle u, L^*v \rangle = \langle u, \nabla \cdot F \rangle.$$

Therefore,

$$\langle u, \nabla \cdot F \rangle = 0.$$

Since $F \in (C_0^\infty)^9$ is arbitrary, we obtain $u = 0$ in $\widehat{W}^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^3)^3$ by Theorem 2. u is a constant vector, but it is a constant multiple of ω because $\omega \wedge u = 0$.

To complete the proof of Theorem 1, we have to show the following lemma

Lemma 3. *Let $v \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ be the solution of*

$$-\Delta v + \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_3} - (\omega \wedge x) \cdot \nabla v = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^3.$$

Then $\text{supp } \widehat{w} \subset \{0\}$.

Proof: This was proved in [Fa2].

Proof of Theorem 1: As we explain before the terms $\omega \wedge x \times \nabla u - \omega \wedge u$ are divergence free. The pressure is formally obtained from the problem

$$p = -\nabla \cdot (-\Delta)^{-1} f.$$

Since $(-\Delta)^{-1}$ can be justified as a bounded operator from $\widehat{W}^{-1,q}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ to $\widehat{W}^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ we get

$$\|\nabla p\|_q \leq c \|f\|_{-1,q},$$

which implies that

$$\|f - \nabla p\|_{-1,q} \leq c \|f\|_{-1,q}.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

Acknowledgment: Š. N. and S. K. was supported by the Grant Agency of the Academy of Sciences No. IAA100190505. The research of S. K. was supported by the research plans of the Ministry of Education of the Czech Republic N. 6840770010 and the research of Š. N. was supported by the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Institutional Research Plan N. AV0Z10190503. First part of this paper was done during stay of Š. N. on the University Sud Toulon-Var which was supported by Barande project between Academy of Sciences and University Sud Toulon-Var.

4 References

- [Ad] **Advani, A., S.,** *Flow and Rheology in Polymer Composites Manufacturing*, (1994), Elsevier, Amsterdam
- [B1] **Bogovskii, M., E.,** *Solution of the First Boundary Value Problem for the Equation of Continuity of an Incompressible Medium*, Soviet Math. Dokl., 20, (1979), 1094-1098
- [B2] **Bogovskii, M., E.,** *Solution of some vector analysis problems connected with operators div and grad*, in Russian, Trudy Seminar S. L. Sobolev, No. 1, 80, Akademia Nauk SSR, Sibirskoe Otdelenie Matematiki, Novosibirsk, (1980), pp. 5-40
- [Bo] **Borst, M., Miller, D., M.,** *Amer. J. Medical Sci.*, **299**, 5, (1990), 356 - 360
- [B] **Brenner, H.,** *The Stokes Resistance of an Arbitrary Particle II*, Chem. Engng. Sci. **19**, (1959) 599-624
- [Fa1] **Farwig, R.,** *An L^q -analysis of viscous fluid flow past a rotating obstacle*. *FB Mathematik*, TU Darmstadt, Preprint no. 2325 (2004); to appear in: Tôhoku Math. J. (2006).
- [Fa2] **Farwig, R.,** *Estimates of lower order derivatives of viscous fluid flow past a rotating obstacle*. *Banach Center Publications*, Vol. 70, Warsaw 2005.
- [FHM] **Farwig, R., Hishida, T. and Müller, D.,** *L^q -Theory of a singular "winding" integral operator arising from fluid dynamics*. *Pacific J. Math.* 215 (2004), 297-312.
- [FKN1] **Farwig, R., Krbec, M., Nečasová, Š.,** *A weighted L^q approach to Oseen flow around a rotating body*, Preprint 2006

- [FKN2] **Farwig, R., Krbec, M., Nečasová, Š.**, *A weighted L^q approach to Stokes flow around a rotating body*, Preprint 2005, Univ. of Darmstadt
- [FS] **Farwig, R., Sohr, H.**, *Generalized resolvent estimates for the Stokes system in bounded and unbounded domains* J. Math. Soc. Japan, 48,4, 1994, 607-643
- [FN] **Farwig, R., Neustupa, J.**, *On the spectrum of a Stokes - Type Operator Arising from Flow around a Rotating Body*, Preprint 2005, Univ. of Darmstadt
- [Ga1] **Galdi, G. P.**, *An introduction to the mathematical theory of the Navier-Stokes equations: Linearised steady problems*. Springer Tracts in Natural Philosophy, Vol. 38, 2nd edition, Springer 1998.
- [G1] **Galdi, G. P.**, *On the motion of a rigid body in a viscous liquid: a mathematical analysis with applications*. Handbook of Mathematical Fluid Dynamics, Vol. 1, Ed. by S. Friedlander, D. Serre, Elsevier 2002.
- [G2] **Galdi, G. P.**, *Steady flow of a Navier-Stokes fluid around a rotating obstacle*. J. Elasticity 71 (2003), 1-31.
- [GS] **Galdi, G. P., Silvestre A. L.**, *On the steady motion of a Navier- Stokes liquid around a rigid body*, Preprint 2005
- [GV] **Galdi, G., P., Vaidya, A.**, *Translational steady Fall of Symmetric Body in a Navier-Stokes Liquid with application to particle sedimentation*, Journal of Math. Fluid Mech., 3, 2, (2001), 183 - 211
- [GVPJF] **Galdi, G., P., Vaidya, A., Pokorný, M., Joseph, D., Feng, J.**, *Orientation of symmetric bodies falling in a second-order liquid at nonzero Reynolds number*, Math. Models Method Appl. Sci., 12, (2002), 11, 1653 - 1690
- [GHH] **Geissert, M. Heck, H., Hieber, M.**, *L^p theory of the Navier-Stokes flow in the exterior of a moving or rotating obstacle*, TU Darmstadt, Preprint. No. 2367, (2005)
- [GRO] **Grossman, P.,D., Soane, D., S.**, *Orientation effects on the electrophoretic mobility of rod-shaped molecules in free solution*, Anal. Chem. 62, (1990), 1592 - 1596
- [HR] **Hames, B., Rickwood, D.**, (eds.), *Gel Electrophoresis of proteins*, IRL Press, Washington, DC. (1984)
- [Jo] **Joseph, D., D.**, *Interrogations of direct numerical simulation of solid-liquid flow*, <http://www.aem.umn.edu/people/faculty/joseph/interrogation.html> (2000)
- [JLPF] **Joseph, D., D., Liu, Y., J., Poletto, M., Feng, J.** *Aggregation and dispersion of spheres falling in viscoelastic liquids*, J. Non-Newtonian Fluid Mech. 54, (1994), 45 - 86
- [Jo1] **Joseph, D.,D.**, *Finite size effect in fluidized suspension experiments*, *Particulate Two-Phase Flow*, M. C. Roco ed., Butterworth-Heinemann (1993), 300 - 324
- [Jo2] **Joseph, D., D.**, *Flow induced microstructure in Newtonian and viscoelastic fluids*, Proceedings of the Fifth World Congress of Chemical Engineering, Particle Technology Track, 6, (1996), 3-16
- [K] **Kirchoff, G.**, *Über die Bewegung eines Rotationskörpers in einer Flüssigkeit*, *Crelle*, 71, (1869) 237-281
- [KNPe1] **Kračmar, S., Nečasová, Š. and Penel, P.**, *Estimates of weak solutions in anisotropically weighted Sobolev spaces to the stationary rotating Oseen equations*. IASME Transactions 2 (2005), 854-861.
- [KNPe2] **Kračmar, S., Nečasová, Š. and Penel, P.**, *A L^q approach of the weak solution of the problem of Oseen flow around a rotating body*, Preprint 2006
- [H1] **Hishida, T.**, *An existence theorem for the Navier-Stokes flow in the exterior of a rotating obstacle* Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 150, (1999), 307-348
- [H2] **Hishida, T.**, *The Stokes operator with rotating effect in exterior domains*, Analysis 19, (1999), 51-67
- [H3] **Hishida, T.**, *L^q estimates of weak solutions to the stationary Stokes equations around a rotating body*, Hokkaido Univ. Preprint series in Math., No. 691, (2004)
- [Le] **Leal, L., G.**, *The slow motion of slender rod-like particles in a second-order fluid* Journal of Fluid Mech. 69, (1975), 305-337

[LYKY] **Lee, S., C., Yang, D., Y., Ko, J., You, J., R.,** *Effect of compressibility on flow field and fiber orientation during the filling stage of injection molding*, J. Mater. Process. Tech. **70**, (1997), 83 - 92

[Ne1] **Nečasová, Š.,** *On the problem of the Stokes flow and Oseen flow in \mathbb{R}^3 with Coriolis force arising from fluid dynamics*. IASME Transaction 2 (2005), 1262–1270.

[Ne2] **Nečasová, Š.,** *Asymptotic properties of the steady fall of a body in viscous fluids*. Math. Meth. Appl. Sci. 27 (2004), 1969–1995.

[PGG] **Pan, T., Glowinski, R., Galdi, G., P.,** *Direct simulation of the motion of a settling ellipsoid in Newtonian fluid*, Scientific and engineering computations for the 21st century — methodologies and applications (Shizuoka, 2001), J. Comp. Appl. Math. **149**, (2002), 1, 71 - 82

[PC] **Pettyjohn, E. S., Christiansen E. B.,** *Effect of particle shape on free-settling rates of isometric particles*, Chem. Eng. Prog. **44**, (1948), 157-172

[Ro] **Rocco, M., C.,** *Particulate two-phase flow, Series in Chem. Engineering*, Butterworth-Heinemann Publ. (1993)

[S] **Serre, D.,** *Chute Libre d'un Solide dans un Fluide Visqueux Incompressible*. Existence. Jap. J. Appl. Math., 4,(1),(1987) 99-110

[So] **Sohr H.** *Private communication*

[St1] **Stein, E. M.,** *Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton 1970

[St2] **Stein, E. M.,** *Harmonic Analysis: Real-Variable Methods, Orthogonality, and Oscillatory Integrals*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J., 1993

[T] **Thomson, W. (Lord Kelvin),** *Mathematical and Physical Papers*, Vol. 4, Cambridge University Press, (1882)

[TMW] **Tinland, B., Meistermann, L., Weill, G.,** *Simultaneous measurements of mobility, dispersion and orientation of dna during steady-field gel electrophoresis coupling a fluorescence recovery after photobleaching apparatus with a fluorescence detected linear dichroism setup*, Phys. Rev. E **61**, 6,

(2000), 6993 - 6998

[Tr] **Trainor, G., L.,** *DNA sequencing, automation and human genome*, Anal. Chem. **62**, (1990), 418 - 426

[W] **von Wahl, W.,** *Vorlesungen über das Aussenraumproblem für die instationären Gleichungen von Navier-Stokes*, SFB 256 Nichtlineare partielle Differentialgleichungen, Vorlesungsreihe Nr. 11, Universität Bonn, (1989)

[W1] **Weinberger, H., F.,** *On the steady fall of a body in a Navier-Stokes fluid*, Proc. Symp. Pure Mathematics, **23**, (1973), 421-440

[W2] **Weinberger, H., F.,** *Variational Principles for a Body Falling in Steady Stokes Flow*, J. Fluid Mech., **52**, (1972), 321-344