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## 1 Introduction

Let $T>0$ and let $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^{3}$ be a smooth bounded domain. We deal with the homogeneous Navier-Stokes initial-boundary value problem which is defined by the equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \partial w  \tag{1}\\
& \frac{\partial t}{\nabla \cdot} w=0 \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

in $Q_{T} \equiv \Omega \times(0, T)$, by the initial condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(x, 0)=w_{0}(x), \quad \text { for every } x \in \Omega \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and by the homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega \times(0, T) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The unknown $w=\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}\right)$ stands for velocity, $p$ denotes pressure and $\nu>0$ is the kinematic viscosity. The equations (1) and (2) describe the flow of a Newtonian viscous incompressible fluid (water) and its evolution in time. The Navier-Stokes equations (1) express the conservation of momentum and the equation of continuity (2) expresses the conservation of mass. Although the mathematical theory of the Navier-Stokes equations is deeply elaborated, many important questions still remain open. Especially, the question of the global in time existence of a smooth solution for arbitrarily large smooth initial data has not yet been solved and it belongs to the most challenging open problems od today's theory of partial differential equations. The survey of main results and open problems can be found e.g. in [3].

In this paper we deal with a special problem: we study the decay of a strong global solution of (1) - (4)
for $t$ approaching infinity. It is known that in this case every such solution decreases exponentially to zero for $t \mapsto \infty$ (in some norms). So, the nonlinear term $(w \cdot \nabla) w$ is "weak" for large $t$ and does not have the strength to influence the flow in any substantial way. As a consequence, more precise information on the decay of the solution can be obtained.

To describe our problem more precisely, we will write the equations (1) - (4) in the following form (for the explanation see e.g. [2], [3] or [11]):

$$
\begin{align*}
& d w  \tag{5}\\
& \frac{d t}{w(0)}+A w+B(w, w)=0 \\
& w_{0}
\end{align*}
$$

and remind several basic concepts (see also [2], [3], [10] or [11]) concerning the equations (5) and (6):

- $L^{2}=L^{2}(\Omega)$ is the Lebegue space with the norm $\|\cdot\|$.
- $W^{s, q}=W^{s, q}(\Omega), s \geq 0, q \geq 2$, are the Sobolev spaces endowed with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{s, q}$.
- $L_{\sigma}^{2}=L_{\sigma}^{2}(\Omega)$ is a subspace of $L^{2}(\Omega)^{3}$ which contains functions $\mathbf{u}$ whose divergence equals zero in $\Omega$ in the sense of distributions and $\left.(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n})\right|_{\partial \boldsymbol{\Omega}}=\mathbf{0}$ in the sense of traces.
- $P_{\sigma}$ is the orthogonal projection of $L^{2}(\Omega)^{3}$ onto $L_{\sigma}^{2}$.
- $B(w, w)=P_{\sigma}(w \cdot \nabla w)$.
- $A$ is the Stokes operator on $L_{\sigma}^{2}, \mathcal{D}(A)=\{u \in$ $\left.\left(W^{2,2} \cap W_{0}^{1,2}\right)^{3} ; \nabla \cdot u=0\right\}, A w=-P_{\sigma} \Delta w$ for every $w \in \mathcal{D}(A)$.
- $A^{\alpha}, \alpha \geq 0$ are the fractional powers of the Stokes operator.
- $e^{-A t}, t \geq 0$, is the Stokes semigroup generated by the Stokes operator $A$.
$\lambda_{j}, j \in N$, are the eigenvalues of $A$. It is known that $\left\{\lambda_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ is a non-decreasing sequence of positive numbers and $\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{j}=\infty$. Let for every $j \in N, w_{j}$ be the eigenfunction of $A$ associated with $\lambda_{j}$. If $w=\sum_{j} \alpha_{j} w_{j}$, let $P_{n} w=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{j} w_{j}, \forall n \in$ $N$.

In this paper we deal with strong global solutions of (5) and (6). Let $w_{0} \in L_{\sigma}^{2}(\Omega)$. A function $w$ from the space $C\left([0, \infty) ; L_{\sigma}^{2}(\Omega)\right) \cap C^{1}((0, \infty) ; D(A))$ is a strong global solution of (5) and (6), if the equation (5) is fulfilled for every $t>0$ and $w(0)=w_{0}$. As was mentioned above it is not known whether or not there exists a strong global solution of (5) and (6) for every initial condition $w_{0}$ even if $w_{0}$ is smooth. On the other hand, substantial classes of initial conditions yielding strong global solutions of (5) and (6) were described in several papers, see e.g. [1] or [5]. So, the set of strong global solutions of (5) and (6) is sufficiently abundant. Let us also remark that every weak solution $w$ of (5) and (6) (for its definition see e.g. [11]) is strong and global on a time interval $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$ if $t_{0}=t_{0}(w)$ is sufficiently large, that is $\left.w \in C\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right) ; L_{\sigma}^{2}(\Omega)\right) \cap C^{1}\left(t_{0}, \infty\right) ; D(A)\right)$. So, the results presented in this paper can be easily formulated also for weak solutions of (5) and (6).

Let us now explain the main problem to be solved in this paper. To this purpose, let us consider for a while the Stokes equations, that is the equation (5) is replaced by the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d w}{d t}+A w=0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and solved together with (6). Suppose now for simplicity that the sequence of the eigenvalues of $A$ is increasing. If $w_{0}=\sum_{j} \alpha_{j} w_{j}$ is an initial condition then the solution of (7) and (6) can be written explicitly as

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(t)=\sum_{j} \alpha_{j} e^{-\lambda_{j} t} w_{j}, \forall t \geq 0 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\alpha_{1} \neq 0$ then it is the first mode that prevails asymptotically in $w$ for $t \rightarrow \infty$, by which we mean that

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left\|\left(I-P_{1}\right) w(t)\right\|}{\left\|P_{1} w(t)\right\|}=0
$$

Generally, if $\alpha_{1}=\alpha_{2}=\cdots=\alpha_{k-1}=0$ for some $k \in N$ and $\alpha_{k} \neq 0$, then it is the $k^{t h}$ mode that prevails asymptotically in $w$ for $t \rightarrow \infty$ :

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left\|\left(I-P_{k}\right) w(t)\right\|+\left\|P_{k-1} w(t)\right\|}{\left\|\left(P_{k}-P_{k-1}\right) w(t)\right\|}=0
$$

We now ask, if similar results hold also for the strong global solutions of (5) and (6). We will show in Theorem 1 , as our basic result, that if $w$ is such a solution, then there exists a unique mode associated with $w$ which prevails asymptotically in $w$ for $t \rightarrow \infty$.

The following theorem was proved in [6]: If $w$ is a strong global solution of (5) and (6) then there exist constants $C_{0}, C_{1}, C, \delta_{0}>0$ such that $\|w(t)\| \| w(t+$ $\delta)\left\|^{-1} \leq C_{0},\right\| A^{1 / 2} w(t)\| \| w(t+\delta) \|^{-1} \leq C_{1}$ and $\left\|A^{1 / 2} w(t)\right\|\|w(t)\|^{-1} \leq C$ for all $\delta \in\left[0, \delta_{0}\right]$ and $t \geq$ 0 . We will see that the our results also lead to the improvement of this theorem.

Let us now formulate precisely the main result of this paper.

Theorem 1 Let $w_{0} \neq 0$ and $w$ be a strong global solution of the Navier-Stokes equations (5) and (6). Then there exists a unique $n=n(w) \in N$ such that $\lambda_{n}<\lambda_{n+1}$ and if $\beta \in[0,5 / 4)$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left\|A^{\beta}\left(I-P_{n}\right) w(t)\right\|}{\left\|A^{\beta} P_{n} w(t)\right\|}=0 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $l \in N, l \geq n, \quad \lambda_{l}<\lambda_{l+1}$ and if $\omega \in$ $\left(0, \min \lambda_{l+1}-\lambda_{n}, \lambda_{n}\right)$ then even

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left\|A^{\beta}\left(I-P_{l}\right) w(t)\right\|}{\left\|A^{\beta} P_{n} w(t)\right\|} e^{\omega t}=0 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\lambda_{n}>\lambda_{1}$. We denote by $k=k(w)$ the largest natural number such that $\lambda_{k}<\lambda_{n}$. $(n-k$ is the dimension of the space of all eigenfunctions associated to the eigenvalue $\lambda_{n}$ ). If $\alpha \in\left[0, \lambda_{1}\right)$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left\|A^{\beta}\left(P_{n}-P_{k}\right) w(t)\right\|}{\left\|A^{\beta} P_{k} w(t)\right\|} e^{-\alpha t}=\infty \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left\|A^{\beta} w(t)\right\|}{\|w(t)\|}=\lambda_{n}^{\beta} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and if $\gamma \in[0, \beta]$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left\|A^{\beta} w(t)\right\|}{\left\|A^{\gamma} w(t+\delta)\right\|}=\lambda_{n}^{\beta-\gamma} e^{\lambda_{n} \delta} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly on the sets $\{\delta ; \delta \in[0, L]\}$, for every $L>0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0_{+}} \frac{\left\|A^{\beta} w(t)\right\|}{\left\|A^{\beta} w(t+\delta)\right\|}=1 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly on the set $\{t ; t \geq 0\}$. Finally, if $C_{0}>1$, then there exists $\delta_{0}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left\|A^{\beta} w(t)\right\|}{\left\|A^{\beta} w(t+\delta)\right\|}<C_{0} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $t \geq 0$ and $\delta \in\left[0, \delta_{0}\right]$.

In the paper we will use the following theorem which was presented in [9]:

Theorem 2 Let $\varepsilon \in[0,1 / 4)$, $w_{0} \in \mathcal{D}\left(A^{1+\varepsilon}\right)$ and $w_{0} \neq 0$. Let $w$ be a strong global solution of the Navier-Stokes equations (5) and (6). Then there exist $C_{0}>1$ and $\delta_{0} \in(0,1)$ such that

$$
\frac{\left\|A^{1+\varepsilon} w(t)\right\|}{\|w(t+\delta)\|} \leq C_{0}, \forall t \geq 0, \forall \delta \in\left[0, \delta_{0}\right]
$$

## 2 Proof of Theorem 1

We suppose in this section that $w$ is a fixed strong global solution of (5) and (6) with $w_{0} \neq 0$. We want to use Theorem 2 straightforwardly in our proofs and so we also suppose without lack of generality that $w_{0} \in \mathcal{D}\left(A^{1+\varepsilon}\right)$ for every $\varepsilon \in(0,1 / 4)$.

Let us present at first a few propositions.

- If $\alpha \in[0,1)$ then there exists $c_{1}>0$ such that (see [10])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|A^{\alpha} e^{-A t} u\right\| \leq \frac{c_{1}}{t^{\alpha}}\|u\|, \forall t>0, \forall u \in L_{\sigma}^{2} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

- If $\gamma \in[3 / 4,1)$ then there exists $c_{2}>0$ such that (see [6])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|B(u, u)\| \leq c_{2}\left\|A^{1 / 2} u\right\|\left\|A^{\gamma} u\right\|, \forall u \in \mathcal{D}\left(A^{\gamma}\right) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

- If $s \in(0,1)$, then there exist $c_{7}, c_{8}>0$ such that (see [8])

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{7}\|u\|_{2 s, 2} \leq\left\|A^{s} u\right\| \leq c_{8}\|u\|_{2 s, 2}, \forall u \in \mathcal{D}\left(A^{s}\right) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

- See [4] for the following equality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}\left(A^{s}\right)=\left(W^{2 s, 2}\right)^{3} \cap L_{\sigma}^{2}, \forall s \in[0,1 / 4) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

- If $s \geq 0$ then there exists $c_{6}>0$ such that (see [4])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|P_{\sigma} u\right\|_{s, 2} \leq c_{6}\|u\|_{s, 2}, \forall u \in\left(W^{s, 2}\right)^{3} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Let $s \in[0,1]$ and $\eta>3 / 2$. Then there exists $c_{9}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u \cdot \nabla u\|_{s, 2} \leq c_{9}\|u\|_{\eta, 2} \cdot\|u\|_{1+s, 2} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $u \in\left(W^{\eta, 2}\right)^{3} \cap\left(W^{1+s, 2}\right)^{3}$ (see [4]).
Theorem 1 will be proved at the end of this section as an immediate consequence of the following several lemmas. In the proofs $c$ denotes a generic constant that can change from line to line.

Lemma 3 Let $\beta \in[0,5 / 4), \alpha \in\left[0, \lambda_{1}\right)$ and $B_{0}>0$. Let further $n \in N, \lambda_{n}<\lambda_{n+1}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left\|A^{\beta} P_{n} w(t)\right\|}{\left\|A^{\beta} w(t)\right\|} e^{\alpha t} \geq B_{0} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left\|A^{\beta} P_{n} w(t)\right\|}{\left\|A^{\beta} w(t)\right\|}=1
$$

Proof: Let us put

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(t)=\frac{\left\|A^{\beta}\left(I-P_{n}\right) w(t)\right\|}{\left\|A^{\beta} P_{n} w(t)\right\|} . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $t \geq 0$ and $\delta \in\left(0, \delta_{0}\right]$. Firstly, it is clear that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\left\|A^{\beta}\left(I-P_{n}\right) e^{-A \delta} w(t)\right\|}{\left\|A^{\beta} P_{n} e^{-A \delta} w(t)\right\|} \leq \\
& e^{\left(\lambda_{n+1}-\lambda_{n}\right) \delta} \frac{\left\|A^{\beta}\left(I-P_{n}\right) w(t)\right\|}{\left\|A^{\beta} P_{n} w(t)\right\|}
\end{aligned}
$$

We will use the integral representation of $w$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& A^{\beta} w(t+\delta)=e^{-A \delta} A^{\beta} w(t)-  \tag{24}\\
& \int_{0}^{\delta} A^{\beta} e^{-A(\delta-s)} B(w(t+s), w(t+s)) d s
\end{align*}
$$

If $J=\int_{0}^{\delta}\left\|A^{\beta} e^{-A(\delta-s)} B(w(t+s), w(t+s))\right\| d s$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(t+\delta) \leq \frac{\left\|A^{\beta}\left(I-P_{n}\right) e^{-A \delta} w(t)\right\|+J}{\left\|A^{\beta} P_{n} e^{-A \delta} w(t)\right\|-J} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\beta \in(0,1)$. Applying (16), (17) and Theorem 2, we obtain

$$
J \leq c \frac{\delta^{1-\beta}}{1-\beta}\left\|A^{\beta} w(t)\right\|\|w(t)\|
$$

where we also used the fact that $t \mapsto\|w(t)\|$ is a decreasing function on $[0, \infty)$. Let $\beta \in[1,5 / 4)$. We choose $\xi>0$ such that $\beta+\xi<5 / 4$ and $\gamma \in(3 / 4,1)$ and denote $c(s)=c(\delta-s)^{\xi-1}$ and $\varrho=2(\beta+\xi-1)$. Using (16), (17), (19), (20), (21), (18) and Theorem 2, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J \leq \int_{0}^{\delta} c(s) \| A^{\beta+\xi-1} B(w(t+s), w(t+s) \| d s \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{\delta} c(s)\|B(w(t+s), w(t+s))\|_{\varrho, 2} d s \leq \\
& \int_{0}^{\delta} c(s)\|w(t+s) \cdot \nabla w(t+s)\|_{\varrho, 2} d s \leq \\
& \int_{0}^{\delta} c(s)\|w(t+s)\|_{2 \gamma, 2}\|w(t+s)\|_{1+\varrho, 2} d s \leq \\
& \int_{0}^{\delta} c(s)\left\|A^{\gamma} w(t+s)\right\|\left\|A^{3 / 4} w(t+s)\right\| d s \leq \\
& \int_{0}^{\delta} c(s)\|w(t+s)\|\|w(t+s)\| d s \leq
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{\delta} c(s)\|w(t)\|\|w(t)\| d s \leq \\
& \int_{0}^{\delta} c(s)\left\|A^{\beta} w(t)\right\|\|w(t)\| d s \leq \\
& c \frac{\delta^{\xi}}{\xi}\left\|A^{\beta} w(t)\right\|\|w(t)\|
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from the assumption (22) that if $t$ is sufficiently large then

$$
\left\|A^{\beta} w(t)\right\| \leq \frac{2 e^{\alpha t}}{B_{0}} e^{\lambda_{n} \delta}\left\|A^{\beta} P_{n} e^{-A \delta} w(t)\right\|
$$

If we denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=c \delta^{\theta} \frac{2 e^{\alpha t}}{B_{0}} e^{\lambda_{n} \delta}\left\|A^{\beta} P_{n} e^{-A \delta} w(t)\right\|\|w(t)\| \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

we can continue in (25) and get

$$
\begin{align*}
& g(t+\delta) \leq \frac{\left\|A^{\beta}\left(I-P_{n}\right) e^{-A \delta} w(t)\right\|+M}{\left\|A^{\beta} P_{n} e^{-A \delta} w(t)\right\|-M} \leq \\
& \frac{g(t) e^{-\left(\lambda_{n+1}-\lambda_{n}\right) \delta}}{1-c \delta^{\theta}\|w(t)\| e^{\alpha t}}+\frac{c \delta^{\theta}\|w(t)\| e^{\alpha t}}{1-c \delta^{\theta}\|w(t)\| e^{\alpha t}}, \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\theta=\min (1-\beta, \xi)$. Let us fix $t_{0} \geq 0$ such that

$$
\alpha_{0}=\frac{e^{-\left(\lambda_{n+1}-\lambda_{n}\right) \delta}}{1-c \delta^{\theta}\|w(0)\| e^{-\left(\lambda_{1}-\alpha\right) t_{0}}}<1
$$

Since $\|w(t)\| e^{\alpha t} \leq\|w(0)\| e^{-\left(\lambda_{1}-\alpha\right) t}$, we get for every $t \geq t_{0}$

$$
g(t+\delta) \leq \alpha_{0} g(t)+\frac{c \delta^{\theta}\|w(0)\| e^{-\left(\lambda_{1}-\alpha\right) t}}{1-c \delta^{\theta}\|w(0)\| e^{-\left(\lambda_{1}-\alpha\right) t}}
$$

Now it is clear, that $\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} g(t)<\infty$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \leq \limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} g(t+\delta) \leq \\
& \alpha_{0} \limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} g(t)=\alpha_{0} \limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} g(t+\delta)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} g(t)=0
$$

and the proof of Lemma 3 is complete.
Definition 4 Let $\beta \in(0,5 / 4)$. By the use of Theorem 2 we can define a positive number $C=C(\beta)$ in the following way:

$$
C=C(\beta)=\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left\|A^{\beta} w(t)\right\|}{\|w(t)\|}
$$

Having defined $C$ it is clear that there exists a unique $n=n(\beta) \in N$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{n}^{\beta} \leq C(\beta)<\lambda_{n+1}^{\beta} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 5 Let $\beta \in(0,5 / 4)$ and $C=C(\beta)$ and $n=$ $n(\beta)$ be the numbers from Definition 4. Then

$$
\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left\|A^{\beta} P_{n} w(t)\right\|}{\left\|A^{\beta} w(t)\right\|} \geq \frac{\lambda_{1}^{2 \beta}\left(\lambda_{n+1}^{2 \beta}-C^{2}\right)}{C^{2}\left(\lambda_{n+1}^{2 \beta}-\lambda_{1}^{2 \beta}\right)}>0
$$

Proof: Let us define for every $t \geq 0$

$$
\delta(t)=\frac{\left\|A^{\beta} P_{n} w(t)\right\|^{2}}{\left\|A^{\beta} w(t)\right\|^{2}}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|w(t)\|^{2}=\left\|P_{n} w(t)\right\|^{2}+\left\|\left(I-P_{n}\right) w(t)\right\|^{2} \leq \\
& \frac{\left\|A^{\beta} P_{n} w(t)\right\|^{2}}{\lambda_{1}^{2 \beta}}+\frac{\left\|A^{\beta}\left(I-P_{n}\right) w(t)\right\|^{2}}{\lambda_{n+1}^{2 \beta}}= \\
& \frac{\delta(t)}{\lambda_{1}^{2 \beta}}\left\|A^{\beta} w(t)\right\|^{2}+\frac{(1-\delta(t))}{\lambda_{n+1}^{2 \beta}}\left\|A^{\beta} w(t)\right\|^{2}= \\
& \left\|A^{\beta} w(t)\right\|^{2}\left(\frac{\delta(t) \lambda_{n+1}^{2 \beta}+(1-\delta(t)) \lambda_{1}^{2 \beta}}{\lambda_{1}^{2 \beta} \lambda_{n+1}^{2 \beta}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

So, it holds for every nonnegative $t$ that

$$
\frac{\left\|A^{\beta} w(t)\right\|^{2}}{w(t) \|^{2}} \geq\left(\frac{\lambda_{1}^{2 \beta} \lambda_{n+1}^{2 \beta}}{\delta(t) \lambda_{n+1}^{2 \beta}+(1-\delta(t)) \lambda_{1}^{2 \beta}}\right)
$$

By the application of $\lim \sup _{t \rightarrow \infty}$ to the last inequality and using the definition of $C$ we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& C^{2} \geq \limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda_{1}^{2 \beta} \lambda_{n+1}^{2 \beta}}{\delta(t) \lambda_{n+1}^{2 \beta}+(1-\delta(t)) \lambda_{1}^{2 \beta}}= \\
& \frac{\lambda_{1}^{2 \beta} \lambda_{n+1}^{2 \beta}}{\alpha \lambda_{n+1}^{2 \beta}+(1-\alpha) \lambda_{1}^{2 \beta}} \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

where we put $\alpha=\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} \delta(t)$. The assertion of Lemma 5 now follows by elementary computation from (29).

Corollary 6 Let $\beta \in(0,5 / 4)$ and $n=n(\beta)$ be the number from Definition 4. Then

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left\|A^{\beta} P_{n} w(t)\right\|}{\left\|A^{\beta} w(t)\right\|}=1
$$

Lemma 7 Let $\beta \in(0,5 / 4)$ and $n=n(\beta)$ be the number from Definition 4. Then

$$
\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left\|A^{\beta} w(t)\right\|}{\|w(t)\|}=\lambda_{n}^{\beta}
$$

## Proof:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\left\|A^{\beta} w(t)\right\|^{2}}{\|w(t)\|^{2}} \leq \frac{\left\|A^{\beta} P_{n} w(t)\right\|^{2}}{\left\|P_{n} w(t)\right\|^{2}}+ \\
& \frac{\left\|A^{\beta}\left(I-P_{n}\right) w(t)\right\|^{2}}{\left\|A^{\beta} w(t)\right\|^{2}} \frac{\left\|A^{\beta} w(t)\right\|^{2}}{\|w(t)\|^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\left\|A^{\beta} P_{n} w(t)\right\|^{2} /\left\|P_{n} w(t)\right\|^{2} \leq \lambda_{n}^{2 \beta}$, it follows from Lemma 3 and Theorem 2 that

$$
\lambda_{n}^{2 \beta} \leq C^{2}=\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left\|A^{\beta} w(t)\right\|^{2}}{\|w(t)\|^{2}} \leq \lambda_{n}^{2 \beta}
$$

and the proof is complete.
Lemma 8 Let $0<\gamma<\beta<5 / 4$ and $n(\beta)$, $n(\gamma)$ be the numbers from Definition 4. Then $n(\beta)=n(\gamma)$.

Proof: We have

$$
\left\|A^{\gamma}\left(I-P_{n(\beta)}\right) w(t)\right\| \leq c\left\|A^{\beta}\left(I-P_{n(\beta)}\right) w(t)\right\|
$$

and

$$
\left\|A^{\gamma} P_{n(\beta)} w(t)\right\| \geq \frac{1}{\lambda_{n(\beta)}^{\beta-\gamma}}\left\|A^{\beta} P_{n(\beta)} w(t)\right\|
$$

So,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\left\|A^{\gamma}\left(I-P_{n(\beta)}\right) w(t)\right\|}{\left\|A^{\gamma} P_{n(\beta)} w(t)\right\|} \leq \\
& \lambda_{n(\beta)}^{\beta-\gamma} \frac{\left\|A^{\beta}\left(I-P_{n(\beta)}\right) w(t)\right\|}{\left\|A^{\beta} P_{n(\beta)} w(t)\right\|}
\end{aligned}
$$

and it follows from Corollary 6 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left\|A^{\gamma}\left(I-P_{n(\beta)}\right) w(t)\right\|}{\left\|A^{\gamma} P_{n(\beta)} w(t)\right\|}=0 \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since also

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\left\|A^{\gamma} w(t)\right\|^{2}}{\|w(t)\|^{2}} \leq \frac{\left\|A^{\gamma} P_{n(\beta)} w(t)\right\|^{2}}{\left\|P_{n(\beta)} w(t)\right\|^{2}}+ \\
& \frac{\left\|A^{\gamma}\left(I-P_{n(\beta)}\right) w(t)\right\|^{2}}{\left\|A^{\gamma} w(t)\right\|^{2}} \frac{\left\|A^{\gamma} w(t)\right\|^{2}}{\|w(t)\|^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

it follows by the application of $\lim \sup _{t \rightarrow \infty}$ to the last inequality and by the use of Lemma 7, (30) and Theorem 2 that

$$
\lambda_{n(\gamma)}^{\gamma}=\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left\|A^{\gamma} w(t)\right\|}{\|w(t)\|} \leq \lambda_{n(\beta)}^{\gamma}
$$

Therefore, $n(\gamma) \leq n(\beta)$. Suppose now that $n(\gamma)<$ $n(\beta)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\left\|A^{\beta}\left(I-P_{n(\gamma)}\right) w(t)\right\|^{2}}{\left\|A^{\beta} w(t)\right\|^{2}}= \\
& \frac{\left\|A^{\beta}\left(P_{n(\beta)}-P_{n(\gamma)}\right) w(t)\right\|^{2}}{\left\|A^{\beta} w(t)\right\|^{2}}+ \\
& \frac{\left\|A^{\beta}\left(I-P_{n(\beta)}\right) w(t)\right\|^{2}}{\left\|A^{\beta} w(t)\right\|^{2}} \leq \lambda_{n(\beta)}^{2(\beta-\gamma)} \times \\
& \frac{\left\|A^{\gamma}\left(P_{n(\beta)}-P_{n(\gamma)}\right) w(t)\right\|^{2}}{\left\|A^{\gamma} w(t)\right\|^{2}} \frac{\left\|A^{\gamma} w(t)\right\|^{2}}{\left\|A^{\beta} w(t)\right\|^{2}}+ \\
& \frac{\left\|A^{\beta}\left(I-P_{n(\beta)}\right) w(t)\right\|^{2}}{\left\|A^{\beta} w(t)\right\|^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and using Corollary 6 we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left\|A^{\beta}\left(I-P_{n(\gamma)}\right) w(t)\right\|^{2}}{\left\|A^{\beta} w(t)\right\|^{2}}=0 \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since also

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\left\|A^{\beta} w(t)\right\|^{2}}{\|w(t)\|^{2}} \leq \frac{\left\|A^{\beta} P_{n(\gamma)} w(t)\right\|^{2}}{\left\|P_{n(\gamma)} w(t)\right\|^{2}}+ \\
& \frac{\left\|A^{\beta}\left(I-P_{n(\gamma)}\right) w(t)\right\|^{2}}{\left\|A^{\beta} w(t)\right\|^{2}} \frac{\left\|A^{\beta} w(t)\right\|^{2}}{\|w(t)\|^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

using (31) and Theorem 2 we arrive at the inequality

$$
\lambda_{n(\beta)}^{\beta}=\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left\|A^{\beta} w(t)\right\|}{\|w(t)\|} \leq \lambda_{n(\gamma)}^{\beta}
$$

Consequently, $n(\beta) \leq n(\gamma)$ which is the contradiction with the assumption that $n(\beta)>n(\gamma)$. Thus, $n(\beta)=n(\gamma)$ and Lemma 8 is proved.

Definition 9 Lemma 8 shows that the number $n$ defined uniquely by the inequalities (28) for every $\beta \in$ $(0,5 / 4)$ does not depend on $\beta$. In fact, it depends only on the solution $w$. So, to express this dependance, we will write $n(w)$ instead of $n(\beta)$.

Let $\lambda_{n(w)}>\lambda_{1}$. We will denote by $k(w)$ the largest natural number such that $\lambda_{k(w)}<\lambda_{n(w)}$. It is clear that $n(w)-k(w)$ is the (finite) dimension of the space of all eigenfunctions associated to the eigenvalue $\lambda_{n(w)}$.

Lemma 10 Let $\beta \in[0,5 / 4)$ and $n=n(w)$ be the number from Definition 9. Then

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left\|A^{\beta} P_{n} w(t)\right\|}{\left\|A^{\beta} w(t)\right\|}=1
$$

Proof: If $\beta>0$ the proof follows immediately from Corollary 6 and Lemma 8. If $\beta=0$ the proof is a consequence of the inequality

$$
\frac{\left\|\left(I-P_{n}\right) w(t)\right\|}{\left\|P_{n} w(t)\right\|} \leq c \lambda_{n}^{1 / 2} \frac{\left\|A^{1 / 2}\left(I-P_{n}\right) w(t)\right\|}{\left\|A^{1 / 2} P_{n} w(t)\right\|}
$$

Lemma 11 Let $n=n(w)$ and $k=k(w)$ be the numbers from Definition 9 with $\lambda_{n}>\lambda_{1}$. Let $\alpha \in\left[0, \lambda_{1}\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left\|A^{\beta} P_{k} w(t)\right\|}{\left\|A^{\beta} w(t)\right\|} e^{\alpha t}=0 \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $\beta \in[0,5 / 4)$.
Proof: Let us fix $\beta \in(0,5 / 4)$ and suppose by contradiction that

$$
\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left\|A^{\beta} P_{k} w(t)\right\|}{\left\|A^{\beta} w(t)\right\|} e^{\alpha t}>0
$$

We get by Lemma 3 that

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left\|A^{\beta} P_{k} w(t)\right\|}{\left\|A^{\beta} w(t)\right\|}=1
$$

and equivalently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left\|A^{\beta}\left(I-P_{k}\right) w(t)\right\|}{\left\|A^{\beta} w(t)\right\|}=0 \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is possible to write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\left\|A^{\beta} w(t)\right\|^{2}}{\|w(t)\|^{2}} \leq \frac{\left\|A^{\beta} P_{k} w(t)\right\|^{2}}{\left\|P_{k} w(t)\right\|^{2}}+ \\
& \frac{\left\|A^{\beta}\left(I-P_{k}\right) w(t)\right\|^{2}}{\left\|A^{\beta} w(t)\right\|^{2}} \frac{\left\|A^{\beta} w(t)\right\|^{2}}{\|w(t)\|^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

By the application of $\lim \sup _{t \rightarrow \infty}$ to the last inequality and the use of Lemma 7, (33) and Theorem 2 we obtain

$$
\lambda_{n}^{\beta}=\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left\|A^{\beta} w(t)\right\|}{\|w(t)\|} \leq \lambda_{k}^{\beta}
$$

and it is the contradiction with the fact that $\lambda_{k}<\lambda_{n}$. So, (32) holds for every $\beta \in(0,5 / 4)$. Finally, let $\beta=0$. Then

$$
\frac{\left\|P_{k} w(t)\right\| e^{\alpha t}}{\|w(t)\|} \leq c \frac{\left\|A^{1 / 2} P_{k} w(t)\right\| e^{\alpha t}}{\left\|A^{1 / 2} w(t)\right\|} \frac{\left\|A^{1 / 2} w(t)\right\|}{\|w(t)\|}
$$

and if we now apply $\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty}$ to the last inequality and use (32) for $\beta=1 / 2$ and Theorem 2, we get

$$
\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left\|P_{k} w(t)\right\|}{\|w(t)\|} e^{\alpha t}=0
$$

and Lemma 11 is proved also for $\beta=0$.

Lemma 12 Let $n=n(w)$ and $k=k(w)$ be the numbers from Definition 9 with $\lambda_{n}>\lambda_{1}$. Let $\alpha \in\left[0, \lambda_{1}\right)$. Then

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left\|A^{\beta}\left(P_{n}-P_{k}\right) w(t)\right\|}{\left\|A^{\beta} P_{k} w(t)\right\|} e^{-\alpha t}=\infty
$$

for every $\beta \in[0,5 / 4)$.
Proof: Let us denote

$$
g(t)=\frac{\left\|\left(P_{n}-P_{k}\right) w(t)\right\|}{\left\|P_{k} w(t)\right\|}
$$

Firstly, due to Lemmas 11 and 10

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left\|P_{k} w(t)\right\|}{\left\|P_{n} w(t)\right\|} e^{\alpha t}= \\
& \liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left\|P_{k} w(t)\right\| e^{\alpha t}}{\|w(t)\|} \frac{\|w(t)\|}{\left\|P_{n} w(t)\right\|}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

It implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left\|P_{k} w(t)\right\|}{\left\|\left(P_{n}-P_{k}\right) w(t)\right\|} e^{\alpha t}= \\
& \liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{\left\|P_{k} w(t)\right\|^{2} e^{2 \alpha t}}{\left\|P_{n} w(t)\right\|^{2}-\left\|P_{k} w(t)\right\|^{2}}\right)^{1 / 2}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} g(t) e^{-\alpha t}=\infty \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will prove now that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} g(t) e^{-\alpha t}=\infty \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us suppose by contradiction that (35) does not hold. Due to (34) then there exists an increasing sequence $\left\{t_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ of positive numbers and $K>1$ such that $t_{j} \rightarrow \infty$ for $j \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$
g\left(t_{j}\right) e^{-\alpha t_{j}}=K, \forall j \in N
$$

Suppose now that for some sufficiently large $t$ (which will be specified later) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(t) e^{-\alpha t}=K \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choose some $\delta \in\left(0, \delta_{0}\right]$ ( $\delta_{0}$ is from Theorem 2) and use the integral identity (24) for $\beta=0$. We get

$$
g(t+\delta) \leq \frac{\left\|\left(P_{n}-P_{k}\right) e^{-A \delta} w(t)\right\|+J}{\left\|P_{k} e^{-A \delta} w(t)\right\|-J}
$$

where $J=\int_{0}^{\delta}\|B(w(t+s), w(t+s))\| d s$. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.J \leq c \int_{0}^{\delta} \| A^{3 / 4} w(t+s)\right) \|^{2} d s \leq \\
& c \int_{0}^{\delta}\|w(t+s)\|^{2} d s \leq c \delta\|w(t)\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and by Lemma 10 and (36)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|w(t)\| \leq \sqrt{2}\left\|P_{n} w(t)\right\|= \\
& \sqrt{2} \sqrt{e^{2 \alpha t} K^{2}+1}\left\|P_{k} w(t)\right\| \leq \\
& \sqrt{2} \sqrt{e^{2 \alpha t} K^{2}+1} e^{\lambda_{k} \delta}\left\|P_{k} e^{-A \delta} w(t)\right\| \leq \\
& c K e^{\alpha t}\left\|P_{k} e^{-A \delta} w(t)\right\|,
\end{aligned}
$$

we have, using again (36) and denoting

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=c K e^{\alpha t} \delta\left\|P_{k} e^{-A \delta} w(t)\right\|\|w(t)\| \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g(t+\delta) \leq \frac{\left\|\left(P_{n}-P_{k}\right) e^{-A \delta} w(t)\right\|+M}{\left\|P_{k} e^{-A \delta} w(t)\right\|-M} \leq \\
& \frac{\left\|\left(P_{n}-P_{k}\right) e^{-A \delta} w(t)\right\|}{\left\|P_{k} e^{-A \delta} w(t)\right\|} \frac{1}{1-c K \delta e^{\alpha t}\|w(t)\|}+ \\
& \frac{c K \delta e^{\alpha t}\|w(t)\|}{1-c K \delta e^{\alpha t}\|w(t)\|} \leq \frac{g(t) e^{-\left(\lambda_{n}-\lambda_{k}\right) \delta}}{1-c K \delta e^{\alpha t}\|w(t)\|}+ \\
& \frac{c K \delta e^{\alpha t}\|w(t)\|}{1-c K \delta e^{\alpha t}\|w(t)\|}= \\
& K \frac{e^{-\left(\lambda_{n}-\lambda_{k}\right) \delta} e^{\alpha t}+c \delta e^{\alpha t}\|w(t)\|}{1-c K \delta e^{\alpha t}\|w(t)\|}
\end{aligned}
$$

Multiplying the last inequality by $e^{-\alpha(t+\delta)}$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g(t+\delta) e^{-\alpha(t+\delta)} \leq \\
& K \frac{e^{-\left(\alpha+\lambda_{n}-\lambda_{k}\right) \delta}+c \delta\|w(t)\|}{1-c K \delta e^{\alpha t}\|w(t)\|}
\end{aligned}
$$

If we now denote

$$
f(\delta)=\frac{e^{-\left(\alpha+\lambda_{n}-\lambda_{k}\right) \delta}+c \delta\|w(t)\|}{1-c K \delta e^{\alpha t}\|w(t)\|}
$$

then $f(0)=1$ and $f^{\prime}(0)=c K e^{\alpha t}\|w(t)\|+c\|w(t)\|-$ $\left(\alpha+\lambda_{n}-\lambda_{k}\right)$. So, there exists $t^{*}>0$ such that $f^{\prime}(0)<0$ for $t \geq t^{*}$, which yields the existence of $\delta_{1}=\delta_{1}(t)>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(t+\delta) e^{-\alpha(t+\delta)}<K \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $\delta \in\left(0, \delta_{1}\right)$. Let $j_{0} \in N$ be such a number that $t_{j_{0}} \geq t^{*}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(t_{j_{0}}+\delta\right) e^{-\alpha\left(t_{j_{0}}+\delta\right)}<K \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $\delta \in\left(0, \delta_{1}\left(t_{j_{0}}\right)\right)$. Let us put

$$
T=\sup \left\{t \geq t_{j_{0}} ; g(\xi) e^{-\alpha \xi} \leq K, \forall \xi \in\left[t_{j_{0}}, t\right]\right\}
$$

We have, due to (34) and (39), that $T \in\left(t_{j_{0}}, \infty\right)$ and

$$
g(T) e^{-\alpha T}=K
$$

$T \geq t^{*}$, so using now (38) for $t=T$, we get

$$
g(T+\delta) e^{-\alpha(T+\delta)}<K, \forall \delta \in\left(0, \delta_{1}(T)\right)
$$

and it is the contradiction with the definition of $T$. Therefore, (35) is proved. Finally, for every $\beta \in$ $[0,5 / 4)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left\|A^{\beta}\left(P_{n}-P_{k}\right) w(t)\right\|}{\left\|A^{\beta} P_{k} w(t)\right\|} e^{-\alpha t} \geq \\
& \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda_{n}^{\beta}\left\|\left(P_{n}-P_{k}\right) w(t)\right\|}{\lambda_{k}^{\beta}\left\|P_{k} w(t)\right\|} e^{-\alpha t}=\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

and Lemma 12 is proved.
Lemma 13 Let $n=n(w)$ be the number from Definition 9. Then

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left\|A^{\beta} w(t)\right\|}{\|w(t)\|}=\lambda_{n}^{\beta}, \forall \beta \in[0,5 / 4)
$$

Proof: Let $\lambda_{n(w)}=\lambda_{1}$. It follows then from Lemma 7 that

$$
\lambda_{1}^{\beta} \leq \limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left\|A^{\beta} w(t)\right\|}{\|w(t)\|}=\lambda_{1}^{\beta}
$$

and Lemma 13 is proved immediately. Suppose that $\lambda_{n(w)}>\lambda_{1}$. By the use of Lemma 10 we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left\|A^{\beta} w(t)\right\|^{2}}{\|w(t)\|^{2}}=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left\|A^{\beta} P_{k} w(t)\right\|^{2}}{\left\|P_{n} w(t)\right\|^{2}}+ \\
& \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left\|A^{\beta}\left(P_{n}-P_{k}\right) w(t)\right\|^{2}}{\left\|P_{n} w(t)\right\|^{2}}+ \\
& \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left\|A^{\beta}\left(I-P_{n}\right) w(t)\right\|^{2}}{\left\|P_{n} w(t)\right\|^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from Lemma 12 and Lemma 10 that the first and third term from the right hand side of the last equality equal to zero and by the application of Lemma 12 the second term is equal to $\lambda_{n}^{2 \beta}$. So, Lemma 13 is proved.

If $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \lambda_{n}\right)$, then according to Lemma 13 there exists $t_{0}=t_{0}(\varepsilon)>0$ such that

$$
\lambda_{n}-\varepsilon \leq \frac{\left\|A^{1 / 2} w(t)\right\|^{2}}{\|w(t)\|^{2}} \leq \lambda_{n}+\varepsilon
$$

for every $t \geq t_{0}$. It follows from (5) that

$$
\frac{d}{d t}\|w(t)\|^{2}+2\left\|A^{1 / 2} w(t)\right\|^{2}=0
$$

and therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{d t}\|w(t)\|^{2}+2\left(\lambda_{n}-\varepsilon\right)\|w(t)\|^{2} \leq 0 \\
& \frac{d}{d t}\|w(t)\|^{2}+2\left(\lambda_{n}+\varepsilon\right)\|w(t)\|^{2} \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

for every $t \geq t_{0}$. It leads to the inequalities

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|w(t+\delta)\| & \leq\|w(t)\| e^{-\left(\lambda_{n}-\varepsilon\right) \delta} \\
\|w(t+\delta)\| & \geq w(t) \| e^{-\left(\lambda_{n}+\varepsilon\right) \delta}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{\left(\lambda_{n}-\varepsilon\right) \delta} \leq \frac{\|w(t)\|}{\|w(t+\delta)\|} \leq e^{\left(\lambda_{n}+\varepsilon\right) \delta} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

which hold for every $t \geq t_{0}$ and every $\delta>0$. We will now use (40) to derive the following lemmas.

Lemma 14 Let $n=n(w)$ be the number from Definition 9. Then for every $\beta \in[0,5 / 4)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left\|A^{\beta} w(t)\right\|}{\|w(t+\delta)\|}=\lambda_{n}^{\beta} e^{\lambda_{n} \delta} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left\|A^{\beta} w(t)\right\|}{\left\|A^{\beta} w(t+\delta)\right\|}=e^{\lambda_{n} \delta} \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly on the sets $\{\delta ; \delta \in[0, L]\}$, for every $L>0$.
Proof: By the application of $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}$ in (40) we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|w(t)\|}{\|w(t+\delta)\|}=e^{\lambda_{n} \delta} \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly on the sets $\{\delta ; \delta \in[0, L]\}$, for every $L>0$. Now we can write

$$
\frac{\left\|A^{\beta} w(t)\right\|}{\|w(t+\delta)\|}=\frac{\left\|A^{\beta} w(t)\right\|}{\|w(t)\|} \frac{\|w(t)\|}{\|w(t+\delta)\|}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\left\|A^{\beta} w(t)\right\|}{\left\|A^{\beta} w(t+\delta)\right\|}=\frac{\left\|A^{\beta} w(t)\right\|}{\|w(t)\|} \times \\
& \frac{\|w(t)\|}{\|w(t+\delta)\|} \frac{\|w(t+\delta)\|}{\left\|A^{\beta} w(t+\delta)\right\|} \tag{44}
\end{align*}
$$

and get (41) and (42) by the application of Lemma 13 and (43).

Lemma 15 Let $\beta \in[0,5 / 4)$. Then

$$
\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0_{+}} \frac{\left\|A^{\beta} w(t)\right\|}{\left\|A^{\beta} w(t+\delta)\right\|}=1
$$

uniformly on the set $\{t ; t \geq 0\}$.
Proof: By the application of $\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0_{+}}$in (40) we can obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0_{+}} \frac{\|w(t)\|}{\|w(t+\delta)\|}=1 \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly on the set $\{t ; t \geq 0\}$. Let $\varepsilon$ be an arbitrary small positive number. Due to (44), (45) and Lemma 13 there exist $t_{1}>0$ and $\delta_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\left|\frac{\left\|A^{\beta} w(t)\right\|}{\left\|A^{\beta} w(t+\delta)\right\|}-1\right|<\varepsilon
$$

for every $t \geq t_{1}$ and $\delta \in\left[0, \delta_{1}\right]$. Because of the continuity of the function $\left\|A^{\beta} w(\cdot)\right\|$ on $[0, \infty)$, we also have the existence of $\delta_{2}$ such that

$$
\left|\frac{\left\|A^{\beta} w(t)\right\|}{\left\|A^{\beta} w(t+\delta)\right\|}-1\right|<\varepsilon
$$

for every $t \in\left[0, t_{1}\right]$ and $\delta \in\left[0, \delta_{2}\right]$. If we put $\delta_{3}=$ $\min \left(\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)$ then for every $t \geq 0$ and $\delta \in\left[0, \delta_{3}\right]$

$$
\left|\frac{\left\|A^{\beta} w(t)\right\|}{\left\|A^{\beta} w(t+\delta)\right\|}-1\right|<\varepsilon
$$

Since $\varepsilon$ was arbitrary, Lemma 15 is proved.
The following corollary of Lemma 15 is an improvement of Theorem 5 from [6].

Corollary 16 Let $C_{0}>1$ and $\beta \in[0,5 / 4)$. Then there exists $\delta_{0}>0$ such that

$$
\frac{\left\|A^{\beta} w(t)\right\|}{\left\|A^{\beta} w(t+\delta)\right\|}<C_{0}, \forall t \geq 0, \forall \delta \in\left[0, \delta_{0}\right]
$$

Lemma 17 Let $n=n(w)$ be the number from Definition $9, l \in N, l \geq n, \lambda_{l}<\lambda_{l+1}$ and $\beta \in[0,5 / 4)$. If $\omega \in\left(0, \min \left(\lambda_{l+1}-\lambda_{n}, \lambda_{n}\right)\right)$ then

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left\|A^{\beta}\left(I-P_{l}\right) w(t)\right\|}{\left\|A^{\beta} P_{n} w(t)\right\|} e^{\omega t}=0
$$

Proof: We proceed similarly as in the proof of Lemma 3 up to the inequality (27). Instead of (27) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\left\|A^{\beta}\left(I-P_{l}\right) w(t+\delta)\right\|}{\left\|A^{\beta} P_{n} w(t+\delta)\right\|} \leq \frac{\left\|A^{\beta}\left(I-P_{l}\right) w(t)\right\|}{\left\|A^{\beta} P_{n} w(t)\right\|} \times \\
& \frac{e^{-\left(\lambda_{l+1}-\lambda_{n}\right) \delta}}{1-c \delta^{\theta}\|w(t)\|}+\frac{c \delta^{\theta}\|w(t)\|}{1-c \delta^{\theta}\|w(t)\|}, \tag{46}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows now from Lemma 13 used for $\beta=1 / 2$ and by some elementary computation that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}\|w(t)\| e^{\omega t}=0 \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we used the assumption that $\omega<\lambda_{n}$. Let us put $g(t)=\left\|A^{\beta}\left(I-P_{l}\right) w(t)\right\| /\left\|A^{\beta} P_{n} w(t)\right\|$. Multiplying now (46) by $e^{\omega(t+\delta)}$ we get the inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g(t+\delta) e^{\omega(t+\delta)} \leq \frac{e^{\left(\omega-\left(\lambda_{l+1}-\lambda_{n}\right)\right) \delta}}{1-c \delta^{\theta}\|w(t)\|} g(t) e^{\omega t}+ \\
& \frac{c \delta^{\theta}\|w(t)\| e^{\omega t}}{1-c \delta^{\theta}\|w(t)\|}
\end{aligned}
$$

which holds for $t$ sufficiently big. Let us fix $t_{0} \geq 0$ such that $\alpha_{0}=e^{\left[\omega-\left(\lambda_{l+1}-\lambda_{n}\right)\right] \delta} /\left(1-c \delta^{\theta}\left\|w\left(t_{0}\right)\right\|\right)<1$ and put $\kappa=c \delta^{\theta} /\left(1-c \delta^{\theta}\left\|w\left(t_{0}\right)\right\|\right)$. So, we have

$$
g(t+\delta) e^{\omega(t+\delta)} \leq \alpha_{0} g(t) e^{\omega(t)}+\kappa\|w(t)\| e^{\omega t}
$$

for every $t \geq t_{0}$. Applying now $\lim \sup _{t \rightarrow \infty}$ to the last inequality and using (47) we can conclude immediately, that $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} g(t) e^{\omega t}=0$. Lemma 17 is proved.
Proof of Theorem 1 Let the assumptions of Theorem 1 be satisfied, that is $w$ is a strong global solution of the Navier-Stokes equations (5) and (6) with $w_{0} \neq$ 0 . Since $w(t) \in D(A)^{1+\varepsilon}$ for every $\varepsilon \in[0,1 / 4)$ and every $t \in(0, \infty)$, we can suppose without lack of generality that $w_{0} \in D(A)^{1+\varepsilon}$. Let $n=n(w)$ and $k=k(w)$ be the numbers from Definition 9 . Then (9) is a consequence of Lemma 10, (10) is a consequence of Lemma 17, (11) is a consequence of Lemma 12, (12) is a consequence of Lemma 13, (13) is a consequence of Lemma 14 , (14) is a consequence of Lemma 15 and (15) is a consequence of Corollary 16 . Theorem 1 is completely proved.

## 3 Conclusion

Let us present, without proof, several additional results and one open problem. Let $n \in N$ and $\lambda_{n}<$ $\lambda_{n+1}$. We define $G_{n} \subset D\left(A^{\gamma}\right)$ in the following way: $w_{0} \in D\left(A^{\gamma}\right)$ belongs to $G_{n}$ if and only if there exists a strong global solution $w$ of (5) and (6) such that $w(0)=w_{0}$ and $n(w)=n$.

It is possible to show that $G_{n}$ is not empty for any $n \in N$. In fact, every $G_{n}$ is infinite, since if $w_{0} \in G_{n}$ then evidently $w(t) \in G_{n}$ for every $t \geq 0$, where $w$ is the strong global solution $w$ of (5) and (6) with the initial condition $w_{0}$. Moreover, a certain subset of $G_{n}$ is a part of a Lipschitz manifold in $D\left(A^{\gamma}\right)$.

Is it possible to prove some results concerning the "size" of the sets $G_{n}$ ? Is it true, for example, that $\overline{D\left(A^{\gamma}\right) \backslash \bar{G}_{n}}=D\left(A^{\gamma}\right)$ for $n \geq 2$ ?
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