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Abstract: The naval integrated power systems often utilize electrical machines with large number of phases and magnetic poles. The detailed computer models of electric ship propulsion motors are particularly useful for predicting the electro-mechanical and electrical transients. This paper describes a coupled-circuit physical-variable modelling of multiphase induction motors, which has similar accuracy as the conventional qd models but is easier to generalize and interconnect to the power electronic networks. The equations for the self and mutual inductance matrices for a machine with an arbitrary number of phases and/or phase groups on the stator and the rotor are presented. The paper describes the 3-, 6-, 9-, and 15-phase motor models and compares the simulated transients. It is shown that the motors with higher number of phases have a less severe torque ripple and the stator current increase following a loss of one phase. The proposed models can also be used for transient studies involving loss of multiple phases and/or inverter legs, inverter-motor interaction, switching harmonics, torque ripple, multiple faults, system-level studies, survivability, reconfiguration, etc.
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1 Introduction
The integrated power systems (IPS) of modern electric ships often utilize electrical machines with large number of phases and magnetic poles. A simplified diagram of a typical IPS is shown in Fig. 1, wherein several diesel-electric generators and two dual-winding induction propulsion motors are considered [1]. The system layout and appropriate switching gear are designed for operational redundancy and increased reliability. As such, a typical IPS represents a finite inertia electro-mechanical system with many power-electronic-based modules and various controls.

Computer simulation represents a powerful mechanism for predicting the dynamic performance of electrical subsystems under various conditions. In particular, accurate dynamic/transient models can be used for investigating complex survivability scenarios involving, for example, a complete loss of one main bus (port or starboard) and featuring the subsequent dynamics of the automatic system reconfiguration. Other scenarios might involve investigating a fault caused by a missile hit of any major electrical equipment such as a generator or a converter and predicting its impact on the overall system stability. Overall, interests include: (i) stability and dynamic performance under faults and pulsed loads, (ii) power quality, (iii) efficiency, (iv) automatic reconfiguration, and (v) survivability, which is the ultimate goal.

Results of such studies in the preliminary design phase of any ship (i.e., Canadian Navy Joint Support Ship, Single Class Surface Combatant, Royal Navy’s
T-45, etc.) can provide very valuable information about how to physically configure the vital electrical subsystems and/or modules in order to increase the survivability of the vessel. Moreover, considerable savings of time and funding can be achieved if, instead of building the real hardware demonstrators for concept validation, the investigation of various survivability scenarios is performed using the appropriate models and simulation tools.

This paper focuses on modelling the multiphase propulsion motors. Depending on the purpose of study, the propulsion motors may be modeled with different levels of accuracy using various techniques [2]. At a very approximate level, the steady-state calculations of the torque ripple and spacial harmonics may be sufficient [3], [4]. At the design stage, the finite element analysis (FEA) models [5] are often used. However, for the transient and/or system-level studies, the qd models [6] are typically used, wherein the number of equations is determined by the number of electric phases (windings). However, the generalized qd models for the special purpose propulsion motors with high number of phases are not always available in commonly used simulation packages. Moreover, when considering the nodal-analysis-based simulation languages (EMTP, PSCAD, VTB, etc.), the interface of the qd models with the power electronic inverters results in reduced accuracy and convergence problems.

The modelling presented in this paper is based on representing an electrical machine with arbitrary configuration of windings and phases as a collection of magnetically coupled circuits. Instead of using the transformed qd variables, the models are expressed in the physical machine’s variables and implemented using the state variable approach [7]-[11]. The model equations may be extended to the nodal-analysis-based simulation languages. The resulting dynamic models are fairly accurate and can be readily used for investigating various survivability scenarios that may be of interest to the Canadian Navy.

2 Detailed Machine Model

There are numerous simulation languages and programs that can be used to create a detailed model of an induction machine and the corresponding power electronic inverter system. However, the non-standard multiphase machine models are not commonly available and/or often difficult to implement using the existing library components. A circuit diagram of a multiphase induction machine considered in this paper is depicted in Fig. 2. In this paper, the number of stator and rotor phases is denoted by $N_{ph}^s$ and $N_{ph}^r$, respectively.

![Fig. 2: Multiphase induction machine coupled circuit diagram.](image)

For the purpose of generality it may be assumed that $N_{ph}^s \neq N_{ph}^r$. The stator and rotor windings are magnetically coupled. The corresponding voltage equations in machine variables can be written as

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
    v_s \\
    v_r
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
    r_s & 0 \\
    0 & r_r
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
    i_s \\
    i_r
\end{bmatrix} + \frac{d}{dt} \begin{bmatrix}
    \lambda_s \\
    \lambda_r
\end{bmatrix} 
$$

(1)

where $v_s$, $i_s$, and $\lambda_s$ are vectors consisting of the stator phase voltage, current, and flux linkage, respectively; $v_r$, $i_r$, and $\lambda_r$ are vectors consisting of the rotor winding voltage, current, and flux linkage; and $r_s$ and $r_r$ are matrices that contain the stator and rotor winding resistances. Throughout this manuscript, all rotor quantities are assumed to be referred to the stator side. The flux linkages can be expressed in terms of currents as

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
    \lambda_s \\
    \lambda_r
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
    L_s & L_{sr} \\
    L_{sr}^T & L_r
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
    i_s \\
    i_r
\end{bmatrix}
$$

(2)

In (2), the matrix $L_s$ represents the stator windings self- and mutual-inductances. Similarly, $L_r$ represents the rotor windings self- and mutual-inductances. Since the induction machines are assumed to be round and symmetrical, these two matrices do not depend on the rotor position and are assumed constant. The mutual coupling between the rotor and stator windings is represented by $L_{sr}(\theta_r)$, which is a matrix with dimensions $N_{ph}^r \times N_{ph}^s$ with entries that depend on the rotor position $\theta_r$. To complete the model, the developed electromagnetic torque can be calculated as

$$
T_e = \frac{P}{2} \frac{\partial L_{sr}(\theta_r)}{\partial \theta_r} i_r
$$

(3)

where $P$ is the number of magnetic poles.
The expressions for the self- and mutual-inductances can be obtained using the magnetic axes of the respective windings shown in Fig. 3. In a simple case, it can be assumed that the stator and rotor windings each form a single group, wherein the spatial angle between the adjacent phases is defined as

$$\alpha_s = \frac{2\pi}{N_{ph}^s} \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_r = \frac{2\pi}{N_{ph}^r} \quad (4)$$

![Fig. 3: Multiphase rotor and stator windings magnetic axes.](image)

A single multiphase group may be composed of 3, 5, 7, 11, ... phases, whereas 3 and 5 phases are more common. Using (4), it is possible to express the special angle $\gamma_{i,j}$ between any two magnetic axes in terms of the indices $i, j$ corresponding to the respective phases. Then, the entries of the stator inductance matrix can be defined as follows

$$\mathbf{L}_s(i,j) = \begin{cases} L_{ms} + L_{ls}, & \text{if } i = j, \\ L_{ms} \cos(\gamma_{i,j}^s), & \text{for } i, j = 1 \ldots N_{ph}^s \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

The rotor inductance matrix is defined similar

$$\mathbf{L}_r(i,j) = \begin{cases} L_{ms} + L_{lr}, & \text{if } i = j, \\ L_{ms} \cos(\gamma_{i,j}^r), & \text{for } i, j = 1 \ldots N_{ph}^r \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

where $\gamma_{i,j}^s = -\alpha_s(i-j)$ and $\gamma_{i,j}^r = -\alpha_r(i-j)$; and $L_{ms}$, $L_{ls}$, $L_{mr}$ are the mutual, stator and rotor leakage inductances, respectively. The rotor-to-stator mutual inductance matrix is defined as

$$\mathbf{L}_{sr} = \begin{cases} L_{ms} \cos(\theta_r + \gamma_{i,j}^r), & \text{for } i = 1 \ldots N_{ph}^s, \\ j = 1 \ldots N_{ph}^r \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

where $\gamma_{i,j}^r = -\alpha_s(i-1) + \alpha_r(j-1)$.

When 6 and more phases are considered, the phases may be grouped for better utilization of the phase zones. For example, instead of having a 6-phase stator winding with 60 electrical degrees between the adjacent phases ($\alpha_s = \pi/3$), it may be advantageous to represent this winding as two 3-phase groups ($\alpha_s = 2\pi/3$) that are shifted relative to each other by 30 electrical degrees. This reduces the overall phase zone from 60 down to 30 electrical degrees, which has many advantages in terms of spacial harmonics as well as current ripple when the machine is connected to an inverter. Other advantages may include possible reconfiguration and reliability that also depends on the inverter(s) configuration.

Fig. 4 shows an induction machine that has multiple stator and rotor groups that are assumed to be Y-connected. The number of stator and rotor groups herein is denoted by $N_{g}^s$ and $N_{g}^r$, respectively. The corresponding stator winding magnetic axes are shown in Fig. 5, wherein the angular displacement between the respective groups is denoted by $\beta_s$. The rotor winding groups and magnetic axes are similar. The number of phases in each of the stator and rotor group is denoted by $N_{ph}^s$, and $N_{ph}^r$, respectively, which in general may be different (other then three). Using these notations, the overall number of phases in the stator and rotor can be expressed as

$$N_{ph}^s = N_{g,ph}^s N_{g}^s \quad \text{and} \quad N_{ph}^r = N_{g,ph}^r N_{g}^r \quad (8)$$

Multiple groups (8) are often used with 6-, 9-, 12-, 15-, and 18-phase machines; wherein, depending on the application, various arrangements can be made regarding the neutral point in each group.

![Fig. 4: Multiphase induction machine coupled circuit considering multiple Y-groups on the stator and rotor.](image)
rotor inductance matrices are now defined as follows

$$\mathbf{L}_s(i, j) = \begin{cases} L_{ms} + L_{d1}, & \text{if } i = j \\ L_{ms} \cos(\gamma_{ij}^s) & \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{align}
\gamma_{ij}^s &= \alpha_s(n-l) + \beta_r(m-k); \\
k, m = 1 \ldots N_g^s, \text{ and } l, n = 1 \ldots N_g^{ph}.
\end{align}$$

(13)

$$\mathbf{L}_r(i, j) = \begin{cases} L_{ms} + L_{d1}, & \text{if } i = j \\ L_{ms} \cos(\gamma_{ij}^r) & \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{align}
\gamma_{ij}^r &= \alpha_r(n-l) + \beta_r(m-k); \\
k, m = 1 \ldots N_g^r, \text{ and } l, n = 1 \ldots N_g^{ph}.
\end{align}$$

(14)

The rotor-to-stator mutual inductance matrix now can be expressed as

$$\mathbf{L}_{sr}(i, j) = L_{ms} \cos(\theta + \gamma_{ij}^{sr})$$

where

$$\begin{align}
\gamma_{ij}^{sr} &= -\alpha_s(l-1) - \beta_r(k-1) + \alpha_r(n-1) + \beta_r(m-1); \\
k = 1 \ldots N_g^s, \text{ and } l = 1 \ldots N_g^{ph}; \\
m = 1 \ldots N_g^r, \text{ and } n = 1 \ldots N_g^{ph}.
\end{align}$$

(15)

Note that implementation of (13)-(15) results in 4th order nested loops, which can be readily implemented in computer code.

A power electronic circuit containing electrical machines can be defined using simple elements (branches); voltage sources, resistors, coupled inductors, switches, etc. An algorithm for generating the corresponding state equations has been set forth in [7]-[10]. Based on this approach, a simulation package for modelling power-electronic-based systems has been developed [11], wherein a complex circuit can be defined by a branch list composed of statements such as

$$\textbf{L} \_ \text{branch(bn, pn, nn, r, L, e, lic);}$$

(16)

which defines an inductive branch, for example. Here, \textbf{bn} is the branch number; \textbf{pn} and \textbf{nn} are the positive and negative nodes; \textbf{r}, \textbf{L}, and \textbf{e} are the branch series resistance, inductance, and the voltage.
phases and/or winding groups configuration.

induction machine with essentially any number of
define the inductance matrices corresponding to an
(5)-(7), the statements (18)-(19) can be used to
and rotor) windings.

However, entering the mutual inductances for an
electrical machine with a large number of phases
coupled windings can be quite tedious. Instead,
(13)-(15) have been implemented as functions with
the syntax similar to (16), (17). In particular, the
stator or the rotor multiphase inductance matrices
(13)-(14) can now be entered as

\[ L_{\text{selfmx}}(B, Nph, Ng, Lm, Ll, beta); \]  

where: \( B \) is an array containing the respective branches; \( Nph \) is the number of phases; \( Ng \) is the number of phase groups; \( Lm \) and \( Ll \) are the mutual
and the leakage inductances, respectively; and \( beta \)
is the angular displacement between the phase
groups. The rotor-to-stator mutual inductance matrix
(15) can be specified using the function

\[ L_{\text{mutmx}}(B1,Nph1,Ng1,beta1, B2,Nph2,Ng2,beta2, theta); \]  

where \( B1 \) and \( B2 \) are the arrays containing the respective stator and rotor branches; \( Nph1 \) and
\( Nph2 \) are the number of phases in each; \( Ng1 \) and
\( Ng2 \) are the number of phase groups in each; \( beta1 \)
and \( beta2 \) are the respective angular displacements;
and \( theta \) is the angle between the \( B1 \) and \( B2 \) (stator and rotor) windings.

It should be pointed out that because (13)-(15)
are very general and include the single group case
(5)-(7), the statements (18)-(19) can be used to
define the inductance matrices corresponding to an
induction machine with essentially any number of
phases and/or winding groups configuration.

3 Computer Studies

Several multiphase induction motors have been
implemented according to the methodology
described in Section 2. The automated state model
MATLAB/Simulink™ [12] has been used to
implement the final models. The per-unit parameters
of the base case 3-phase 4-MW motor are
summarized in Appendix a). The motors with 3, 6,
9, and 15 phases have been compared in a
time-domain transient study, wherein a loss of one phase
(winding) is assumed. All motors are assumed to be
fed from an ideal voltage source inverter (VSI) with
respective number of phases. For the purpose of this
paper, only the fundamental harmonic of the VSI
has been considered, as it dominates in determining
the averaged electromagnetic torque and the rms
value of the stator phase currents. In all cases, the
stator winding groups are assumed to have a
common neutral point that is left floating.

In the following transient study, the motor is
assumed to operate in a steady-state under full
mechanical load. The propulsion load is assumed to
have a predominantly quadratic torque-speed
characteristic given in Appendix b). At \( t = 0.1s \), a-
phase (of the first group) becomes open-circuited.
This may happen due to a failure of the winding
and/or the corresponding inverter leg. After that, the
motor is continued to operate without adjusting the
VSI output and/or operation. The corresponding
transients are shown in Figs. 6 through 11. The results
of these studies are summarized in Table 1.

A 3-phase base case motor is considered first.
Fig. 6 shows the transient observed in the stator
currents, instantaneous and rms values, respectively.
As can be seen, the current in remaining phases
increases above 2pu (almost 90%, see Table 1). The
transient in electromagnetic torque and speed are
shown in Fig. 7. Although, the average-per-cycle
torque in the new steady-state changes only by 0.337%,
a very significant ripple now exists in the
instantaneous torque (see Table 1).

Next, a 6-phase motor is considered, wherein the
stator is composed of two 3-phase winding groups
that are shifted by 30 electrical degrees (\( \beta_s = \pi/6 \)).
In this 6-phase arrangement, each winding (and the
corresponding inverter leg) has to carry about 1/2 of
the current as compared to the 3-phase base case.
For clarity, only the rms values of the stator currents
are shown in Fig. 8, for the first and the second
groups, respectively. As can be seen in Fig. 8, after
the open circuit occurred, the currents in the
remaining two phases of the first group (\( abcs1 \))
increase, but the currents in second group (\( abcs2 \))
increase even more. In particular, the current
increase in the second group (\( abcs2 \)) is not uniform
and the most significant increase of 63.6% is
observed in the \( a2 \)-phase (which is the closest to the
open-circuited \( a1 \)-phase). Fig. 9 shows the torque
and speed response. As can be noted, the torque
ripple of about 30% is now observed, which is a
significant improvement over the 3-phase motor
base case.

A 9-phase motor was considered as well,
however, the transient responses are not included
here due to the space limitation. Instead, the final
results are summarized in Table 1, wherein a further
improvement in terms of the stator current increase and the torque ripple is evident.

Finally, a 15-phase motor is considered, wherein the stator is composed of five 3-phase winding groups that are shifted by 12 electrical degrees, respectively. In this case, each winding (and the corresponding inverter leg) has to carry about 1/5 of the current as compared to the 3-phase base case. The corresponding transient responses caused by the open-circuit of the stator a1-phase are shown in Figs. 10 and 11. As can be seen in Fig. 10, the increase of currents is not symmetric in each of the remaining phase groups abcs2 through abcs5. The maximum current increase of 19.7% is observed in the a2-phase because it is the closest one to the failed phase. Moreover, the torque ripple of 8.5% (see Table 1) is the smallest among all considered cases.

Table 1: Response to a single winding open circuit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Num. of phases</th>
<th>Torque ripple, %</th>
<th>Change in average torque, %</th>
<th>Change in speed, %</th>
<th>Max. increase in phase current, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>207.6</td>
<td>0.3371</td>
<td>0.1719</td>
<td>89.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>30.3</td>
<td>0.0394</td>
<td>0.0188</td>
<td>63.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>0.0191</td>
<td>0.0101</td>
<td>36.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>0.0105</td>
<td>0.0052</td>
<td>19.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4 Conclusion

In this paper, a coupled-circuit physical-variable modelling of multiphase induction machines has been presented. This type of models is appropriate for representing the electric ship propulsion motors in fairly detailed time-domain transient/dynamic studies. The equations for the self and mutual inductance matrices for a machine with an arbitrary number of phases and/or phase groups on the stator and the rotor have been derived. The motors with 3, 6, 9, and 15 phases were simulated and compared in a transient study concerning a loss of one phase. The developed models demonstrate that the motors with higher number of phases have a less severe torque ripple and the stator current increase, whereas current increase in remaining phases is not symmetric and in general depends on the winding connections.

The proposed models can also be used for other transient studies and survivability scenarios that may be of interest to the Canadian Navy Joint Support Ship and Single Class Surface Combatant programs. This paper also serves as a proof of the modelling concept for the further work related to the integrated electric propulsion projects for the Department of National Defence, Canada, subject to approval of funding.
Appendix

a) Induction machine base case per-unit parameters:

\[ P_b = 4 \text{MW} \quad T_b = 10.694 \text{kN}\cdot\text{m} \quad \omega_b = 2 \cdot \pi \cdot 60 \frac{\text{rad}}{s} \]

\[ V_b = 5\text{kV} \quad I_b = 461.88\text{A} \quad r_s = 0.0078 \quad x_{lr} = 0.0682 \]

\[ r_r = 0.0072 \quad x_{lr} = 0.0682 \quad x_m = 3.2 \quad H = 1.1 \text{s} \]

b) Mechanical load torque-speed characteristic

\[ T_m = 0.0136 \cdot \omega_t + 1.0158 \cdot \omega_t^2 \]
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